UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 20-F

[] REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OR (g) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

OR

[X] ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
 For the fiscal year ended <u>December 31, 2016</u>

OR

 []
 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

 For the transition period from
 to

OR

 SHELL COMPANY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
 Date of event requiring this shell company report

bate of event requiring this shell company repor

Commission File Number:

<u>001-35404</u>

EURASIAN MINERALS INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

British Columbia, Canada

(Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

Suite 501, 543 Granville Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6C 1X8 (Address of principal executive offices)

Christina Cepeliauskas, Chief Financial Officer Telephone No.: 604-688-6390

Facsimile No.: 604-688-1157

Suite 501, 543 Granville Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6C 1X8

(Name, Telephone, Email and/or Facsimile number and Address of Company Contact Person)

Securities to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class Common Shares, without par value Name on each exchange on which registered **NYSE MKT LLC**

Securities to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: <u>None</u>

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act: None

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the Company's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual report: 74,089,710

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned Company, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes $_$ No \underline{X}

If this report is an annual or transition report, indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Yes ____ No _X_

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past ninety days. Yes X_{-} No _____

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes \underline{X} No _____

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ____ Accelerated filer ____ Non-accelerated filer _X

Indicate by check mark which basis of accounting the registrant has used to prepare the financial statements included in this filing:

U.S. GAAP [] International Financial Reporting Standards as issued Other [] by the International Accounting Standards Board [X]

If "Other" has been checked in response to the previous question, indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow: $t_{reg} = 12$

Item 17 ____ Item 18 ____

If this is an annual report, indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). $\mathbf{X}_{ab} = \mathbf{N}_{ab} \cdot \mathbf{X}_{ab}$

Yes No X

EURASIAN MINERALS INC. FORM 20-F ANNUAL REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

Page

Item 1.	Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisors	15
Item 2.	Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable	16
Item 3.	Key Information	16
Item 4.	Information on the Company	24
Item 5.	Operating, Financial Review and Prospects	57
Item 6.	Directors, Senior Management and Employees	77
Item 7.	Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions	94
Item 8.	Financial Information	96
Item 9.	The Offer and Listing	96
Item 10.	Additional Information	98
Item 11.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	112
Item 12.	Description of Securities Other Than Equity Securities	112

PART II

Item 13.	Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies	113
Item 14.	Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds	113
Item 15.	Controls and Procedures	113
Item 16A.	Audit Committee Financial Expert	114
Item 16B.	Code of Ethics	114
Item 16C.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	114
Item 16D.	Exemptions from the Listing Standards for Audit Committees	115
Item 16E.	Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers	115
Item 16F.	Change in Registrant's Certifying Accountant	115
Item 16G.	Corporate Governance	115
Item 16H.	Mine Safety Disclosure	115

PART III

Item 17.	Financial Statements	115
Item 18.	Financial Statements	115
Item 19.	Exhibits	115

Glossary of Geological and Mining Terms

Certain terms used in this Form 20-F are defined as follows:

Alunite: a hydrated aluminium potassium, sulfate mineral [(KAl₃(SO₄)₂(OH)₆].

Andesite: an extrusive igneous rock of intermediate composition with a fine grained to porphyritic texture.

Argillic Alteration: hydrothermal alteration of rock which introduces clay minerals including kaolinite, smectite and illite.

Assay: a quantitative chemical analysis of an ore, mineral or concentrate to determine the amount of specific elements.

Breccia: a coarse-grained clastic rock composed of broken rock fragments held together by a mineral cement or in a fine-grained matrix.

Carbonate: a sedimentary rock made mainly of calcium carbonate (CaCO₃).

Dacite: an extrusive igneous rock with a fine grained to porphyritic texture and intermediate in composition between andesite and rhyolite.

Diorite: a grey to dark-grey intermediate intrusive igneous rock composed principally of plagioclase feldspar, biotite, hornblende, and/or pyroxene.

Dike: a tabular igneous intrusion that cuts across the country rock, generally vertical in nature.

Doré: a mixture of predominantly gold and silver produced by a mine, usually in a bar form, before separation and refining into gold and silver by a refinery.

Epithermal: said of a hydrothermal mineral deposit formed within about 1 kilometer of the Earth's surface and in the temperature range of 50° C to 200° C.

Feasibility Study: is defined in the CIM Definition Standards (2014) as referenced by NI 43-101 as a comprehensive technical and economic study of the selected development option for a mineral project that includes appropriately detailed assessments of applicable Modifying Factors together with any other relevant operational factors and detailed financial analysis that are necessary to demonstrate, at the time of reporting, that extraction is reasonably justified (economically mineable). The results of the study may reasonably serve as the basis for a final decision by a proponent or financial institution to proceed with, or finance, the development of the project. The confidence level of the study will be higher than that of a Pre-Feasibility Study.

Foliation: repetitive layering in metamorphic rocks.

Footwall: the underlying side of a fault, ore body, or mine working; particularly the wall rock beneath an inclined vein or fault.

Formation: a persistent body of igneous, sedimentary, or metamorphic rock, having easily recognizable boundaries that can be traced in the field without recourse to detailed paleontologic or petrologic analysis, and large enough to be represented on a geologic map as a practical or convenient unit for mapping and description.

Gneiss: a type of rock formed by high-grade regional metamorphic processes from pre-existing formations of igneous or sedimentary rocks.

Granodiorite: a group of plutonic rocks intermediate in composition between quartz diorite and quartz monzonite.

Greenfields: conceptual exploration; relying on the predictive power of ore genesis models to search for mineralization in relatively unexplored ground.

Hanging wall: the overlying side of an ore body, fault, or mine working, especially the wall rock above an inclined vein or fault.

Hornfels: a fine-grained rock composed of a mosaic of equidimensional grains without preferred orientation and typically formed by contact metamorphism.

Hydrothermal: of or pertaining to hot water, to the action of hot water, or to the products of this action, such as a mineral deposit precipitated from a hot aqueous solution, with or without demonstrable association with igneous processes.

Igneous rock: rock that is magmatic in origin.

Indicated mineral resource: is defined in the CIM Definition Standards (2014) as referenced by NI 43-101 as that part of a Mineral Resource for which quantity, grade or quality, densities, shape and physical characteristics are estimated with sufficient confidence to allow the application of Modifying Factors in sufficient detail to support mine planning and evaluation of the economic viability of the deposit. Geological evidence is derived from adequately detailed and reliable exploration, sampling and testing and is sufficient to assume geological and grade or quality continuity between points of observation. An Indicated Mineral Resource has a lower level of confidence than that applying to a Measured Mineral Resource and may only be converted to a Probable Mineral Reserve.

Inferred mineral resource: is defined in the CIM Definition Standards (2014) as referenced by NI 43-101 as that part of a Mineral Resource for which quantity and grade or quality are estimated on the basis of limited geological evidence and sampling. Geological evidence is sufficient to imply but not verify geological and grade or quality continuity. An Inferred Mineral Resource has a lower level of confidence than that applying to an Indicated Mineral Resource and must not be converted to a Mineral Reserve. It is reasonably expected that the majority of Inferred Mineral Resources could be upgraded to Indicated Mineral Resources with continued exploration.

Intercalated: said of layered material that exists or is introduced between layers of a different character; especially said of relatively thin strata of one kind of material that alternates with thicker strata of some other kind, such as beds of shale intercalated in a body of sandstone.

Jasperoid: a dense chert-like siliceous rock, in which chalcedony or cryptocrystalline quartz has replaced the carbonate materials of limestone or dolomite.

Kriging: a weighted, moving-average interpolation method in which the set of weights assigned to samples minimizes the estimation variance, which is computed as a function of the variogram model and locations of the samples relative to each other, and to the point or block being estimated.

Leach: to dissolve minerals or metals out of ore with chemicals.

Limestone: a sedimentary rock consisting predominantly of calcium carbonate.

Lithocap: the shallow part of porphyry copper systems typically above the main Cu-Au/-Mo zone; upper alteration zone.

Measured mineral resource: is defined in the CIM Definition Standards (2014) as referenced by NI 43-101 as that part of a Mineral Resource for which quantity, grade or quality, densities, shape, and physical characteristics are estimated with confidence sufficient to allow the application of Modifying Factors to support detailed mine planning and final evaluation of the economic viability of the deposit. Geological evidence is derived from detailed and reliable exploration, sampling and testing and is sufficient to confirm geological and grade or quality continuity between points of observation. A Measured Mineral Resource has a higher level of confidence than that applying to either an Indicated Mineral Resource or an Inferred Mineral Resource. It may be converted to a Proven Mineral Reserve or to a Probable Mineral Reserve.

Meta: a prefix that, when used with the name of a sedimentary or igneous rock, indicates that the rock has been metamorphosed.

Metamorphic rock: rock which has been changed from igneous or sedimentary rock through heat and pressure into a new form of rock.

Mineral Reserve: is defined in the CIM Definition Standards (2014) as referenced by NI 43-101 as the economically mineable part of a Measured and/or Indicated Mineral Resource. It includes diluting materials and allowances for losses, which may occur when the material is mined or extracted and is defined by studies at Pre-Feasibility or Feasibility level as appropriate that include application of Modifying Factors. Such studies demonstrate that, at the time of reporting, extraction could reasonably be justified. The reference point at which Mineral Reserves are defined, usually the point where the ore is delivered to the processing plant, must be stated. It is important that, in all situations where the reference point is different,

such as for a saleable product, a clarifying statement is included to ensure that the reader is fully informed as to what is being reported. The public disclosure of a Mineral Reserve must be demonstrated by a Pre-Feasibility Study or Feasibility Study.

Mineral Resource: is defined in the CIM Definition Standards (2014) as referenced by NI 43-101 as a concentration or occurrence of solid material of economic interest in or on the Earth's crust in such form, grade or quality and quantity that there are reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction. The location, quantity, grade or quality, continuity and other geological characteristics of a Mineral Resource are known, estimated or interpreted from specific geological evidence and knowledge, including sampling.

Modifying factors: is defined in the CIM Definition Standards (2014) as the considerations used to convert Mineral Resources to Mineral Reserves. These include, but are not restricted to, mining, processing, metallurgical, infrastructure, economic, marketing, legal, environmental, social and governmental factors.

Net smelter return royalty or NSR royalty: a type of royalty based on a percentage of the proceeds, net of smelting, refining and transportation costs and penalties, from the sale of metals extracted from concentrate and doré by the smelter or refinery.

NI 43-101: National Instrument 43-101 Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects of the Canadian Securities Administrators.

Oxide: a compound of ore that has been subjected to weathering and alteration as a result of exposure to oxygen for a long period of time.

Pegmatite: a very coarse-grained igneous rock that has a grain size of 20 millimetres or more.

Phyllite: a regional metamorphic rock, intermediate in grade between slate and schist. Minute crystals of sericite and chlorite impart a silky sheen to the surfaces exposed by cleavage.

Plagioclase: a series of tectosilicate minerals within the feldspar family.

Plutonic: intrusive igneous rock that is crystallized from magma slowly cooling below the surface of the Earth.

Porphyry: igneous rock consisting of large-grained crystals dispersed in a fine-grained matrix or groundmass.

Pre-Feasibility Study: is defined in the CIM Definition Standards (2014) as referenced by NI 43-101 as a comprehensive study of a range of options for the technical and economic viability of a mineral project that has advanced to a stage where a preferred mining method, in the case of underground mining, or the pit configuration, in the case of an open pit, is established and an effective method of mineral processing is determined. It includes a financial analysis based on reasonable assumptions on the Modifying Factors and the evaluation of any other relevant factors which are sufficient for a Qualified Person, acting reasonably, to determine if all or part of the Mineral Resource may be converted to a Mineral Reserve at the time of reporting. A Pre-Feasibility Study is at a lower confidence level than a Feasibility Study.

Preliminary Economic Assessment: is defined in NI 43-101 and NI 43-101CP as a study, other than a pre-feasibility or feasibility study that includes an economic analysis of the potential viability of mineral resources. The term preliminary economic assessment can include a study commonly referred to as a scoping study. A preliminary economic assessment might be based on measured, indicated, or inferred mineral resources, or a combination of any of these. These types of economic analyses include disclosure of forecast mine production rates that might contain capital costs to develop and sustain the mining operation, operating costs, and projected cash flows.

Probable mineral reserve: is defined in the CIM Definition Standards (2014) as referenced by NI 43-101 as the economically mineable part of an Indicated, and in some circumstances, a Measured Mineral Resource. The confidence in the Modifying Factors applying to a Probable Mineral Reserve is lower than that applying to a Proven Mineral Reserve. The Qualified Person(s) may elect to convert Measured Mineral Resources to Probable Mineral Reserves if the confidence in the Modifying Factors is lower than that applied to a Proven Mineral Reserve.

Proven mineral reserve: is defined in the CIM Definition Standards (2014) as referenced by NI 43-101 as the economically mineable part of a Measured Mineral Resource. A Proven Mineral Reserve implies a high degree of confidence in the Modifying Factors. Application of the Proven Mineral Reserve category implies that the Qualified Person has the highest degree of confidence in the estimate with the consequent expectation in the minds of the readers of the report. The term should be restricted to that part of the deposit where production planning is taking place and for which any variation in the estimate would not significantly affect the potential economic viability of the deposit. Proven Mineral Reserve estimates must be demonstrated to be economic, at the time of reporting, by at least a Pre-Feasibility Study.

Pyroclastic: pertaining to clastic rock material formed by volcanic explosion or aerial expulsion from a volcanic vent; also, pertaining to rock texture of explosive origin.

Qualified Person: is defined in NI 43-101 as an individual who (a) is an engineer or geoscientist with a university degree, or equivalent accreditation, in an area of geoscience, or engineering, relating to mineral exploration or mining; (b) has at least five years of experience in mineral exploration, mine development or operation or mineral project assessment, or any combination of these, that is relevant to his or her professional degree or area of practice; (c) has experience relevant to the subject matter of the mineral project and the technical report; (d) is in good standing with a professional association; and (e) in the case of a professional association in a foreign jurisdiction, has a membership designation that (i) requires attainment of a position of responsibility in their profession that requires the exercise of independent judgment; and (ii) requires A. a favourable confidential peer evaluation of the individual's character, professional judgement, experience, and ethical fitness; or B. a recommendation for membership by at least two peers, and demonstrated prominence or expertise in the field of mineral exploration or mining;

Run-of-mine: ore in its natural state as it is removed from the mine that has not been subjected to additional size reduction.

Schist: a strongly foliated crystalline rock, which readily splits into sheets or slabs as a result of the planar alignment of the constituent crystals. The constituent minerals are commonly specified (e.g. "quartz-muscovite-chlorite schist").

Shear zone: a tabular zone of rock that has been crushed and brecciated by parallel fractures due to "shearing" along a fault or zone of weakness. These can be mineralized with ore-forming solutions.

Silicification: the introduction of, or replacement by, silica, generally resulting in the formation of fine-grained quartz, chalcedony, or opal, which may fill pores and replace existing minerals.

Skarn: metamorphic zone developed in the contact area around igneous rock intrusions when carbonate sedimentary rocks are invaded by large amounts of silicon, aluminum, iron, and magnesium.

Spectrography: the process of using a spectrograph to map or photograph a spectrum.

Stockwork: a complex system of structurally controlled or randomly oriented veins.

Strata: layers of sedimentary rock with internally consistent characteristics that distinguish them from other layers.

Strike: the direction, or course or bearing of a vein or rock formation measured on a level surface.

Stratibound: confined to a particular stratigraphic layer or unit.

Stratiform: occurring as or arranged in strata.

Strip (or stripping) ratio: the tonnage or volume of waste material that must be removed to allow the mining of one tonne of ore in an open pit.

Sulfides or sulphides: compounds of sulfur (or sulphur) with other metallic elements.

Tailing: material rejected from a mill after the recoverable valuable minerals have been extracted.

Terrane: a rock formation or assemblage of rock formations that share a common geologic history.

Tuff: a general term for consolidated pyroclastic rocks.

Vein: sheet-like body of minerals formed by fracture filling or replacement of host rock.

Vuggy: containing small cavities in a rock or vein, often with a mineral lining of different composition from that of the surrounding rock.

Linear Measurements		
1 inch	=	2.54 centimeters
1 foot	=	0.3048 meter
1 yard	=	0.9144 meter
1 mile	=	1.609 kilometers
Area Measurements		
1 acre	=	0.4047 hectare
1 hectare	=	2.471 acres
1 square mile	=	640 acres or 259 hectares or 2.59 square kilometers
Units of Weight		
1 short ton	=	2000 pounds or 0.893 long ton
1 long ton	=	2240 pounds or 1.12 short tons
1 metric tonne	=	2204.62 pounds or 1.1023 short tons
1 pound (16 oz.)	=	0.454 kilograms or 14.5833 troy ounces
1 troy oz.	=	31.1035 grams
1 troy oz. per short ton	=	34.2857 grams per metric tonne

Analytical	percent	grams per metric tonne	troy oz per short ton
1%	1%	10,000	291.667
1 gram/tonne	0.0001%	1	0.029167
1 troy oz./short ton	0.003429%	34.2857	1
10 ppb	nil	0.01	0.00029
100 ppm	0.01	100	2.917

Temperature Conversion Formulas

Degrees Fahrenheit	=	(°C x 1.8) + 32
Degrees Celsius	=	(°F - 32) x 0.556

Frequently Used Abbreviations and Symbols

Frequentity Used A	obleviations and Symbols
AA	atomic absorption spectrometry
Ag	silver
As	arsenic
Au	gold
°C	degrees Celsius (centigrade)
CIM	Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum
cm	centimeter
C.P.G.	Certified Professional Geologist
CSAMT	Controlled source audio-frequency magnetotellurics
Cu	copper
ESIA	Environmental & Social Impact Assessment
F	fluorine
° F	degrees Fahrenheit
g	gram(s)
g/t	grams per tonne
Hg	mercury
HSE	high sulphidation epithermal
ICP AES	inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy
ICP MS	inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy
ICP MS/AAS	inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy/atomic absorption spectroscopy
IOCG	iron-oxide-copper-gold
IP	Induced polarization
JORC	Joint Ore Reserves Committee
JV	joint venture
kg	kilogram
km	kilometer
m	meter(s)
Ma	million years ago
Mn	manganese
Мо	molybdenum
n	number or count
0Z	troy ounce
opt	ounce per short ton
oz/ton	ounce per short ton
oz/tonne	ounce per metric tonne
Pb	lead
PEA	Preliminary Economic Assessment
PGE	platinum group element
ppb	parts per billion
ppm	parts per million
QA	quality assurance
QC	quality control
QP	Qualified Person
sq	square

Frequently Used Abbreviations and Symbols

Sb	antimony
VMS	volcanogenic massive sulfide
Zn	zinc

INTRODUCTION

Eurasian Minerals Inc. (the "Company" or "Eurasian" or "EMX") was incorporated under the laws of the Yukon Territory of Canada on August 21, 2001 as 33544 Yukon Inc. and, on October 10, 2001, changed its name to Southern European Exploration Ltd. On November 24, 2003, the Company completed the reverse take-over of Marchwell Capital Corp., a TSX Venture Exchange ("TSX-V") listed company incorporated in Alberta on May 13, 1996 and which subsequently changed its name to Eurasian Minerals Inc. On September 21, 2004, EMX continued into British Columbia from Alberta under the *Business Corporations Act.*

EMX's head office is located at Suite 501 - 543 Granville Street, Vancouver, British Columbia V6C 1X8, Canada, and its registered and records office is located at Northwest Law Group, Suite 704 - 595 Howe Street, Vancouver, British Columbia V6C 2T5, Canada.

Eurasian is a reporting company under the securities legislation of British Columbia and Alberta and is listed on the TSX-V, as a Tier 1 Company, and the NYSE MKT LLC ("NYSE MKT"). Eurasian's common shares without par value ("Common Shares") are traded on the TSX-V and the NYSE MKT under the symbol "EMX".

BUSINESS OF EURASIAN MINERALS INC.

Eurasian is principally in the business of exploring for, and generating royalties from, metals and minerals properties, as well as identifying royalty opportunities for purchase. Eurasian's business is carried out as a royalty and prospect generator. Under the royalty and prospect generation business model, it acquires and advances early-stage mineral exploration projects and then options the projects to, and thereby forms relationships with, other parties in consideration of a retained royalty interest, as well as annual advanced royalty and other cash or share payments and exploration carried out by the other parties. Through its various agreements, Eurasian also provides technical and commercial assistance to such companies as the projects advance. By optioning interests in its projects to third parties for a royalty interest, Eurasian:

- (a) reduces its exposure to the costs and risks associated with mineral exploration and project development,
- (b) maintains the opportunity to participate in early-stage exploration upside, and
- (c) develops a pipeline for potential production royalty payments and associated greenfields discoveries in the future.

This approach helps preserve the Company's treasury, which can be utilized for further project acquisitions and other business initiatives.

The Company's royalty and exploration portfolio consists of properties in North America, Turkey, Europe, Haiti, Australia, and New Zealand. Eurasian started receiving royalty income as of August 17, 2012 when it acquired Bullion Monarch Mining, Inc. ("Bullion Monarch" or "BULM"). This royalty cash flow serves to provide a foundation to support the Company's growth over the long term.

Strategic investments are an important complement to the Company's royalty and prospect generation initiatives. These investments are made in unrecognized or under-valued exploration companies identified by Eurasian. EMX helps to develop the value of these assets, with exit strategies that can include royalty positions or equity sales.

FINANCIAL AND OTHER INFORMATION

In this Annual Report, unless otherwise specified, all dollar amounts are expressed in Canadian Dollars ("CDN\$" or "\$"). References to "US\$" are to United States dollars. The Government of Canada permits a floating exchange rate to determine the value of the Canadian dollar against the U.S. dollar.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The Company is a "foreign private issuer" as defined in Rule 3b-4 under the Exchange Act and Rule 405 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"). Equity securities of the Company are accordingly exempt from Sections 14(a), 14(b), 14(c), 14(f) and 16 of the Exchange Act pursuant to Rule 3a12-3 thereunder.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report, including the documents incorporated by reference herein, may contain forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements may include statements regarding perceived merit of properties, exploration results and budgets, mineral reserves and resource estimates, work programs, capital expenditures, operating costs, cash flow estimates, production estimates and similar statements relating to the economic viability of a project, timelines, strategic plans, completion of transactions, market prices for metals or other statements that are not statements of fact. These statements relate to analyses and other information that are based on forecasts of future results, estimates of amounts not yet determinable and assumptions of management. Statements concerning mineral resource estimates may also be deemed to constitute "forward-looking statements" to the extent that they involve estimates of the mineralization that will be encountered if the property is developed.

Any statements that express or involve discussions with respect to predictions, expectations, beliefs, plans, projections, objectives, assumptions or future events or performance (often, but not always, identified by words or phrases such as "expects", "anticipates", "believes", "plans", "projects", "estimates", "assumes", "intends", "strategy", "goals", "objectives", "potential", "possible" or variations thereof or stating that certain actions, events, conditions or results "may", "could", "would", "should", "might" or "will" be taken, occur or be achieved, or the negative of any of these terms and similar expressions) are not statements of historical fact and may be forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements are based on a number of material assumptions, including those listed below, which could prove to be significantly incorrect:

- the Company's ability to achieve production at any of its mineral properties;
- estimated capital costs, operating costs, production and economic returns;
- estimated metal pricing, metallurgy, mineability, marketability and operating and capital costs, together with other assumptions underlying the Company's resource and reserve estimates;
- the Company's expected ability to develop adequate infrastructure at a reasonable cost;
- assumptions that all necessary permits and governmental approvals will be obtained;
- assumptions made in the interpretation of drill results, the geology, grade and continuity of the Company's mineral deposits;
- the Company's expectations regarding demand for equipment, skilled labor and services needed for exploration and development of mineral properties; and
- the Company's activities will not be adversely disrupted or impeded by development, operating or regulatory risks.

Forward-looking statements are subject to a variety of known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual events or results to differ from those reflected in the forward-looking statements, including, without limitation:

- uncertainty of whether there will ever be production at the Company's mineral exploration and development properties;
- uncertainty of estimates of capital costs, operating costs, production and economic returns;
- uncertainties relating to the assumptions underlying the Company's resource and reserve estimates, such as metal pricing, metallurgy, mineability, marketability and operating and capital costs;
- risks related to the Company's ability to commence production and generate material revenues or obtain adequate financing for its planned exploration and development activities;
- risks related to the Company's ability to finance the development of its mineral properties through external financing, joint ventures or other strategic alliances, the sale of property interests or otherwise;
- risks related to the third parties on which the Company depends for its exploration and development activities;
- dependence on cooperation of joint venture partners in exploration and development of properties;
- credit, liquidity, interest rate and currency risks;
- risks related to market events and general economic conditions;
- uncertainty related to inferred mineral resources;
- risks and uncertainties relating to the interpretation of drill results, the geology, grade and continuity of the Company's mineral deposits;
- risks related to lack of adequate infrastructure;
- mining and development risks, including risks related to infrastructure, accidents, equipment breakdowns, labor disputes or other unanticipated difficulties with or interruptions in development, construction or production;
- the risk that permits and governmental approvals necessary to develop and operate mines on the Company's properties will not be available on a timely basis or at all;
- commodity price fluctuations;

- risks related to governmental regulation and permits, including environmental regulation;
- risks related to the need for reclamation activities on the Company's properties and uncertainty of cost estimates related thereto;
- uncertainty related to title to the Company's mineral properties;
- uncertainty as to the outcome of potential litigation;
- risks related to increases in demand for equipment, skilled labor and services needed for exploration and development of mineral properties, and related cost increases;
- increased competition in the mining industry;
- the Company's need to attract and retain qualified management and technical personnel;
- risks related to hedging arrangements or the lack thereof;
- uncertainty as to the Company's ability to acquire additional commercially mineable mineral rights;
- risks related to the integration of potential new acquisitions into the Company's existing operations;
- risks related to unknown liabilities in connection with acquisitions;
- risks related to conflicts of interest of some of the directors of the Company;
- risks related to global climate change;
- risks related to adverse publicity from non-governmental organizations;
- risks related to political uncertainty or instability in countries where the Company's mineral properties are located;
- uncertainty as to the Company's passive foreign investment company ("PFIC") status;
- uncertainty as to the Company's status as a "foreign private issuer" and "emerging growth company" in future years;
- uncertainty as to the Company's ability to maintain the adequacy of internal control over financial reporting; and
- risks related to regulatory and legal compliance and increased costs relating thereto.

This list is not exhaustive of the factors that may affect any of the Company's forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are statements about the future and are inherently uncertain, and actual achievements of the Company or other future events or conditions may differ materially from those reflected in the forward-looking statements due to a variety of risks, uncertainties and other factors, including, without limitation, those referred to under the heading "Key Information" (as defined below), which is incorporated by reference herein.

The Company's forward-looking statements are based on the beliefs, expectations and opinions of management on the date of this Annual Report, and the Company does not assume any obligation to update forward-looking statements if circumstances or management's beliefs, expectations or opinions should change, except as required by law. For the reasons set forth above, investors should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements.

CAUTIONARY NOTE TO UNITED STATES INVESTORS REGARDING RESERVE AND RESOURCE INFORMATION

Unless otherwise indicated, all resource estimates, and any future reserve estimates, included or incorporated by reference in this annual report on Form 10-K have been, and will be, prepared in accordance with Canadian National Instrument 43-101 *Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects* ("NI 43-101") and the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum Definition Standards for Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves ("CIM Definition Standards"). NI 43-101 is a rule developed by the Canadian Securities Administrators which establishes standards for all public disclosure an issuer makes of scientific and technical information concerning mineral projects.

Canadian standards, including NI 43-101, differ significantly from the requirements of the SEC, and reserve and resource information contained or incorporated by reference into this annual report on Form 20-F may not be comparable to similar information disclosed by U.S. companies. In particular, and without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the term "resource" does not equate to the term "reserves". Under SEC Industry Guide 7, mineralization may not be classified as a "reserve" unless the determination has been made that the mineralization could be economically and legally produced or extracted at the time the reserve determination is made. SEC Industry Guide 7 does not define and the SEC's disclosure standards normally do not permit the inclusion of information concerning "measured mineral resources", "indicated mineral resources" or "inferred mineral resources" or other descriptions of the amount of mineralization in mineral deposits that do not constitute "reserves" by U.S. standards in documents filed with the SEC. U.S. investors should also understand that "inferred mineral resources" have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existence and great uncertainty as to their economic and legal feasibility. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of an "inferred mineral resource" will ever be upgraded to a higher category. Under Canadian rules, estimated "inferred mineral resources" may not form the basis of feasibility or pre-feasibility studies except in rare cases. Investors are cautioned not to assume that all or any part of an "inferred mineral resource" exists or is economically or legally mineable. Disclosure of "contained ounces" in a resource is permitted disclosure under Canadian regulations; however, the SEC normally only permits issuers to report mineralization that does not constitute "reserves" by SEC standards as in-place tonnage and grade without reference to unit measures. The requirements of NI 43-101 for identification of "reserves" are also not the same as those of the SEC, and any reserves reported by us in the future in compliance with NI 43-101 may not qualify as "reserves" under SEC standards. Accordingly, information concerning mineral deposits set forth herein may not be comparable to information made public by companies that report in accordance with United States standards.

PART I

ITEM 1. IDENTITY OF DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND ADVISERS

1.A.1. Directors

Table No. 1 lists as of March 27, 2017 the names of the Directors of the Company.

	Table No. 1 Directors	
Name	Age	Date First Elected or Appointed
Brian E. Bayley (1)(2)(3)(4)	64	May 13, 1996
David M. Cole (5)	55	November 24, 2003
Brian K. Levet (1)(2)(4)	64	March 18, 2011
Larry M. Okada (1)(2)(3)(6)	68	June 11, 2013
Michael D. Winn (3)(7)	55	November 24, 2003

(1) Member of Audit Committee

(2) Member of the Compensation Committee

Member of Corporate Governance Committee (3)

Suite 1703 – 595 Burrard Street, Vancouver, BC V7X 1S8 (4)

(5) 10001 W. Titan Road, Littleton, Colorado 80125

Suite 520 - 800 West Pender Street, Vancouver, BC V6C 2V6 (6)

Suite C - 381 Forest Avenue, Laguna Beach, California 92651 (7)

1.A.2. Senior Management

Table No. 2 lists, as of March 27, 2017, the names of the Senior Management of the Company.

Table No. 2 Senior Management

Name and Position	Age	Date of First Appointment		
David M. Cole (1)	55	November 24, 2003		
Christina Cepeliauskas (2)	53	September 18, 2008		
Kim Casswell (2)	60	November 13, 2015		

- (1) 10001 W. Titan Road, Littleton, Colorado 80125
- (2) Suite 501 543 Granville Street, Vancouver, BC V6C 1X8

Mr. Cole's business functions, as President of the Company and Chief Executive Officer, include strategic planning, business development, operations, liaison with lawyers-regulatory authorities-financial community/shareholders, and reporting to the Board of Directors of the Company (the "Board").

Ms. Cepeliauskas' business functions, as Chief Financial Officer, include responsibility for overseeing all of the Company's financial administration, accounting, liaison with auditors-accountants and preparation/payment/organization of the expenses/taxes/activities of the Company, and reporting to the Board. Ms. Cepeliauskas may delegate all or part of her duties as Chief Financial Officer to a nominee from time to time.

Ms. Casswell's business functions, as Corporate Secretary, include attending and being the secretary of all meetings of the Board, shareholders and committees of the Board and entering or causing to be entered in records kept for that purpose minutes of all proceedings thereat; giving or causing to be given, as and when instructed, all notices to shareholders, Directors, officers, auditors and members of committees of the Board; being the custodian of the stamp or mechanical device generally used for affixing the corporate seal of the Company and of all books, records and instruments belonging to the Company, except when some other officer or agent has been appointed for that purpose; and in the future can have such other powers and duties as the Board of the chief executive officer may specify. Ms. Casswell may delegate all or part of her duties as Corporate Secretary to a nominee from time to time.

1.B. Advisers

The Company's Canadian legal counsel:	Northwest Law Group Contact: Michael Provenzano 595 Howe Street, Suite 701 Vancouver, British Columbia V6C 2T5 Telephone: 604-687-5792 Facsimile: 604-687-6650
The Company's bank is:	Bank of Montreal First Bank Tower, Bentall 3 595 Burrard Street Vancouver, British Columbia V7X 1L7 Contact: Sheila Condratow Telephone: 604-665-7374 Facsimile: 604-668-1450
<u>1.C Auditors</u>	
The Company's auditor is:	Davidson and Company LLP 609 Granville Street, Suite 1200

ITEM 2. OFFER STATISTICS AND EXPECTED TIMETABLE

--- No Disclosure Necessary ---

ITEM 3. KEY INFORMATION

3.A.1. and 3.A.2 Selected Financial Data

The selected financial data of the Company for the fiscal years ending December 31, 2016, 2015, 2014, 2013, and 2012 was derived from the financial statements of the Company that have been audited by Davidson and Company LLP, Independent Registered Public Accountants, as indicated in their audit report, which are included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Vancouver, B.C. CANADA V7Y 1G6

Telephone: 604-687-0947 Facsimile: 604-687-6737 The Company has not declared any dividends since incorporation and does not anticipate that it will do so in the foreseeable future. The present policy of the Company is to retain all available funds for use in its operations and the expansion of its business.

Table No. 3 is derived from the financial statements of the Company, which have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board.

Table No. 3 Selected Financial Data (CDN\$)

	Year Ended				
	December 31, 2016	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2014	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
IFRS					
Royalty income	\$ 2,227,322	\$ 1,609,553	\$ 2,247,334	\$ 3,102,888	\$ 1,750,975
Exploration expenditures (net)	4,999,959	4,364,675	5,022,658	3,839,703	8,330,201
Net loss	(2,683,482)	(6,875,857)	(17,448,041)	(13,982,612)	(20,916,730)
Net loss per share - basic and diluted	(0.04)	(0.09)	(0.24)	(0.19)	(0.35)
Wtd. Avg. Shares	73,874,415	73,480,833	73,154,139	72,509,793	59,990,386
Period-end Shares	74,089,710	73,534,710	73,371,710	72,980,209	72,051,872
Working capital	6,002,318	5,787,109	7,096,916	14,217,999	22,702,855
Exploration and evaluation assets (net	2,145,000	2,381,540	2,379,886	3,031,368	4,940,941
Royalty interest	25,831,152	28,798,980	29,327,960	35,063,725	38,738,592
Total assets	47,843,555	50,624,129	54,292,093	70,073,220	82,475,787
Share capital	117,504,585	117,000,052	116,766,102	116,151,675	114,414,001
Deficit	(96,989,360)	(94,305,878)	(87,430,021)	(69,981,980)	(55,999,368)

3.A.3. Exchange Rates

In this Annual Report, unless otherwise specified, all dollar amounts are expressed in Canadian Dollars ("CDN\$"). The Government of Canada permits a floating exchange rate to determine the value of the Canadian Dollar against the U.S. Dollar ("US\$").

Table No. 4 sets forth the exchange rates for the Canadian Dollar at the end of five most recent fiscal years ended December 31, the average rates for the period, and the range of high and low rates for the period. The data for each month during the most recent six months is also provided.

For purposes of this table, the exchange rate means the Bank of Canada noon rate. The table sets forth the number of Canadian Dollars required to buy one U.S. dollar. The average exchange rate means the average of the exchange rates on the last day of each month during the period.

Table No. 4 U.S. Dollar/Canadian Dollar

Last 6 months ended	Average	High	Low	Close	
March 1 to 27, 2017	1.3389	1.3503	1.3308	1.3349	
February 2017	1.3092	1.3203	1.3014	1.3203	
January 2017	1.3218	1.3437	1.3078	1.3078	
December 2016	1.3349	1.3570	1.3124	1.3437	
November 2016	1.3456	1.3556	1.3362	1.3422	
October 2016	1.3244	1.3403	1.3097	1.3403	
September 2016	1.3093	1.3216	1.2860	1.3141	
Last Quarter & Last 5 Years					
Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2016	1.3251	1.4602	1.2533	1.3437	
Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2015	1.2783	1.3955	1.1613	1.3869	
Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2014	1.1041	1.1643	1.0627	1.1627	
Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2013	1.0298	1.0703	0.9835	1.0694	
Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2012	0.9996	1.0413	0.9675	0.9966	

On March 27, 2017, the exchange rate was CDN\$1.3349 to US\$1.

3.B. Capitalization and Indebtedness

--- No Disclosure Necessary ---

3.C. Reasons For The Offer And Use Of Proceeds

--- No Disclosure Necessary ---

3.D. Risk Factors

Investment in the Common Shares involves a significant degree of risk and should be considered speculative due to the nature of Eurasian's business and the present stage of its development. Prospective investors should carefully review the following factors together with other information contained in this Annual Report before making an investment decision.

Mineral Property Exploration Risks

The business of mineral exploration and extraction involves a high degree of risk. Few properties that are explored ultimately become producing mines. At present, none of the Company's properties has a known commercial ore deposit. The main operating risks include ensuring ownership of and access to mineral properties by confirmation that option agreements, claims and leases are in good standing and obtaining permits for drilling and other exploration activities.

When Eurasian earns interests in properties through option agreements, the acquisition of title to the properties is only completed when the option conditions have been met. These conditions generally include making property payments, incurring exploration expenditures on the properties and can include the satisfactory completion of pre-feasibility studies. If the Company does not satisfactorily complete these option conditions in the time frame laid out in the option agreements, the Company's title to the related property will not vest and the Company will have to write-off any previously capitalized costs related to that property.

The market prices for precious and base metals can be volatile and there is no assurance that a profitable market will exist for a production decision to be made or for the ultimate sale of the metals even if commercial quantities of precious and other metals are discovered.

Revenue and Royalty Risks

Eurasian cannot predict future revenues or operating results of the area of mining activity. Management expects future revenues from the Carlin Trend Royalty Claim Block, including the Leeville royalty property in Nevada, to fluctuate depending on the level of future production and the price of gold. Specifically, there is a risk that the operator of the property, Newmont Mining Corporation ("Newmont"), will cease to operate in the Company's area of interest, therefore there can be no assurance that ongoing royalty payments will materialize or be received by Eurasian.

Financing and Share Price Fluctuation Risks

Eurasian has limited financial resources and has no assurance that additional funding will be available for further exploration and development of its projects. Further exploration and development of one or more of the Company's projects may be dependent upon the Company's ability to obtain financing through equity or debt financing or other means. Failure to obtain this financing could result in delay or indefinite postponement of further exploration and development of its projects which could result in the loss of one or more of its properties.

The securities markets can experience a high degree of price and volume volatility, and the market price of securities of many companies, particularly those considered to be development stage companies, such as Eurasian, may experience wide fluctuations in share prices which may not necessarily be related to their operating performance, underlying asset values or prospects. There can be no assurance that share price fluctuations will not occur in the future, and if they do occur, the severity of the impact on Eurasian's ability to raise additional funds through equity issues.

Foreign Countries and Political Risks

The Company operates in countries with varied political and economic environments. As such, it is subject to certain risks, including currency fluctuations and possible political or economic instability which may result in the impairment or loss of mineral concessions or other mineral rights, opposition from environmental or other non-governmental organizations, and mineral exploration and mining activities may be affected in varying degrees by political stability and government regulations relating to the mineral exploration and mining industry. Any changes in regulations or shifts in political attitudes are beyond the control of the Company and may adversely affect its business. Exploration and development may be affected in varying degrees by government regulations with respect to restrictions on future exploitation and production, price controls, export controls, foreign exchange controls, income taxes, expropriation of property, environmental legislation and mine and site safety.

Notwithstanding any progress in restructuring political institutions or economic conditions, the present administration, or successor governments, of some countries in which Eurasian operates may not be able to sustain any progress. If any negative changes occur in the political or economic environment of these countries, it may have an adverse effect on the Company's operations in those countries. The Company does not carry political risk insurance.

Competition

The Company competes with many companies that have substantially greater financial and technical resources than it in the acquisition and development of its projects as well as for the recruitment and retention of qualified employees.

We do not intend to pay any cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

The Company has not declared or paid any dividends on our Common Shares. Our current business plan requires that for the foreseeable future, any future earnings be reinvested to finance the growth and development of our business. We do not intend to pay cash dividends on the Common Shares in the foreseeable future. We will not declare or pay any dividends until such time as our cash flow exceeds our capital requirements and will depend upon, among other things, conditions then existing including earnings, financial condition, restrictions in financing arrangements, business opportunities and conditions and other factors, or our Board determines that our shareholders could make better use of the cash.

No Assurance of Titles or Borders

The acquisition of the right to exploit mineral properties is a very detailed and time consuming process. There can be no guarantee that the Company has acquired title to any such surface or mineral rights or that such rights will be obtained in the future. To the extent they are obtained, titles to the Company's surface or mineral properties may be challenged or impugned and title insurance is generally not available. The Company's surface or mineral properties may be subject to prior unregistered agreements, transfers or claims and title may be affected by, among other things, undetected defects. Such third party claims could have a material adverse impact on the Company's operations.

Unknown Defects or Impairments in Our Royalty or Streaming Interests

Unknown defects in or disputes relating to the royalty and stream interests we hold or acquire may prevent us from realizing the anticipated benefits from our royalty and stream interests, and could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows and financial condition. It is also possible that material changes could occur that may adversely affect management's estimate of the carrying value of our royalty and stream interests and could result in impairment charges. While we seek to confirm the existence, validity, enforceability, terms and geographic extent of the royalty and stream interests we acquire, there can be no assurance that disputes over these and other matters will not arise. Confirming these matters, as well as the title to mining property on which we hold or seek to acquire a royalty or stream interest, is a complex matter, and is subject to the application of the laws of each jurisdiction to the particular circumstances of each parcel of mining property and to the documents reflecting the royalty or stream interest. Similarly, royalty and stream interests in many jurisdictions are contractual in nature, rather than interests in land, and therefore may be subject to change of control, bankruptcy or the insolvency of operators. We often do not have the protection of security interests over property that we could liquidate to recover all or part of our investment in a royalty or stream interest. Even if we retain our royalty and stream interests in a mining project after any change of control, bankruptcy or the operator, the project may end up under the control of a new operator, who may or may not operate the project in a similar manner to the current operator, which may negatively impact us.

Operators' Interpretation of Our Royalty and Stream Interests; Unfulfilled Contractual Obligations

Our royalty and stream interests generally are subject to uncertainties and complexities arising from the application of contract and property laws in the jurisdictions where the mining projects are located. Operators and other parties to the agreements governing our royalty and stream interests may interpret our interests in a manner adverse to us or otherwise may not abide by their contractual obligations, and we could be forced to take legal action to enforce our contractual rights. We may or may not be successful in enforcing our contractual rights, and our revenues relating to any challenged royalty or stream interests may be delayed, curtailed or eliminated during the pendency of any such dispute or in the event our position is not upheld, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows and financial condition. Disputes could arise challenging, among other things:

- the existence or geographic extent of the royalty or stream interest;
- methods for calculating the royalty or stream interest, including whether certain operator costs may properly be deducted from gross proceeds when calculating royalties determined on a net basis;
- third party claims to the same royalty interest or to the property on which we have a royalty or stream interest;
- various rights of the operator or third parties in or to the royalty or stream interest;
- production and other thresholds and caps applicable to payments of royalty or stream interests;
- the obligation of an operator to make payments on royalty and stream interests; and
- various defects or ambiguities in the agreement governing a royalty and stream interest.

Currency Risks

The Company's equity financings are sourced in Canadian dollars but much of its expenditures are in local currencies or U.S. dollars. At this time, there are no currency hedges in place. Therefore, a weakening of the Canadian dollar against the U.S. dollar or local currencies could have an adverse impact on the amount of exploration funds available and work conducted.

Joint Venture and Exploration Funding Risk

Eurasian's strategy is to seek exploration and joint venture partners through options and joint ventures to fund exploration and project development. The main risk of this strategy is that the funding parties may not be able to raise sufficient capital in order to satisfy exploration and other expenditure terms in a particular joint venture agreement. As a result, exploration and development of one or more of the Company's property interests may be delayed depending on whether Eurasian can find another party or has enough capital resources to fund the exploration and development on its own.

Insured and Uninsured Risks

In the course of exploration, development and production of mineral properties, the Company is subject to a number of risks and hazards in general, including adverse environmental conditions, operational accidents, labor disputes, unusual or unexpected geological conditions, changes in the regulatory environment and natural phenomena such as inclement weather conditions, floods, and earthquakes. Such occurrences could result in the damage to the Company's property or facilities and equipment, personal injury or death, environmental damage to properties of the Company or others, delays, monetary losses and possible legal liability. Although the Company may maintain insurance to protect against certain risks in such amounts as it considers reasonable, its insurance may not cover all the potential risks associated with its operations. The Company may also be unable to maintain insurance to cover these risks at economically feasible premiums or for other reasons. Should such liabilities arise, they could reduce or eliminate future profitability and result in increased costs, have a material adverse effect on the Company's results and a decline in the value of the securities of the Company.

Some work is carried out through independent consultants and the Company requires all consultants to carry their own insurance to cover any potential liabilities as a result of their work on a project.

Environmental Risks and Hazards

The activities of the Company are subject to environmental regulations issued and enforced by government agencies. Environmental legislation is evolving in a manner that will require stricter standards and enforcement and involve increased fines and penalties for non-compliance, more stringent environmental assessments of proposed projects, and a heightened degree of responsibility for companies and their officers, directors and employees. There can be no assurance that future changes in environmental regulation, if any, will not adversely affect Eurasian's operations. Environmental hazards may exist on properties in which the Company holds interests which are unknown to the Company at present.

We or the mining properties in which we have an interest are subject to substantial government regulation in the United States and in the other jurisdictions in which we operate. Changes to regulation or more stringent implementation could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Mining and exploration activities are subject to various laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment, such as the federal Clean Water Act and the Nevada Water Pollution Control Law. Although we currently believe that we are in compliance with existing environmental and mining laws and regulations and that our proposed exploration programs will also meet those standards, no assurance can be given that we will remain in compliance with applicable regulations or that new rules and regulations will not be enacted or that existing rules and regulations will not be applied in a manner that could limit or curtail production or development of our properties.

Reform of the General Mining Law could adversely impact our results of operations.

A majority of our mining properties in the United States consist of unpatented mining claims on federal lands. Legislation has been introduced regularly in the U.S. Congress over the last decade to change the General Mining Law of 1872, as amended (the "Mining Law"), under which we hold these unpatented mining claims. It is possible that the Mining Law may be amended or replaced by less favorable legislation in the future. Previously proposed legislation contained a production royalty obligation, new environmental standards and conditions, additional reclamation requirements and extensive new procedural steps which would likely result in delays in permitting. The ultimate content of future proposed legislation, if enacted, is uncertain. At present, there is no royalty payable to the United States on production from unpatented mining claims, although legislative attempts to impose a royalty have occurred in recent years. Amendments to current laws and regulations governing our operations and activities of exploration, development mining and milling or more stringent implementation thereof could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations and cause increases in exploration expenses, capital expenditures or production costs or reduction in levels of production or require delays or abandonment in the development of new mining properties. If a royalty on unpatented mining claims were imposed, the profitability of our U.S. unpatented mining claims could be materially adversely affected.

Any such reform of the Mining Law could increase the costs of mining activities on unpatented mining claims, or could materially impair our ability to develop or continue operations which derive ore from federal lands, and as a result, could have an adverse effect on us and our results of operations.

Mineral reserves are only estimates which may be unreliable.

Although mineralization may not be classified as a "reserve" unless the mineralization can be economically and legally extracted or produced at the time the "reserve" determination is made, "mineral reserves" are estimates only, and no assurance can be given that the anticipated tonnages and grades will be achieved, that the indicated level of recovery will be realized or that mineral reserves can be mined or processed profitably. Mineral reserve and mineral resource estimates may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-political, marketing and other relevant issues. There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating mineral reserves and mineral reserve or mineral resource estimate is a subjective process, and the accuracy of any mineral reserve or mineral resource estimate is a function of the quantity and quality of available data, the nature of the ore body and of the assumptions made and judgments used in engineering and geological interpretation. These estimates may require adjustments or downward revisions based upon further exploration or development work or actual production experience.

Fluctuations in gold or silver prices, results of drilling, metallurgical testing and production, the evaluation of mine plans after the date of any estimate, permitting requirements or unforeseen technical or operational difficulties may require revision of mineral reserve estimates. Prolonged declines in the market price of gold or silver may render mineral reserves containing relatively lower grades of mineralization uneconomical to recover and could materially reduce our mineral reserves. Should reductions in mineral reserves occur, we may be required to take a material write-down of our investment in mining properties, reduce the carrying value of one or more of our assets or delay or discontinue production or the development of new projects, resulting in increased net losses and reduced cash flow. Mineral reserves should not be interpreted as assurances of mine life or of the profitability of current or future operations. There is a degree of uncertainty attributable to the calculation and estimation of mineral reserves and corresponding grades being mined and, as a result, the volume and grade of mineral reserves mined and processed and recovery rates may not be the same as currently anticipated. Any material reductions in estimates of mineral reserves, or of our ability to extract these mineral reserves, could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Fluctuating Metal Prices

Factors beyond the control of the Company have a direct effect on global metal prices, which have fluctuated widely, particularly in recent years, and there is no assurance that a profitable market will exist for a production decision to be made or for the ultimate sale of the metals even if commercial quantities of precious and other metals are discovered on any of Eurasian's properties. Consequently, the economic viability of any of the Company's exploration projects and its ability to finance the development of its projects cannot be accurately predicted and may be adversely affected by fluctuations in metal prices.

Extensive Governmental Regulation and Permitting Requirements Risks

Exploration, development and mining of minerals are subject to extensive laws and regulations at various governmental levels governing the acquisition of the mining interests, prospecting, development, mining, production, exports, taxes, labor standards, occupational health, waste disposal, toxic substances, land use, environmental protection, mine safety and other matters. In addition, the current and future operations of Eurasian, from exploration through development activities and production, require permits, licenses and approvals from some of these governmental authorities. Eurasian has obtained all government licenses, permits and approvals necessary for the operation of its business to date. However, additional licenses, permits and approvals may be required. The failure to obtain any licenses, permits or approvals that may be required or the revocation of existing ones would have a material and adverse effect on Eurasian, its business and results of operations.

Failure to comply with applicable laws, regulations and permits may result in enforcement actions thereunder, including orders issued by regulatory or judicial authorities requiring Eurasian's operations to cease or be curtailed, and may include corrective measures requiring capital expenditures, installation of additional equipment or remedial actions. Eurasian may be required to compensate those suffering loss or damage by reason of its mineral exploration activities and may have civil or criminal fines or penalties imposed for violations of such laws, regulations and permits. Any such events could have a material and adverse effect on Eurasian and its business and could result in Eurasian not meeting its business objectives.

In addition, we are required to expend significant resources to comply with numerous corporate governance and disclosure regulations and requirements adopted by U.S. federal and state and Canadian federal and provincial governments, as well as the TSX and NYSE MKT. These additional compliance costs and related diversion of the attention of management and key personnel could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Key Personnel Risk

Eurasian's success is dependent upon the performance of key personnel working in management and administrative capacities or as consultants. The loss of the services of senior management or key personnel could have a material and adverse effect on the Company, its business and results of operations. The Company does not carry "key personnel" insurance.

Conflicts of Interest

In accordance with the laws of British Columbia and the United States, the directors and officers of a Company are required to act honestly, in good faith and in the best interests of the Company. Eurasian's directors and officers may serve as directors or officers of other companies or have significant shareholdings in other resource companies and, to the extent that such other companies may participate in ventures in which the Company may participate, such directors and officers may have a conflict of interest in negotiating and concluding terms respecting the extent of such participation. If such a conflict of interest arises at a meeting of the Company's directors, a director with such a conflict will abstain from voting for or against the approval of such participation or such terms.

Passive Foreign Investment Company

U.S. investors in common shares should be aware that based on current business plans and financial expectations, Eurasian currently expects that it will be classified as a passive foreign investment company ("PFIC") for the tax year ending December 31, 2016 and expects to be a PFIC in future tax years. If Eurasian is a PFIC for any tax year during a U.S. shareholder's holding period, then such U.S. shareholder generally will be required to treat any gain realized upon a disposition of common shares, or any so-called "excess distribution" received on its common shares, as ordinary income, and to pay an interest charge on a portion of such gain or distributions, unless the U.S. shareholder makes a timely and effective "qualified electing fund" election ("QEF Election") or a "mark-to-market" election with respect to the common shares. A U.S. shareholder who makes a QEF Election generally must report on a current basis its share of Eurasian's net capital gain and ordinary earnings for any year in which Eurasian is a PFIC, whether or not Eurasian distributes any amounts to its shareholders. For each tax year that Eurasian qualifies as a PFIC, Eurasian intends to: (a) make available to U.S. shareholders, upon their written request, a "PFIC Annual Information Statement" as described in Treasury Regulation Section 1.1295-1(g) (or any successor Treasury Regulation) and (b) upon written request, use commercially reasonable efforts to provide all additional information that such U.S. shareholder is required to obtain in connection with maintaining such QEF Election with regard to Eurasian. Eurasian may elect to provide such information on its website www.EurasianMinerals.com. This paragraph is qualified in its entirety by the discussion below the heading "Taxation -Certain United States Federal Income Tax Considerations." Each U.S. investor should consult its own tax advisor regarding the PFIC rules and the U.S. federal income tax consequences of the acquisition, ownership and disposition of common shares.

Corporate Governance and Public Disclosure Regulations

The Company is subject to changing rules and regulations promulgated by a number of United States and Canadian governmental and self-regulated organizations, including the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), the British Columbia and Alberta Securities Commissions, the NYSE MKT and the TSX-V. These rules and regulations continue to evolve in scope and complexity and many new requirements have been created, making compliance more difficult and uncertain. The Company's efforts to comply with the new rules and regulations have resulted in, and are likely to continue to result in, increased general and administrative expenses and a diversion of management time and attention from revenue-generating activities to compliance activities.

Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

Applicable securities laws require an annual assessment by management of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. The Company may, in the future, fail to achieve and maintain the adequacy of its internal control over financial reporting, as such standards are modified, supplemented or amended from time to time, and the Company may not be able to ensure that it can conclude on an ongoing basis that it has effective internal control over financial reporting. Future acquisitions may provide the Company with challenges in implementing the required processes, procedures and controls in its acquired operations. Acquired Corporations may not have disclosure controls and procedures or internal control over financial reporting that are as thorough or effective as those required by securities laws currently applicable to the Company.

No evaluation can provide complete assurance that the Company's internal control over financial reporting will detect or uncover all failures of persons within the Company to disclose material information otherwise required to be reported. The effectiveness of the Company's controls and procedures could also be limited by simple errors or faulty judgments. In addition, should the Company expand in the future, the challenges involved in implementing appropriate internal control over financial reporting will increase and will require that the Company continue to improve its internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 4. INFORMATION ON THE COMPANY

4.A. History and Development of the Company

Introduction

The Company's corporate office is located at:

Suite 501, 543 Granville Street Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6C 1X8 Telephone: (604) 688-6390 Facsimile: (604) 688-1157 Website: www.EurasianMinerals.com Email: kcasswell@seabordservices.com

The contact person is: Kim Casswell, Corporate Secretary.

The Company's registered and records office is located at Suite 704, 595 Howe Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6C 2T5.

The Company's technical office is located at:

10001 W. Titan Road Littleton, Colorado United States of America, 80125 Telephone: 303-973-8585 Facsimile: 303-973-0715

The Company's fiscal year ends December 31.

The Company's Common Shares trade on the TSX-V and on the NYSE MKT under the symbol "EMX".

At December 31, 2016, the end of the Company's most recent fiscal year, there were 74,089,710 Common Shares issued and outstanding.

Incorporation and Name Changes

Eurasian Minerals Inc. was incorporated under the laws of the Yukon Territory of Canada on August 21, 2001 as 33544 Yukon Inc. and, on October 10, 2001, changed its name to Southern European Exploration Ltd. On November 24, 2003, the Company completed the reverse take-over of Marchwell Capital Corp., a TSX-V-listed company incorporated in Alberta on May 13, 1996 and which subsequently changed its name to Eurasian Minerals Inc. On September 21, 2004, Eurasian continued into British Columbia from Alberta under the *Business Corporations Act*.

Eurasian's head office is located at Suite 501 - 543 Granville Street, Vancouver, British Columbia V6C 1X8, Canada, and its registered and records office is located at Northwest Law Group, Suite 704 - 595 Howe Street, Vancouver, British Columbia V6C 2T5, Canada.

Eurasian is a reporting issuer under the securities legislation of British Columbia and Alberta and is listed on the TSX-V, as a Tier 1 issuer, and the NYSE MKT.

Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2014

On January 7, 2014, the Company announced the signing of an Exploration and Option Agreement (the "Alankoy Agreement") with Ferrite Resources Ltd. ("Ferrite"), a privately-held Australian company, for the disposition, by option, of the Alankoy copper-gold property in northwestern Turkey. Ferrite has the option to earn a 100% interest in the project through work commitments, payments, and annual advance royalties. EMX will retain an uncapped 3% production royalty that cannot be purchased in advance or otherwise reduced. Under the Alankoy Agreement, Ferrite paid US\$35,000 upon signing the Alankoy Agreement and must expend at least US\$200,000 on exploration activities on the project each year for the three years. In addition, Ferrite is required to make annual deliveries of gold bullion to EMX as advance royalties. These will consist of 75 troy ounces of gold (or cash equivalent thereof) delivered on each of the first three anniversaries and annual

advance royalties of 100 troy ounces of gold (or cash equivalent) on all subsequent anniversaries until commencement of commercial production. See "Mineral Properties – Turkey".

On February 19, 2014, EMX signed an Exploration and Option Agreement (the "NQM Agreement") with North Queensland Mining Pty Ltd. ("NQM"), a privately-held Australian company, respecting EMX's Koonenberry exploration licenses in New South Wales, Australia. Under the NQM Agreement, Eurasian granted NQM the option, exercisable until February 19, 2017, to acquire the EMX subsidiary (EMX Exploration Pty Ltd.) that holds the Company's remaining exploration licenses in the project area, with EMX retaining a 3% production royalty. On or before the second anniversary of the NQM Agreement date, NQM can reduce such 3% production royalty to 2.5%, by agreeing to pay annual advance royalties in the following amounts:

- 75 troy ounces of gold (or cash equivalent thereof) on the first anniversary of NQM's election to reduce the amount of the production royalty,
- 100 troy ounces of gold (or cash equivalent) on the earlier of the third anniversary of the NQM Agreement date or the exercise of the election, and
- 100 troy ounces of gold (or cash equivalent) on all subsequent anniversaries of the NQM Agreement date until commencement of commercial production.

In February 2014, the Board of Directors adopted an Advance Notice Policy in respect of the election of directors. The purpose of the Policy is to provide shareholders, directors and management of the Company with a clear framework for nominating persons for election as directors of the Company. No person will be eligible for election unless nominated in accordance with the Policy. The Policy was ratified by the Company's shareholders at its annual general meeting on May 13, 2014 and subsequently incorporated into the Company's articles.

On April 25, 2014, incentive stock options, exercisable to purchase an aggregate of 1,531,000 Common Shares at a price of \$1.20 per share for a period of five years, were granted to officers, directors and employees of, and consultants to, the Company.

On April 25, 2014, the Company announced that it intended to issue an aggregate of 300,000 Common Shares in lieu of cash remuneration to two non-executive employees and a consultant. An aggregate of 300,000 Common Shares would be issued over a period of two years, with the initial tranche of 100,000 Common Shares being issued upon receipt of TSX-V and NYSE MKT approval, and a further 100,000 Common Shares on each of the first and second anniversaries. The first tranche was issued on May 30, 2014.

On May 15, 2014, EMX announced the signing of an Exploration and Option Agreement (the "Lomitas Agreement"), through its wholly-owned subsidiary Bronco Creek Exploration, Inc. ("Bronco Creek"), respecting the Lomitas Negras porphyry copper project with Kennecott Exploration Company ("Kennecott"), part of the Rio Tinto Group. Pursuant to the Lomitas Agreement, Kennecott can earn a 100% interest in the project by completing US\$4,500,000 in exploration expenditures and paying escalating option payments totaling US\$900,000 within five years after the date of the Lomitas Agreement, after which EMX will retain a 2% NSR royalty.

In June 2014, Dr. Rael Lipson was appointed to the Company's advisory board.

On July 4, 2014, EMX announced the signing of an Exploration and Option Agreement (the "Cathedral Well Agreement") by its wholly-owned subsidiary Bronco Creek with Ely Gold and Minerals Inc. ("Ely Gold"), a Vancouver-based mineral exploration company listed on the TSX-V, respecting EMX's Cathedral Well gold project. Pursuant to the Cathedral Well Agreement, Ely Gold can earn a 100% interest in the project by paying EMX a total of US\$100,000 as follows: US\$25,000 upon execution of the Cathedral Well Agreement and US\$75,000 over the next three years, after which EMX will retain a 2.5% NSR royalty, inclusive of an underlying 0.5% NSR royalty.

On November 13, 2014, the Company announced the execution of an agreement with Land & Mineral Limited ("L&M"), a privately-held Australian company, giving L&M the right to acquire Hauraki Gold Ltd. ("Hauraki"), the wholly-owned EMX subsidiary that controls the Neavesville Property located in the Hauraki goldfield of New Zealand's North Island. The purchase and sale agreement included an execution payment of \$100,000 (\$50,000 received in January 2015) and a series of anniversary and milestone payments equal to a certain amount of troy ounces of gold.

Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2015

In February 2015, Mr. Paul H. Stephens was appointed to the Company's advisory board.

In March 2015, Dr. Enders resigned from the position of Chief Operating Officer and as a Director of the Board. Dr. Enders continued as a consultant and was appointed to the Company's advisory board.

On May 4, 2015 the Company announced the signing of an Exploration and Option to Purchase Agreement, through its wholly owned subsidiary Bronco Creek, for the Superior West porphyry copper project with Kennecott ("Superior West Agreement"). The project is located adjacent to the Resolution porphyry copper project within the Superior Mining District, approximately 100 kilometers east of Phoenix, Arizona.

Commercial Terms Overview. Pursuant to the Superior West Agreement, Kennecott can earn a 100% interest in the project by making a cash payment upon execution of the Superior West Agreement of US\$149,187, and thereafter completing US\$5,500,000 in exploration expenditures and paying annual option payments totaling US\$1,000,000 before the fifth anniversary of the Superior West Agreement.

Upon exercise of the option the Company will retain a 2% NSR royalty on the properties. Kennecott has the right to buy down 1% of the NSR royalty covering 14 claims which are optioned (the "Optioned Claims") from underlying claim holders by payment of US\$4,000,000 to EMX. Except with respect to the Optioned Claims, the royalty is not capped and not subject to buy-down.

After exercise of the option, annual advanced minimum royalty ("AMR") payments are due starting at US\$125,000 and commencing on the first anniversary of the exercise of the option. The AMR payments will increase to US\$200,000 upon completion of an Order of Magnitude Study ("OMS") or Preliminary Economic Assessment ("PEA"). Kennecott may make a one-time payment of US\$4,000,000 to extinguish the obligation to make AMR payments. In addition, if not previously extinguished, total AMR payments after the OMS or PEA milestone payment are capped at US\$4,000,000, and all AMR payments cease upon production from the properties.

In addition, Kennecott will make milestone payments consisting of:

- US\$500,000 upon completion of an OMS or PEA;
- US\$1,000,000 upon completion of a Prefeasibility Study; and
- US\$2,500,000 upon completion of a Feasibility Study. The Feasibility Study payment will be credited against future royalty payments.

On May 26, 2015 the Company reported the initial NI 43-101 resource estimate and Russian Federation project approvals for the Malmyzh copper-gold porphyry project. The Malmyzh exploration and mining licenses, located in the Russian Far East, are held by a Joint Venture between IG Copper LLC ("IGC") (51%) and Freeport-McMoRan Exploration Corporation ("Freeport") (49%), with IGC operating and managing the project. The Company is IGC's largest shareholder with 42.2% of the issued and outstanding shares (37% on a fully diluted basis) resulting from investments totaling US \$7.8 million. The Company's investment in IGC is in recognition of the significant potential of the district-scale discovery at Malmyzh, as well as IGC's success in acquiring additional exploration properties in a prospective region under-explored for its porphyry copper-gold potential.

On June 11, 2015 the Company announced that pursuant to the Company's Stock Option Plan, an aggregate of 1,341,500 incentive stock options, exercisable at a price of \$0.66 per share for a period of five years, has been granted to officers, directors, employees and consultants of the Company.

On July 13, 2015 the Company announced that the National Instrument 43-101 *Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects* technical report titled "NI 43-101 Technical Report on the Initial Mineral Resource Estimate for the Malmyzh Copper-Gold Project, Khabarovsk Krai, Russian Federation" (the "Report") dated July 10, 2015 has been filed on SEDAR at www.sedar.com and on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov.

On August 4, 2015 the Company announced the signing of an Exploration and Option to Purchase Agreement, through its wholly owned subsidiary Bronco Creek, for the Aguila de Cobre porphyry copper project (the "Aguila de Cobre Project") with Kennecott ("Aguila de Cobre Agreement"). The Aguila de Cobre Project is located approximately 120 kilometers west of Phoenix, Arizona in a relatively un-explored region of the Arizona porphyry copper belt.

Commercial Terms Overview. Pursuant to the Aguila de Cobre Agreement, Kennecott can earn a 100% interest in the Aguila de Cobre Project by making cash payments and performing exploration as follows (all amounts are US\$):

Cash Payments:

- \$25,000 upon execution of the Aguila de Cobre Agreement (firm commitment);
- \$25,000 on the first and second anniversaries of the Agreement;
- \$50,000 on the third anniversary of the Aguila de Cobre Agreement; and
- \$100,000 upon exercise of the Option.

Exploration:

- Completing \$250,000 of exploration expenditures (or paying the Company that amount) by the first anniversary of the Aguila de Cobre Agreement (firm commitment); and
- Completing an additional \$3,750,000 of exploration expenditures (or paying the Company that amount) by the third anniversary of the Aguila de Cobre Agreement.

Upon exercise of the option, the Company will retain a 2% NSR royalty on the property. The royalty is not capped and not subject to buy-down. The Aguila de Cobre Agreement contains a one-mile area of interest provision.

After exercise of the option, AMR payments are due starting at \$50,000 and commencing on the first anniversary of the exercise of the option. The AMR payments will increase to \$100,000 upon completion of an OMS or PEA, after which Kennecott may make a one-time payment of \$2,500,000 to extinguish the obligation to make future AMR payments. In addition, if not previously extinguished, total AMR payments after the OMS or PEA milestone payment are capped at \$2,500,000, and all AMR payments cease upon production from the properties.

In addition, Kennecott will make milestone payments consisting of:

- \$500,000 upon completion of an OMS or PEA;
- \$500,000 upon completion of a Pre-Feasibility Study; and
- \$1,000,000 upon completion of a Feasibility Study this payment will be credited against future royalty payments.

On October 30, 2015 the Company announced that it has regained 100% control of the Akarca gold-silver project in Turkey (the "Property"). The Company had an agreement with Çolakoglu Ticari Yatirim A.S. ("Çolakoglu"), a privately owned Turkish company, for an option to acquire AES Turkey, a Turkish corporation that controls the property. Çolakoglu has advised the Company that it decided to forego exercising the option. Çolakoglu has made cash payments of US \$350,000 to the Company while advancing the property through substantial exploration and drilling programs, as well as metallurgical and environmental studies.

The Akarca project is a grassroots discovery highlighted by six separate gold-silver mineralized centers occurring within a district-scale area. Exploration completed to date includes 245 core and reverse circulation holes totaling about 26,400 meters of drilling and property-wide geologic mapping, geochemical sampling, and geophysical surveys. This work has been conducted primarily through partner-funded programs totaling over US \$13 million that considerably advanced the project.

On November 2, 2015 the Company announced the sale of its interests in Haiti to joint venture partner Newmont Ventures Limited ("Newmont" or "NVL"), a wholly owned subsidiary of Newmont Mining Corporation, for a US \$4 million (CDN \$5.3 million) cash payment and a retained 0.5% NSR royalty interest.

The now terminated Eurasian-Newmont joint ventures (the "Joint Ventures") covered six designated exploration areas along a 130 kilometer trend of northern Haiti's Massif du Nord mineral belt. Since 2013, activities in the designated exploration areas have been limited to care and maintenance only.

Pursuant to the transaction, Newmont acquired all of the Company's interest in the Research Permit applications on the following terms:

- Newmont paid US \$4 million (CDN \$5.3 million) in cash to the Company at closing;
- The Joint Ventures were terminated;
- The Company retains a 0.5% NSR royalty on the 49 Research Permit applications covering the designated exploration areas; and
- The Company retains the right to acquire any properties proposed to be abandoned or surrendered by Newmont.

On November 16, 2015, the Company announced the resignation of Valerie Barlow as Corporate Secretary and the appointment of Kim Casswell in her place.

On November 23, 2015, the Company announced the signing of an Exploration and Option Agreement with Black Sea

Copper & Gold Corp. ("Black Sea"), a privately-held British Columbia corporation (the "Black Sea Agreement"), for the Alankoy copper-gold property in northwestern Turkey. Black Sea has the option to earn a 100% interest in the subsidiary companies that control the property through work commitments, payments, and annual advance royalties ("AARs"). The Company will retain an uncapped production royalty for all minerals produced from the project. The royalty cannot be purchased in advance or otherwise reduced.

Commercial Terms. Pursuant to the Black Sea Agreement, Black Sea has the option to acquire the Company's subsidiaries that hold the Alankoy project, with the Company retaining a production royalty of 3% for gold, silver, and other precious metals and 2% for all other minerals produced from the project. To do so, Black Sea is to make payments to the Company and conduct exploration as follows:

- Pay US \$25,000 upon signing the Black Sea Agreement;
- Expend at least US \$75,000 on exploration activities on or before the later of June 1, 2016 and the date on which drilling permits have been issued (the "Commencement Date");
- Conduct at least 1,500 meters of exploration drilling by the first anniversary of the Commencement Date;
- Expend at least an additional US \$200,000 on exploration activities by the second anniversary of the Commencement Date;
- Expend at least an aggregate of US \$3,000,000 on exploration activities on or before the sixth anniversary of the date of the Black Sea Agreement; and
- Pay 500 troy ounces of gold (or cash equivalent thereof) upon a decision to develop a mine on the project.

In addition, Black Sea is to make annual deliveries of gold bullion (or cash equivalent thereof) to the Company as AARs, as follows:

- 37.5 troy ounces of gold delivered on the first anniversary of the date of the Black Sea Agreement (this payment may be made in shares of Black Sea if at that time Black Sea is publicly traded on the TSX-V);
- 75 troy ounces of gold delivered on the second and third anniversaries of the date of the Black Sea Agreement; and
- 100 troy ounces of gold delivered on all subsequent anniversaries until commencement of commercial production.

On November 24, 2015 the Company announced the signing of an Exploration and Option Agreement (the "Hardshell Agreement"), through its wholly owned subsidiary Bronco Creek, for the Hardshell Skarn project (the "Hardshell Project") with Arizona Minerals Inc. ("AZ Minerals"). The Hardshell Project is located approximately 75 kilometers southeast of Tucson, Arizona within the Patagonia Mountains and adjacent to AZ Minerals' advancing Hermosa project.

Commercial Terms Overview. Pursuant to the Hardshell Agreement, AZ Minerals can earn a 100% interest in the Hardshell Project by making cash payments totaling \$85,000 (all amounts are US\$). Upon exercise of the option the Company will retain a 2% NSR royalty on the Hardshell Project and receive AAR payments of \$5,000 commencing on the first anniversary of the exercise of the option. The royalty is not capped and not subject to buy-down.

On December 10, 2015 the Company announced that IGC advises that an additional license has been granted for the Malmyzh copper-gold porphyry project in Far East Russia. The Malmyzh licenses are held by IGC (51%) and Freeport (49%), with IGC operating and managing the project. The new "Malmzyh Flanks" exploration license expands the Joint Venture's land position covering the Malmyzh district for a total of 226.9 square kilometers, and includes additional areas for potential infrastructure development as well as extensions to known exploration targets.

On December 23, 2015 the Company announced it has been advised of the initial shipment of material for processing from the Balya lead-zinc-silver royalty property by owner and operator Dedeman Balya Kursun Cinko Isletmeleri A.S. The Company retains an uncapped 4% net smelter return royalty on the Balya property, which is located in the historic Balya mining district of northwestern Turkey. The Dedeman group (collectively "Dedeman") includes privately-held Turkish mining companies with active operations that produce lead, zinc, silver, and chromite.

Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2016

On February 23, 2016 the Company announced the execution of a purchase agreement (the "Golden Predator Agreement")for net smelter return royalty interests on the Maggie Creek and Afgan gold properties from Golden Predator US Holding Corp. ("Golden Predator"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Till Capital Ltd. ("TCL"). Golden Predator owns a 2% NSR royalty on all precious metals and a 1% NSR royalty on all other minerals for the Maggie Creek property, which is located north-northeast of Newmont Mining Corporation's ("Newmont") Gold Quarry open pit operations on the Carlin Trend, and a 1% NSR royalty on all minerals for the Afgan property, which occurs on the Battle Mountain-Eureka Trend. The addition of these two royalty assets will strengthen the Company's growing Nevada gold portfolio that includes the

Leeville royalty property on the Northern Carlin Trend, as well as the Maggie Creek South royalty property located south-southeast of Gold Quarry.

Commercial Terms Overview. A summary of the Golden Predator Agreement's commercial terms includes:

- Purchase by the Company of Golden Predator's NSR royalties covering the Maggie Creek (2% NSR on precious metals and 1% NSR royalty on all other minerals) and Afgan (1% NSR royalty) properties;
- Issuance by the Company of 250,000 EMX shares to TCL as consideration for the purchase; and
- Approval by the TSX-V and NYSE MKT as a condition precedent to closing the transaction.

On March 7, 2016 the Company announced that the purchase of net smelter return royalty interests has been completed for the Maggie Creek and Afgan gold properties from Golden Predator after receiving approvals from the TSX-V and NYSE MKT.

In Q1 2016, EMX sold its 100% controlled Grand Bois project in Haiti, which was outside the Joint Venture with Newmont, to a privately held Nevada corporation. EMX retained a 0.5% NSR royalty interest in the Grand Bois project and the right to acquire any properties proposed to be abandoned or surrendered from the Grand Bois project in the future.

On July 25, 2016 the Company reported that IG Copper LLC ("IGC") advises that approval to advance the Malmyzh coppergold project has been received from the Government Commission on Monitoring Foreign Investment (the "Commission") chaired by Prime Minister Dmitry Medvedev. The Malmyzh exploration and mining licenses are held by IGC (51%) and Freeport-McMoRan Exploration Corporation (49%) (the "Joint Venture"), with IGC operating and managing the project. EMX is IGC's largest shareholder with 39% of the issued and outstanding shares. The Commission's approval marks a pivotal milestone in the development of the Malmzyh project.

IGC has advised that the Commission's approval represents the successful completion of the review process required for "strategically significant" deposits according to Russian law (i.e., the Law on Foreign Investments in Strategic Industries, also termed the Strategic Industries Law or "SIL"). The SIL approval process commenced after the Joint Venture, through its Russian subsidiary Amur Minerals LLC, received certified "on balance C1+C2 reserves" from the GKZ (State Reserves Committee) that exceeded thresholds for both copper and gold defining Malmyzh as a "strategically significant" mineral deposit. EMX emphasizes that the Malmyzh "C1+C2 reserves" were estimated according to the rules and regulations of the Russian Federation, and are not the same as reserves under NI 43-101. According to IGC, highlights of the Commission's approval include:

- The Joint Venture, as a majority foreign owned business entity, has been approved to retain control of the Malmyzh project exploration and mining licenses.
- The Joint Venture, therefore, maintains mining and production rights for the Malmyzh and Malmyzh North exploration and mining licenses.
- The Joint Venture holds 100% of the rights for the Malmyzh and Malmyzh North exploration and mining licenses, and is entitled to recover all minerals of economic value including copper, gold and by-product minerals.

The conclusion of the SIL process initiates a new, multi-year phase in the project's development. The Malmyzh team is preparing a "Project" (Proekt) document that outlines advanced plans and programs that include additional technical work (i.e., drilling, exploration, metallurgy, engineering, and hydrology), as well as environmental, social, and economic assessments. The detailed Project plan will be submitted to the appropriate agencies for approval. Once accepted, IGC advises that the Joint Venture will commence executing the approved Project plan. This next phase of work will ultimately conclude as a detailed "TEO¹ of Permanent Conditions" report, which is considered to be a precursor to commencement of exploitation and mining.

¹Technico-Economicheskiye Obosnovaniye (Technical-Economic Basis)

On August 3, 2016, the Company announced the sale of EBX Madencilik A.S., the wholly-owned EMX subsidiary that controls the Sisorta gold property (the "Sisorta Property") in Turkey, to Bahar Madencilik Sinayi ve Ticaret Ltd Sti ("Bahar"), a privately owned Turkish company, pursuant to a Share Purchase Agreement (the "Sisorta Agreement") with Bahar. Please see <u>www.eurasianminerals.com</u> for more information.

Commercial Terms. The Sisorta Agreement provides for Bahar's staged payments to EMX as summarized below (all amounts in United States dollars):

• US\$250,000 cash payment to EMX upon closing of the sale (completed).

- Annual cash payments of US\$125,000 ("Advance Cash Payments") payable on each anniversary of the closing date until commencement of commercial production from the Sisorta Property.
- 3.5% of production returns after certain deductions ("NSR Payment") for ore mined from the Sisorta Property that is processed on-site (increased to 5% if the ore is processed off-site).
- The Advance Cash Payments will be credited at a rate of 80% against the NSR Payment payable after commercial production commences.
- The NSR Payment is uncapped and cannot be bought out or reduced.

Bahar intends to immediately commence advanced exploration and development work on the Sisorta project.

On August 8, 2016 the Company announced the sale of AES Madencilik A.S., the wholly-owned EMX subsidiary that controls the Akarca gold-silver project (the "Akarca Property") in western Turkey, to Çiftay İnşaat Taahhüt ve Ticaret A.Ş. ("Çiftay"), a privately owned Turkish company. The Akarca Property is an EMX grassroots discovery highlighted by six separate gold-silver mineralized centers occurring within a district-scale area. See <u>www.eurasianminerals.com</u> for more information.

Commercial Terms. The terms of the sale provide payments to EMX as summarized below (all dollar amounts in United States dollars and all gold payments can be as gold bullion or the cash equivalent):

- US\$2,000,000 cash payment to EMX upon closing of the sale (completed).
- 500 ounces of gold every six months commencing February 1, 2017 up to a cumulative total of 7,000 ounces of gold. (received US\$601,825, the cash equivalent of 500 troy ounces subsequent to December 31, 2016).
- 7,000 ounces of gold within 30 days after the commencement of commercial production from the Akarca Property provided that prior gold payments will be credited against this payment.
- 250 ounces of gold upon production of 100,000 ounces of gold from the Akarca Property.
- 250 ounces of gold upon production of an aggregate of 500,000 ounces of gold from the Akarca Property.
- A sliding-scale royalty in the amount of the following percentages of production returns after certain deductions ("Akarca Royalty") for ore mined from the Akarca Property:
 - For gold production: 1.0% on the first 100,000 ounces of gold; 2.0% on the next 400,000 ounces of gold; 3.0% on all gold production in excess of 500,000 ounces produced from the Akarca Property.
 - For all production other than gold production: 3.0%.
- The Akarca Royalty is uncapped and cannot be bought out or reduced.

In addition, Çiftay must conduct a drilling program of at least 3,000 meters on the Akarca Property during each 12-month period commencing on August 5, 2016 until commencement of commercial production.

On September 8, 2016 the Company provided an update on exploration results from the Company's spring and summer programs in Norway and Sweden. EMX has built a portfolio of exploration projects in Scandinavia, and has been compiling geologic information and generating drill targets on those properties. Reconnaissance drilling at the Gumsberg Volcanogenic Massive Sulfide ("VMS") project, located in the prolific Bergslagen district of Sweden, has yielded several shallow high grade intercepts of polymetallic mineralization along a > 2 kilometer trend of mineralization. Gumsberg is located less than 30 kilometers from Boliden AB's Garpenberg mine, which has similar styles of mineralization and is one of the major zinc, lead and silver producers in the region.

On October 17, 2016 the Company announced the sale of five patented mining claims comprising its Ophir property in Utah (the "Utah Property"), through its wholly owned subsidiary Bullion Monarch Mining Inc., to Kennecott. The terms of the sale include a cash payment to EMX at closing, with the Company retaining a 2% NSR royalty on the Utah Property.

Kennecott is actively conducting copper porphyry exploration in the Ophir mining district, and is a quality partner for what is now an EMX royalty property. EMX and Kennecott are also exploring the Company's Superior West porphyry copper project in Arizona under an exploration and option to purchase agreement.

Commercial Terms. Upon closing of the sale of the Utah Property:

- Kennecott has paid EMX US\$75,000,
- EMX has retained a 2% NSR royalty, and
- EMX retains the rights to exploration data generated from the Property.

As a result of the sale, the Ophir property has been added to EMX's royalty portfolio.

On October 19, 2016 the Company announced the execution of an Exploration and Option to Purchase Agreement (the "Copper King Agreement"), through its wholly owned subsidiary Bronco Creek, for the Copper King porphyry copper project (the "Copper King Project") to Kennecott. The Copper King Project is located approximately 100 kilometers east of Phoenix, Arizona within the Superior Mining District, and approximately four kilometers northwest of the Resolution porphyry copper deposit.

Pursuant to the Copper King Agreement, Kennecott can earn a 100% interest in the Project by (a) reimbursing the 2016 holding costs and making option payments, together totaling US\$504,314 (US \$29,314 related to holding costs received), and (b) completing US\$4,000,000 in exploration expenditures before the fifth anniversary of the Copper King Agreement. Upon exercise of the option EMX will retain a 2% NSR royalty on the Project which is not capped or purchasable.

After exercise of the option, annual advance minimum royalty ("AMR") payments are due starting at US\$100,000 and commencing on the first anniversary of the exercise of the option. The AMR payments will increase to US\$150,000 upon completion of an Order of Magnitude Study ("OMS") or Preliminary Economic Assessment ("PEA"). Kennecott may make a one-time payment of US\$3,500,000 to extinguish the obligation to make AMR payments. In addition, if not previously extinguished, total AMR payments after the OMS or PEA milestone payment are capped at US\$3,500,000, and all AMR payments cease upon commencement of production from the Project.

In addition, Kennecott will make milestone payments consisting of:

- US\$500,000 upon completion of an OMS or PEA;
- US\$1,000,000 upon completion of a Prefeasibility Study; and
- US\$2,000,000 upon completion of a Feasibility Study. The Feasibility Study payment will be credited against future royalty payments.

On October 27, 2016 the Company announced that it has entered into an exploration and option agreement (the "Coeur Agreement"), through its wholly-owned subsidiary Bronco Creek Exploration, Inc., with Coeur Explorations, Inc., a subsidiary of Coeur Mining, Inc. ("Coeur") for the Mineral Hill gold-copper property ("Mineral Hill Property") in Wyoming. EMX's Mineral Hill project is held under a pooling agreement with a private group, Mineral Hill L.P. ("MHL"), with all proceeds split 50:50, except for the sale of surface rights associated with several patented mining claims.

Pursuant to the Coeur Agreement, Coeur may acquire a 100% interest in the Mineral Hill Property by a) making yearly option payments, beginning upon execution of the Coeur Agreement, totaling US\$435,000 (US\$10,000 received upon execution), b) making exploration expenditures totaling US\$1,550,000 on or before the fifth anniversary of the agreement, and c) paying US\$250,000 upon exercise of the option.

Upon exercise of the option, EMX and MHL will retain a 4% NSR royalty, of which Coeur may purchase up to 1.5% of the NSR royalty if, within sixty days after the completion of a preliminary economic assessment ("PEA"), Coeur purchases the first 0.5% for US\$1,000,000. Coeur may purchase an additional 0.5% or 1% of the NSR royalty at any time thereafter for US\$2,000,000 per 0.5% interest (maximum total buy down of 1.5%), with EMX and MHL retaining a 2.5% interest.

After the option exercise, EMX and MHL will receive annual advance minimum royalties of US\$150,000 and, upon completion of a feasibility study, a milestone payment of US\$1,000,000.

On November 22, 2016 the Company announced the execution of a definitive agreement with Boreal Metals Corp. ("BMC"), a British Columbia corporation, pursuant to which BMC will acquire two wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company that control the Gumsberg and Adak exploration assets in Sweden and the Tynset and Burfjord assets in Norway (the "Scandinavian Properties"). Closing occurred in Q1 2017. The sale of the Scandinavian portfolio is another example of Eurasian's execution of its royalty generation business model, resulting in additional organic royalty property growth for Eurasian, as well as establishing a substantial equity position in a new Scandinavian-focused resource company.

Commercial Terms Overview: :

• At closing, Eurasian will transfer to BMC its entire interest in its wholly-owned subsidiary lekelvare AB, which owns or will own that portion of the Scandinavian Properties located in Sweden, and its entire interest in its wholly-owned subsidiary EMX Exploration Scandinavia AB, which owns that portion of the Scandinavian Properties located in Norway.

- At closing, BMC will issue to Eurasian that number of common shares of BMC that represents a 19.9% equity ownership in BMC; BMC will have the continuing obligation to issue additional shares of BMC to Eurasian to maintain its 19.9% interest in BMC, at no additional cost to Eurasian, until BMC has raised CDN\$5,000,000 in equity; thereafter Eurasian will have the right to participate pro-rata in future financings at its own cost to maintain its 19.9% interest in BMC.
- Eurasian will receive an uncapped 3% net smelter return ("NSR") royalty on each of the Scandinavian Properties. Within five years of the closing date, BMC has the right to buy down up to 1% of the royalty owed to Eurasian on any given project (leaving Eurasian with a 2% NSR) by paying Eurasian US\$2,500,000 in cash and shares of BMC. Such buy down is project specific.
- Eurasian will receive annual advance royalty ("AAR") payments of US\$20,000 for each of the Properties commencing on the second anniversary of the closing, with each AAR payment increasing by US\$5,000 per year until reaching US\$60,000 per year, except that BMC may forgo AAR payments on two of the four Scandinavian Properties in years two and three. Once reaching US\$60,000, AAR payments will be adjusted each year according to the Consumer Price Index (as published by the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics).
- Eurasian will receive a 0.5% NSR royalty on any new mineral exploration projects generated by BMC in Sweden or Norway, excluding projects acquired from a third party containing a mineral resource or reserve or an existing mining operation. These royalties are not capped and not subject to a buy down.
- Eurasian will have the right to nominate one seat on the Board of Directors of BMC.

On December 16, 2016 the Company announced that it will change the ticker symbol of its common shares listed on the NYSE MKT from **EMXX** to **EMX** effective Monday, December 26, 2016. Eurasian Minerals Inc. now trades on both the TSX Venture and NYSE MKT exchanges as EMX.

Subsequent to Year Ended 2016

On January 24, 2017 the Company announced initial results from the fall-winter drill program at the Malmyzh copper-gold porphyry project, including the longest mineralized intercept drilled to date on the property. Drill hole AMM-213 intersected 747.4 meters (108.7-856.1 m) averaging 0.49% copper equivalent (0.41% copper and 0.17 g/t gold) principally hosted in phreatomagmatic breccias and diorite porphyries at the Freedom Northwest prospect. The hole doubled the drilled vertical extent of the Freedom Northwest system, while bottoming in mineralization. In addition, reconnaissance drilling at the Sleeper West prospect intersected a shallow zone of 109 meters averaging 0.58% copper equivalent (0.53% copper and 0.09 g/t gold) starting at 13.5 meters in hole AMM-210. Freedom Northwest and Sleeper West are not included in the current Malmyzh resource estimate, which underscores the project's additional exploration upside. The Malmyzh exploration and mining licenses are held by IG Copper LLC ("IGC") (51%) and Freeport-McMoRan Exploration Corporation (49%) (the "Joint Venture"), with IGC operating and managing the project. EMX is IGC's largest shareholder with 39% of the issued and outstanding shares.

On January 25, 2017 the Company provided an update on the Company's Leeville royalty property that covers portions of Newmont Mining Corporation's ("Newmont") underground mining operations in the Northern Carlin Trend. EMX has noted an increase in Leeville royalty revenue and equity gold ounces starting in mid-2016. In addition to royalty income from gold production, the Leeville property also provides the Company with upside exposure to Newmont's ongoing exploration advancements at the Rita K and Full House gold deposits. Newmont expects initial resources for Rita K in 2018, and has already outlined resources at Full House.

4.B. BUSINESS OVERVIEW

Eurasian is principally in the business of exploring for, and generating royalties from, metals and minerals properties, as well as identifying royalty opportunities for purchase. Eurasian's business is carried out as a royalty and prospect generator. Under the royalty and prospect generation business model, it acquires and advances early-stage mineral exploration projects and then options the projects to, and thereby forms relationships with, other parties in consideration of a retained royalty interest, as well as annual advanced royalty and other cash or share payments and exploration carried out by the other parties. Through its various agreements, Eurasian also provides technical and commercial assistance to such companies as the projects advance. By optioning interests in its projects to third parties for a royalty interest, Eurasian:

- (a) reduces its exposure to the costs and risks associated with mineral exploration and project development,
- (b) maintains the opportunity to participate in early-stage exploration upside, and
- (c) develops a pipeline for potential production royalty payments and associated greenfields discoveries in the future.

This approach helps preserve the Company's treasury, which can be utilized for further project acquisitions and other business initiatives.

The Company's royalty and exploration portfolio consists of properties in North America, Turkey, Europe, Haiti, Australia, and New Zealand. Eurasian started receiving royalty income as of August 17, 2012 when it acquired Bullion Monarch. This royalty cash flow serves to provide a foundation to support the Company's growth over the long term.

Strategic investments are an important complement to the Company's royalty and prospect generation initiatives. These investments are made in unrecognized or under-valued exploration companies identified by Eurasian. EMX helps to develop the value of these assets, with exit strategies that can include royalty positions or equity sales.

Government Regulation and Environmental Protection

Eurasian's current exploration activities are conducted in North America, Turkey, Europe, Australia and New Zealand. Such activities are affected in varying degrees by political stability and government regulations relating to foreign investment and the mining industry. Changes in these regulations or shifts in political attitudes are beyond Eurasian's control and may adversely affect Eurasian's business. Operations may be affected in varying degrees by government regulations with respect to restrictions on production, income taxes, expropriation of property, repatriation of funds, environmental legislation and mine safety.

The mining industry is also subject to extensive and varying environmental regulations in each of the jurisdictions in which Eurasian operates. Environmental regulations establish standards respecting health, safety and environmental matters and place restrictions on toxins resulting from mining activities. These regulations can have an impact on the selection of mining projects and facilities, potentially resulting in increased capital expenditures by Eurasian or its joint venture partners. In addition, environmental legislation may require certain projects to be abandoned and sites reclaimed to the satisfaction of local authorities. Eurasian is committed to complying with environmental and operation legislation wherever it operates.

Eurasian's current or future operations, including exploration and development activities on its properties, require permits from various governmental authorities, and such operations are, and will be, governed by laws and regulations governing exploration, development, taxes, occupational health, waste disposal, toxic substances, land use, environmental protection and other matters. Compliance with these requirements may prove to be difficult and expensive. While Eurasian has properties in numerous jurisdictions, its most advanced projects are located in Turkey and the United States.

Governmental Regulation in Turkey

Mining Regulation

The legal mining regime in Turkey is principally governed by the Turkish Mining Law No. 3213, as amended most recently on February 4, 2015¹ for the purpose of, among other things, avoiding labour accidents, and restating the mining license fees, governmental royalties, and sanctions in order to make it more compliant with the most recent global conditions. The Turkish Mining Activities Implementation Communiqué was adopted and amended during the amendment of Turkish Mining Law on June 10, 2010; however, this Implementation Communiqué has not been amended yet in accordance with the latest

¹ Turkish Mining Law No.3213 was first adopted on June 4, 1985 with several amendments on December 24, 1986, July 30, 1999, June 15, 2001, May 26 2004, June 3, 2007, June 10, 2010 and with the latest amendment on February 4, 2015.

amendments of Turkish Mining Law dated February 4, 2015. Turkey is still awaiting the adoption of the amendment of Implementation Communiqué to comply with latest amended Turkish Mining Law. The mining sector is regulated under the umbrella of the General Directorate of Mining Affairs of the Republic of Turkey, a unit of the Ministry of Energy and Natural Resources of the Republic of Turkey. Mining rights and minerals are exclusively owned by the Turkish state, and the ownership of minerals in Turkey is not subject to the ownership of the relevant land. The state, under the Turkish Mining Law and secondary mining legislation, delegates its rights to explore and operate to Turkish individuals or legal entities established under Turkish law by issuing licenses for a determined period of time in return for the payment of a royalty. There is no distinction between the mining rights that may be acquired by local investors and those that may be acquired by foreign investors so long as foreign investors establish a company in Turkey under Turkish law.

The General Directorate of Mining Affairs, is the authorized body to regulate mining activities and to issue mining licenses in Turkey. In addition, local administrative bodies of Turkey also have a certain level of authority relating to licenses and the regulation of mining facilities. Transferring the mining license is subject to the prior approval of the Ministry of Energy and Natural Resources of the Republic of Turkey.

The Turkish Mining Law classifies underground resources into six different groups, and the licensing procedure for each group differs slightly. Briefly, the groups are as follows: (I) sand and gravel, (II) marble and other similar decorative stones, (III) mineral salts from seas, lakes and fresh waters, (IV) energy, metal and industrial minerals, including gold, silver, platinum, copper, lead, zinc, aluminum, uranium, thorium and radioactive minerals, (V) precious minerals such as gemstones, and (VI) a group of minerals which is not stated amongst these groups shall be identified by the Ministry of Energy and Natural Resources of Republic of Turkey under secondary legislation of Turkey.

There are two types of licenses granted for the exploration and operation of mines and one type of operation permit under the Turkish Mining Law, as follows: Group II (b), Group III, Group IV minerals at the first stage require general exploration licenses. Group V minerals require exploration certificates. Group I and Group II (a) and (c) are directly granted with operation licences.

- Exploration License. Enables its holder to carry out general exploration activities (i.e., all mining activities other than those carried out for production) in a specific area issued for a period of two years for Group IV minerals including gold mining and one year for the other groups. If the license holder owning a group of minerals satisfies its obligations, the license holder owning Group IV minerals will have a right to an additional four years of detailed exploration; for Group II (b), Group III and Group V mines, the relevant license holder is obliged to meet the operation license's requirements until the end of its general exploration period.
- Operation License. Enables its holder to carry out operational activities within the same area as stated in the exploration license for the proved, potential and feasible mine reserve area. The term of the operation license for Group I (a) minerals are five years. The other groups of minerals are at least ten years depending on the specific project. The terms of the operation licenses may generally be extended upon the application of the license holder with a new operation project provided that such extension request is accepted by the General Directorate of Mining Affairs of Turkey. The term of the operation license for Group I (a) minerals cannot exceed forty years, and for other groups of minerals cannot exceed fifty years. Extension requests for more than thirty years for Group I (a) minerals and forty years for Group II minerals are made directly to the Ministry of Energy and Natural Resources of the Republic of Turkey.
- Operation Permit. EnableS its holder to operate a specific mine as specified in the operation license and granted only for the proved mine reserves area that is determined during the prospecting period. The license holder, within three years following the issuance of the operation license shall obtain the required approvals, permits such as environmental impact assessment decision, ownership decision, land usage decision, workplace opening and operation permit and other permits stated under clause 7 of the Mining Law and then, accordingly, the license holder is granted the operation permit by the General Directorate of Mining Affairs of Turkey. The operation permit is required to be obtained until the end of the term of the operation license.

The Turkish Mining Law provides for different royalty percentages for different groups of mines. The royalty percentages for Group IV minerals, including gold, silver, platinum, lead copper, zinc, aluminum and uranium oxide minerals are in the below chart.

The Royalty Percentages	For Group IV Miner	als under Turkish Mining Law
The Royandy Tereentages	r or or oup r + mine	

Royalty(%)	Gold	Silver	Platinum	Coppe	Lead	Zinc	Chrome	Aluminum	Uranium
				r					Oxide
	\$/oz	\$/oz	\$/oz		\$/oz	\$/oz	\$/T	\$/T	
				\$/oz					\$/lb
2	<800	<10	<500	<5000	<1000	<1000	<100	<1000	<20
4	801-1250	11-20	501-1000	5001-	1001-	1001-	101-300	1001-2000	20-40
				7500	2000	2500			
6	1251-1500	21-25	1001-	7501-	2001-	2501-	301-500	2001-2350	41-80
			1250	8000	2250	3000			
8	1501-1750	25-30	1251-	8001-	2251-	3001-	501-700	2351-2600	81-110
			1500	8500	2500	3500			
10	1751-2000	31-35	1501-	8501-	2501-	3501-	701-900	2601-2850	111-140
			1750	9000	3000	4000			
14	2001-2250	36-40	1751-	9001-	3001-	4001-	901-1100	2851-3100	141-170
			2000	9500	3500	4500			
16	>2251	>41	>2001	>9501	>3501	>4501	>1101	>3101	>171

Environmental Regulation

In Turkey, where Eurasian's most advanced projects are located, both the level of environmental regulation and its enforcement have become more stringent in recent years. Mining operations are subject to environmental laws and regulations promulgated by the Turkish Ministry of Environment and Urban Planning, the Ministry of Forestry and Water Works and regional and local authorities. The Turkish Mining Law amended in 2015 has brought more detailed provisions to the mining activities for the compliance of environmental rules. The Regulation on Environmental Impact Assessments, for example, requires any entity that is involved in activities that could have an environmental impact to prepare a Report of Environmental Impact Assessment or a Project Information File. No approvals, permits, incentives, or construction and occupancy licenses may be granted, nor any investments made, nor any tenders awarded for these projects unless and until the Turkish Ministry of Environmental laws and regulations also require certain businesses to comply with ongoing requirements to reduce the environmental impact of certain operations and activities, which also include mining activities. In addition, in Turkey, the issue of allocation of environmentally sensitive areas such as forest areas, hunting areas, special protection areas, national parks and agriculture areas for the granting of licenses for activities to be carried out in such areas is also regulated and is under the supervision of the Turkish Ministry of Forestry and Water Works.

Under current Turkish environmental laws and regulations, regulatory authorities may suspend or terminate non-compliant operations, levy monetary penalties and require non-compliant entities to bear the cost of related remediation programs. For example, under Turkish environmental and criminal laws, non-compliant operations may be subject to private action and liable for damages arising from their activities, as well as subject to criminal penalties (such as imprisonment and monetary fines) for deliberately providing regulatory authorities with false or misleading information regarding regulated activities or otherwise failing to comply with certain regulations. In addition, a property owner may be held liable for the cost of the removal or remediation of hazardous or toxic wastes discovered on its property, the cost of which could be substantial, where generally such liability attaches regardless of whether the owner knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of such hazardous or toxic substances.

Environmental laws, as they may be amended over time, can impose restrictions on the manner of use of properties, and compliance with these restrictions may require substantial expenditures. Environmental laws and regulations impose sanctions for non-compliance and may be enforced by governmental agencies. Third parties also may seek recovery from companies for personal injury or property damage associated with exposure to the release of hazardous substances.

Commercial Regulation

The Turkish Commercial Code numbered 6762, which was in effect as of 1957, has been amended substantially with the new Turkish Commercial Code numbered 6102 (the "New Turkish Commercial Code"). The New Turkish Commercial Code is intended to provide for institutionalisation, increased competitive power and the establishment of increased public confidence, corporate governance and transparency, The code permits joint stock companies and limited liability companies to be established with only one shareholder and with one board member.

Some of the key features of the New Turkish Code include the following:

- Companies are generally obliged to have a website online and to allocate a part of this website to publish certain issues, documents, financial statements and resolutions whether publicly traded or not.
- For joint stock companies, it is sufficient for the board of directors to consist of solely one member. A legal entity can also be a board member; however in this case, a natural person must be designated to represent the legal entity. There is no restriction and mandatory requirement for the board members to reside in Turkey and to be a Turkish citizen.
- Board members of a joint stock company are no longer required to shareholders in the company.
- The financial tables of a joint stock company are to be prepared in accordance with the financial reporting standards determined by the Turkish Accounting Standards Board. These standards are expected to be amended to comply with the International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS").
- The New Turkish Commercial Code enables the board members to attend and to vote in meetings via transfer of image and voice according to the provisions of the articles of association of the company. The provisions regarding the meeting and decision quorum of the board of directors shall also be applicable if the meetings of the board of directors are held in an electronic environment.
- The New Turkish Commercial Code stipulates the rights of shareholders to attend, give proposals, declare opinions and vote at the general assembly of joint stock company via electronic means.
- The management and representation of a limited company may be performed by one or more managers. For a limited liability company, it is sufficient to have at least one manager. If there is more than one manager then there is a board of managers. In this situation, one of the managers is appointed by general assembly as a chairman of the board of managers. The President of board of managers has an authority to make all statements and declarations on behalf of the company. In any case, at least one shareholder must be appointed as a manager who has a right to manage and represent the limited liability company.
- The limited liability company must keep a share ledger. The share ledger shall reflect the following; names/titles and addresses of the shareholders, number of shares held by each shareholder, share transfer details, nominal value of shares, class of shares, encumbrances over the shares and the names/titles and addresses of beneficiaries of such encumbrances created over the shares.
- The limited liability company is obliged to keep the commercial books indicating the commercial transactions and asset structure of the company. The LLC shall observe and apply Turkish Accounting Standards as announced by the Turkish Accounting Standards Board, including the conceptual framework of accounting principles and interpretations while keeping its commercial books. An important change however, is that the compulsory accounting standards will adopt IFRS. The opening and closing of the books must be certified by a notary public.
- The manager(s) of a limited liability company must prepare and submit to the attention of the general assembly the financial charts, appendices and the activity report of the company for the preceding accounting period. This must be done in accordance with the Turkish Accounting Standards and within the first three months of the relevant accounting period (fiscal year) following the balance sheet date. The relevant Turkish Accounting Standards have been applicable from January 1, 2013.

Eurasian cannot predict the outcome of each effect of the New Turkish Code, and compliance with these requirements may prove to be difficult and expensive.

Repatriation of Earnings

Currently, there are no restrictions on the repatriation of earnings or capital to foreign entities from Turkey, where Eurasian's most advanced projects are located. However, there can be no assurance that any such restrictions on repatriation of earnings or capital from Turkey or any other country where we may invest will not be imposed in the future.

Mining Regulation

Mining activities in the United States are subject to numerous federal, state and local laws and regulations. At the federal level, mines are subject to inspection and regulation by the United States Mine Safety and Health Administration ("MSHA") under provisions of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977. The Occupation Safety and Health Administration also has jurisdiction over certain safety and health standards not covered by MSHA. Mining operations and all proposed exploration and development require a variety of permits. In addition, any mining operations occurring on federal property are subject to regulation and inspection by the United States Bureau of Land Management ("BLM"). Eurasian's current projects are also subject to state and local laws and regulations in Arizona, Nevada, Utah and Wyoming.

Environmental Regulation

Eurasian's exploration, mining and processing operations are subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations governing prospecting, exploration, development, production, labor standards, occupational health, mine safety, control of toxic substances, and other matters involving environmental protection and employment. United States environmental protection laws address the maintenance of air and water quality standards, the preservation of threatened and endangered species of wildlife and vegetation, the preservation of certain archaeological sites, reclamation, and limitations on the generation, transportation, storage and disposal of solid and hazardous wastes, among other things.

Legislation and implementation of regulations adopted or proposed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency, the BLM and by comparable agencies in various states directly and indirectly affect the mining industry in the United States. These laws and regulations address the environmental impact of mining and mineral processing, including potential contamination of soil and water from tailings, discharges and other wastes generated by mining process. In particular, legislation such as the Clean Water Act, the Clean Air Act, the Federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and the National Environmental Policy Act require analysis and/or impose effluent standards, new source performance standards, air quality standards and other design or operational requirements for various components of mining and mineral processing. Mining projects also are subject to regulations under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, which regulates and establishes liability for the release of hazardous substances. In addition, statutes may impose liability on mine developers for remediation of waste they have created.

Our operations are also subject to laws and regulations governing protection of endangered and other specified species. In May 2015, the U.S. Department of the Interior released a plan to protect the greater sage grouse, a species whose natural habitat is found across much of the western United States, including Nevada. The U.S. Department of the Interior's plan is intended to guide conservation efforts on approximately 70 million acres of national public lands. No assurances can be made that restrictions relating to conservation will not have an adverse impact on our operations in impacted areas.

Specialized Skill and Knowledge

All aspects of Eurasian's business require specialized skills and knowledge. Such skills and knowledge include the areas of geology, finance, accounting and law.

Competitive Conditions

Competition in the mineral exploration industry is intense. Eurasian competes with other companies, many of which have greater financial resources and technical facilities, for the acquisition and exploration of mineral interests, as well as for the recruitment and retention of qualified employees and consultants.

Raw Materials (Components)

Other than water and electrical or mechanical power – all of which are readily available on or near its properties – Eurasian does not require any raw materials with which to carry out its business.

Intangible Property

Eurasian does not have any need for nor does it use any brand names, circulation lists, patents, copyrights, trademarks, franchises, licenses, software (other than commercially available software), subscription lists or other intellectual property in its business.

Business Cycle & Seasonality

Eurasian's royalty and prospect generator business model is cyclical and is impacted by commodity prices and cycles; however, its business is not seasonal.

Economic Dependence

Other than the contracts disclosed in this Form 20-F, Eurasian's business is not substantially dependent on any contract such as a contract to sell the major part of its products or services or to purchase the major part of its requirements for goods, services or raw materials, or on any franchise or license or other agreement to use a patent, formula, trade secret, process or trade name upon which its business depends.

Renegotiation or Termination of Contracts

It is not expected that Eurasian's business will be affected in the current financial year by the renegotiation or termination of contracts or sub-contracts.

Environmental Protection

All phases of Eurasian's exploration are subject to environmental regulation in the various jurisdictions in which it operates.

Environmental legislation is evolving in a manner which requires stricter standards and enforcement, increased fines and penalties for non-compliance, more stringent environmental assessments of proposed projects and a heightened degree of responsibility for companies and their officers, directors and employees. While manageable, Eurasian expects this evolution (which affects most mineral exploration companies) might result in increased costs.

Employees

At December 31, 2016, Eurasian had 39 employees and consultants working at various locations throughout the world.

Foreign Operations

Many of Eurasian's properties are located outside of North America and many are located in areas traditionally considered to be risky from a political or economic perspective.

Bankruptcy Reorganizations

There have not been any voluntary or involuntary bankruptcy, receivership or similar proceedings against Eurasian within the three most recently completed financial years or the current financial year.

Material Reorganizations

There has not been any material reorganization of Eurasian or its subsidiaries within the three most recently completed financial years or the current financial year.

Social or Environmental Policies

Eurasian has implemented various social policies that are fundamental to its operations, such as policies regarding its relationship with the communities where the Company operates.

1. Environmental Policy

The Company believes that good environmental management at every project it manages, whether in the exploration phase, feasibility stage, project construction or mine site operation, requires proactive health and safety procedures, transparent interaction with local communities and implementation of prudent expenditures and business performance standards that constitutes the foundation for successful exploration and subsequent development if the results warrant it.

Eurasian will develop and implement appropriate standard operating procedures for different stages of its ground technical surveys, prospecting and evaluation and development work which procedures will be designed to meet all applicable environmental requirements and best environmental practices in the mineral exploration industry.

2. Community Relations, Communication and Notification Policy

Proactive interaction with the stakeholders on whom the Company's exploration and development programs may impact is considered an important part of the long-term investment that the Company is planning in its exploration programs in North America, Turkey, Europe, Australia, and the Asia-Pacific region.

Eurasian recognizes that from the inception of exploration activities or a new field work program, and as the exploration project progresses towards development, it will be important to:

- communicate and proactively engage with all local communities and other stakeholders that may be affected by its exploration programs;
- inform and obtain a consensus with the full range of stakeholders that may be impacted upon by exploration, evaluation and development; and
- identify any vulnerable or marginalized groups within the affected communities (e.g. women, elders or handicapped) and ensure they are also reached by above information disclosure and consultation activities.

In these respects, Eurasian will work actively and transparently with governmental authorities, other elected parties, nongovernmental organizations, and the communities themselves to ensure that the communities are aware of the activities of the Company, and that the impact and benefits of such activities are a benefit to the communities.

When detailed or advanced exploration activities, including drilling, evaluation and other such programs, are implemented, the Company will endeavor to identify how the impacts of such work on communities can best be managed, and how benefits can best be provided to communities through its activities. This will be undertaken in consultation with the affected communities.

3. Labour, Health and Safety Policy

The health and safety of its employees, contractors, affected communities and any other role players that may participate and be affected by the activities of EMX are crucial to the long term success of the Company.

The Company will establish and maintain a constructive work-management relationship, promote the fair treatment, nondiscrimination, and equal opportunity of workers.

Every effort will be made through training, regular reviews and briefings, and other procedures to ensure that best practice labor, health and safety and good international industry practices are implemented and maintained by Eurasian, including prompt and in-depth accident and incident investigation and the implementation of the conclusions thereof. The Company will take measures to prevent any child labor or forced labor.

The Company's aim is at all times to achieve zero lost-time injuries and fatalities.


4. Development Stage Environmental and Social Management Policy

Eurasian will communicate and consult with local communities and stakeholders with a view to fostering mutual understanding and shared benefits through the promotion and maintenance of open and constructive dialogue and working relationships.

At December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Company's assets were located in North America, Turkey, Europe, Haiti, Australia and New Zealand.

4.C. Organization Structure

The corporate structure of Eurasian, its material subsidiaries (holding at least 10% of EMX's assets), the percentage ownership that Eurasian holds or has contractual rights to acquire in such subsidiaries (if not wholly-owned) and the jurisdiction of incorporation of such corporations is set out in the chart below:



4.D. Property, Plant and Equipment

The Company's executive offices are located in rented premises of approximately 4,200 sq. ft., shared by seven other companies at 543 Granville Street, Suite 501, Vancouver, British Columbia Canada V6C 1X8. The Company began occupying these facilities on May 1, 2011.

The Company owns a house in Littleton, Colorado which serves as the Company's office.

The Company's royalty, exploration, and strategic investment portfolio mainly consists of properties in North America, Turkey, Europe, Haiti, Australia, New Zealand, the Russian Federation, and Chile.

It is important to note that even if the Company completes its exploration programs on its properties and is successful in identifying mineral deposits, a substantial amount of capital will still have to be spent on each deposit for further drilling and engineering studies before management will know that the Company has a commercially viable mineral deposit (a reserve) on the property.

The terms "measured resource", "indicated resource" and "inferred resource" used in this report are Canadian geological and mining terms as defined in accordance with National Instrument 43-101, Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects of the Canadian Securities Administrators using the guidelines set out in the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum (the "CIM") Standards on Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves, adopted by the CIM Council as may be amended from time to time by the CIM. We advise U.S. investors that while such terms are recognized and permitted under Canadian regulations, the SEC does not recognize them. U.S. investors are cautioned not to assume that any part or all of the mineral deposits in the measured and indicated categories will ever be converted into reserves.

"Inferred resources" have a greater amount of uncertainty as to their existence, and greater uncertainty as to their economic and legal feasibility. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of an inferred mineral resource will ever be upgraded to a higher category. Under Canadian rules estimates of inferred mineral resources may not form the basis of feasibility or other economic studies. U.S. investors are cautioned not to assume that any part or all of an inferred resource exists, or is economically or legally mineable. Disclosure of gold and silver resources expressed in ounces in the mineral resource categories in this document are in compliance with Canadian National Instrument 43-101, but does not meet the requirements of Industry Guide 7, Description of Property by Corporations Engaged or to be Engaged in Significant Mining Operations, of the SEC, which will accept only the disclosure of tonnage and grade estimates for non-reserve mineralization. See "Cautionary Note To United States Investors Regarding Reserve And Resource Information"

Eurasian has been generating exploration projects for over thirteen years, and is now focused on entering into agreements to convert those assets into royalty interests, as well as directly acquiring new royalty properties. In this time, EMX has built a portfolio of precious metal, base metal, and polymetallic property and royalty interests that spans five continents and covers approximately 1.4 million acres. These assets provide revenue streams from royalty, advance royalty and success-based bonus payments, while maintaining continual exposure to exploration upside as projects advance. Eurasian supplements mineral property revenue streams and value creation by leveraging its technical expertise to make strategic investments in other companies or projects that provide shareholders with additional investment upside potential.

Eurasian's two material properties are the Leeville royalty property located in Nevada's Northern Carlin Trend, and the Malmyzh copper-gold project which is a strategic investment located in the Russian Far East. Additional property descriptions are included in this report, but the Company does not consider that individually these properties are material at this time.



Leeville and Royalty Property Overview

A material EMX asset is the Leeville royalty property acquired in the 2012 Bullion Monarch merger that covers portions of Newmont Mining Corporation's Leeville, Turf, and other underground gold mining operations and deposits in the Northern Carlin Trend. The Leeville 1% gross smelter return ("GSR") royalty paid approximately US\$1.7 million during the 12 months ending December 31, 2016. The royalty totaled 1,361 troy ounces of gold that were principally sourced from the Leeville (70%) and Turf underground operations (30%), with negligible contributions from other Newmont operations. The average realized gold price was US\$1,250 per troy ounce. The 2016 Leeville royalty performance represents a 33% increase in GSR revenue from 2015, corresponding to increases of 24% in attributable gold ounce production and 8% in average realized gold price. Newmont has stated that its Turf Vent Shaft Project, which was commissioned in November 2015, will provide the ventilation required to "increase production" and "unlock" additional resources at "greater Leeville" As understood by the Company, "greater Leeville" includes portions of EMX's royalty property, and the Turf Vent Shaft Project as described by Newmont may potentially have a positive impact on the Leeville royalty. However, the Company does not have access to the information from Newmont in order to confidently assess what, if any, that impact has been or will be. Newmont has also delineated a trend of sediment-hosted gold mineralization that extends southeast from the Leeville mining

complex and across EMX's Leeville royalty property that covers portions of the Rita K and Full House exploration projects. These Newmont exploration successes underscore the prospectivity of the Leeville royalty property.

Further Carlin Trend exploration upside is provided by EMX's Maggie Creek South 3% NSR and Maggie Creek 2% NSR royalty properties. The Maggie Creek South royalty property was acquired in the 2012 merger with Bullion Monarch. The Maggie Creek royalty property is one of two royalties acquired from Golden Predator in the first quarter of 2016. The Maggie Creek South and Maggie Creek royalty properties collectively cover approximately 12.4 square kilometers of prospective ground within about 1.6 kilometers of Newmont's Gold Quarry open pit mining operation.

In addition to EMX's Carlin Trend royalty properties, the Company has royalty property interests elsewhere in the western U.S., as well as in Turkey, Serbia, Haiti, Norway and Sweden including:


- The Afgan 1% NSR royalty which was the second Nevada gold royalty property purchased from Golden Predator and added to the portfolio in 2016.
- The Balya lead-zinc-silver royalty property in Turkey, which continued to undergo small scale underground development and stockpiling in 2016. Also in Turkey, Eurasian converted the Akarca and Sisorta exploration projects to royalty properties.
- EMX's portfolio in Serbia represents a combination of organically generated royalties complemented by a key royalty purchase that covers the Cukaru Peki copper-gold project. Cukaru Peki's high grade massive sulfide Upper Zone, which is being advanced by Nevsun Resources Ltd., had a positive PEA and updated resource announced in 2016. Nevsun states that it is "targeting" completion of a prefeasibility study and commencement of an exploration decline later in 2017.
- All of EMX's interests in Haiti have been converted into NSR royalties, with the sale of joint venture interests to Newmont in 2015 for a US\$4 million cash payment as well as a 0.5% NSR royalty, and the 2016 sale of the Grand Bois property to a privately held Nevada corporation in Q1 2016 for a retained a 0.5% NSR royalty interest.
- In Scandinavia, Eurasian sold four exploration properties in Norway and Sweden to a privately held British Columbia corporation for a 3% NSR royalty, an equity interest, and other considerations. Also in Sweden, the Viscaria iron-copper royalty, acquired from the purchase of the Phelps Dodge Exploration Sweden AB assets in 2010, was advanced by Avalon Minerals Ltd. ("Avalon") (ASX:AVI) with ongoing Environmental Impact Statement Assessment ("EISA") studies and other work.

In addition to the current royalty property portfolio, all of EMX's exploration properties optioned to, or joint ventured with, third parties include a royalty option. Many of these properties also provide advance minimum royalty or advance annual royalty payments that generate an early revenue stream to EMX's benefit during earn-in. Additional details on Eurasian's property portfolio are included in the following sections.

North America

Eurasian's portfolio in North America totals 34 exploration and royalty properties covering more than 32,000 hectares. The exploration properties are advanced through wholly-owned subsidiary Bronco Creek Exploration Inc. ("BCE"), and include porphyry copper-molybdenum, porphyry copper-gold, Carlin-type gold, and high-grade gold-silver vein projects. The portfolio is comprised of eight royalty properties, including the producing Leeville royalty (see above section), that are being advanced by partner companies and 26 exploration properties in Arizona, Nevada, Utah, and Wyoming. Six of the exploration properties are partnered and advancing through various option agreements.

The Company's 2016 work focused on advancing partner funded projects, executing new agreements for available projects, generative exploration, identifying royalty assets for purchase, business development, and balancing the portfolio by acquiring new properties on open ground while dropping low priority projects. Eurasian is in discussions with potential partners for the available North American properties, as well as for regional exploration alliances.



Maggie Creek and Maggie Creek South Royalty Properties

The Maggie Creek gold property is located approximately two kilometers north-northeast of Newmont's Gold Quarry mining operations on the Carlin Trend. EMX purchased the Maggie Creek 2% NSR royalty on all precious metals and a 1% NSR royalty on all other minerals from Golden Predator in March 2016. The Maggie Creek royalty property covers over approximately 7.2 square kilometers and is controlled by Renaissance Gold Inc. Maggie Creek occurs along the northeast projection of the Gold Quarry fault zone, which is an important mineralizing control at the Gold Quarry mine. Exploration has been conducted by companies that include Newmont, Barrick, Western States, Teck, Cordex, and Freeport. Most of the historic drilling consisted of shallow, vertical holes that did not thoroughly test Carlin-type targets in the "upper plate" or "lower plate" rocks of the Roberts Mountain Allocthon.

The acquisition of the Maggie Creek royalty complements EMX's Maggie Creek South 3% NSR royalty previously acquired in 2012. Maggie Creek South occurs approximately 1.5 kilometers south-southeast of Gold Quarry, and covers about 5.2 square kilometers. Maggie Creek South occurs on the southeast projection of the Good Hope fault trend, which has an alignment of deposits along its length including Mike, Tusc, Mac, and Gold Quarry, as well as the down-dip projection of favorable host rocks.

Afgan Royalty Property

The Afgan gold property is located about 40 kilometers northwest of Eureka, Nevada on the Battle Mountain-Eureka Trend.

EMX purchased the Afgan 1% NSR royalty as part of the March 2016 Golden Predator transaction that also included the Maggie Creek royalty (see above section). The Afgan unpatented lode mining claim block is controlled by McEwen Mining Inc.. The property hosts a semi-continuous, 1,050 by 450 meter, north-northwest oriented zone of oxide gold mineralization delineated by historic drilling programs and hosting a historic resource. The mineralization occurs along the contact of thinly bedded siltstones of the Webb Formation with the underlying Devils Gate Limestone, which is a well documented geologic environment that can host Carlin-type deposits.

Copper King Property

The Copper King porphyry copper-molybdenum project is located approximately four kilometers northwest of the Resolution porphyry copper deposit in the Superior (Pioneer) mining district of Arizona.

EMX executed an Option Purchase Agreement in 2013 with Desert Star Resources Ltd. ("Desert Star") whereby Desert Star could acquire a 100% interest in the project for cash, shares, and work commitments, after which EMX would retain a 2.5% NSR royalty. In the first quarter of 2016, Desert Star completed 760.17 meters of drilling in two holes spaced 450 meters apart. Neither of the holes were drilled to their target depths, and after review of the alteration zoning in the drill core, EMX believes the original target concept remains valid and untested. The holes were reclaimed in a manner that will permit future re-entry to test the porphyry targets previously identified by EMX. The project returned to 100% Eurasian control after Desert Star elected to terminate its option for the property late in Q1.

Subsequently in the fourth quarter of 2016, Eurasian executed an Exploration and Option to Purchase Agreement with Kennecott Exploration Company for Copper King. Pursuant to the agreement, Kennecott can earn a 100% interest in the project by a) reimbursing the 2016 holding costs and making option payments, together totaling US\$504,314, and b) completing US\$4,000,000 in exploration expenditures before the fifth anniversary of the agreement. Upon exercise of the option EMX will retain a 2% NSR royalty on the project which is not capped or purchasable. After exercise of the option, annual advance minimum royalty and milestone payments will be payable to Eurasian.

Mineral Hill Property

The Mineral Hill gold-copper project is located in the Black Hills of Wyoming, approximately 20 kilometers west of the Wharf mine in South Dakota. The project is centered on an Eocene age alkaline intrusive complex consisting of an outer ring complex, interior intrusive complex, and interior breccia zone. Historic small scale production in the project vicinity occurred between the 1870's and 1930's, and principally came from alluvial gold in drainages, gold and silver mineralization at the Treadwell Mine, and gold and copper mineralization near the Interocean Mine.

Eurasian entered into an Exploration and Option Agreement with Coeur Explorations, Inc., a subsidiary of Coeur Inc. ("Coeur") for Mineral Hill in Q4. The project is held under a pooling agreement with Mineral Hill L.P. ("MHL"), with all proceeds split 50:50, except the sale of surface rights associated with patented mining claims held by MHL. Coeur may earn a 100% interest in the project by a) making option payments totaling US\$435,000 (US\$10,000 received upon execution), b) making exploration expenditures totaling US\$1,550,000 and c) paying US\$250,000 upon exercise of the option, with EMX and MHL retaining a 4% NSR royalty and receiving annual advance minimum royalty payments and a milestone payment upon completion of a feasibility study. Coeur may purchase up to 1.5% of the NSR royalty for US\$5,000,000 if the first increment is purchased within 60 days of the completion of a preliminary economic assessment ("PEA").

Ophir Property

The Ophir property is located in the northern portion of Utah's Ophir mining district, approximately 15 kilometers southwest of Rio Tinto's Bingham Canyon mine. The Ophir district is characterized by silver-lead-zinc-copper replacement deposits and fissure veins hosted within carbonate sedimentary rocks and associated with monzonitic stocks and dikes. The property contains several small-scale historic mines with dump material characterized by mineralization similar to other historic mines in the district. The district's silver and base metals mineralization may be a distal expression of associated porphyry copper mineralization at depth.

In the fourth quarter of 2016, Eurasian sold the five patented mining claims comprising the Ophir property, through its wholly owned subsidiary Bullion Monarch Mining Inc., to Kennecott Exploration Company ("Kennecott"), part of the Rio Tinto Group. EMX received US\$75,000 in cash upon closing and retained a 2% NSR royalty interest from the sale.

Copper Springs

The Copper Springs project is located in the southern part of Arizona's Globe-Miami mining district. EMX's work and new geologic interpretations led to the recognition that the property covers a previously unrecognized porphyry trend that crosses largely untested, structurally down-dropped blocks concealed beneath younger basin fill.

As a subsequent event, in February 2017, Eurasian executed an Option Agreement for Copper Springs with Anglo American Exploration (USA), Inc. ("Anglo American"). Anglo American can earn a 100% interest in the project by a) reimbursing 2016 holding and permitting costs and making annual option payments, together totaling US\$447,000, and b) completing US\$5,000,000 in exploration expenditures before the fifth anniversary of the agreement. Upon exercise of the option, Anglo American will pay EMX an additional US\$110,000 and EMX will retain a 2% NSR royalty on the project. The royalty is not capped or purchasable, except over two parcels of Arizona State Land where Anglo American can buy a 0.5% NSR royalty from EMX for US\$2,000,000. After exercise of the option, annual advance minimum payments and milestone payments will be payable to EMX.

Superior West Property

The Superior West project is located west of the historic mining town of Superior, Arizona, and adjacent to Resolution Copper's property. The project covers several porphyry copper targets, as well as the interpreted western extension of the historic Magma Vein.

EMX executed an Exploration and Option to Purchase Agreement with Kennecott for the Superior West project in 2015. Kennecott may earn a 100% interest in the project by completing US\$5.5 million in exploration expenditures and making cash payments totaling US\$1,149,187, after which EMX will retain a 2% NSR in addition to annual AMR and certain project milestone payments.

During 2016, EMX further consolidated the project's land position, and compiled the results from partner funded geologic mapping, geochemical sampling, and geophysical survey programs. The resulting interpretations substantiated EMX's original exploration concepts, and refined the definition of priority targets. As well, work continued on permitting for a follow-up drill program.

Hardshell Skarn Property

The Hardshell Skarn property is located approximately 75 kilometers southeast of Tucson, Arizona within the Patagonia Mountains. The property lies between the Sunnyside porphyry copper system to the west, the Hermosa project (Arizona Minerals Inc.) to the east, and the historic Trench mine to the north. EMX has targeted base and precious metals mineralization hosted in skarn and replacement bodies within a series of Paleozoic limestones.

An Exploration and Option Agreement was executed in 2015 for the Hardshell Skarn project with Arizona Minerals Inc. Arizona Minerals can earn a 100% interest in the project by making cash payments totaling US\$85,000, and upon exercise of the option EMX will retain a 2% NSR royalty and receive annual advanced royalty payments. The EMX royalty is not capped and not subject to buy-down.

During 2016, Arizona Minerals conducted drill programs and announced updated resource estimates for the Hermosa project's Taylor deposit, which borders the Hardshell skarn claim block.

Yerington West Property

The Yerington West joint venture property, located in the Yerington mining district of west-central Nevada, is partnered with Entrée Gold Inc. ("Entrée"). The project comprises a porphyry copper-molybdenum target and a copper-iron skarn target beneath cover rocks. Entrée has made all required payments and both the property and agreement are in good standing. EMX has a 100% interest in the Yerington West project until Entrée completes its initial earn-in requirements.

Cathedral Well Property

The Cathedral Well project is located at the southern end of the Battle Mountain-Eureka gold trend and surrounds most of the historic Green Springs mine. Eurasian announced the execution of an Option Agreement with Ely Gold for the Cathedral Well property in 2014 for staged option payments and a 2.5% NSR royalty interest, inclusive of an underlying 0.5% NSR. Ely Gold completed their earn-in of the property in November of 2016 through a trade with Eurasian whereby a subsidiary of Ely Gold executed a quit claim deed for 36 mining claims adjacent to Eurasian's Spring Canyon property in November 2016, Ely Gold announced it had optioned the the property to Colorado Resources Ltd. Both the property and agreement remain in good standing.

Aguila de Cobre Property

Aguila de Cobre is located approximately 120 kilometers west of Phoenix, Arizona in a relatively un-explored region of the Arizona porphyry copper belt. The property covers an area of shallow post-mineral cover ringed to the west by outcrops that exhibit alteration and mineralization characteristic of the margins of porphyry copper systems and developed over a broad area of >20 square kilometers. The alteration patterns vector towards the project's covered area, and highlight two distinct porphyry copper targets.

EMX executed an Exploration and Option to Purchase Agreement with Kennecott for Aguila de Cobre in 2015. During Q1 2016 Kennecott completed IP and ground magnetics geophysical surveys over the property. In April of 2016, EMX received notice that Kennecott had elected to terminate its option on the property, returning it to 100% Eurasian control. The Aguila de Cobre project is now available for partnership.

Red Top Property

The Red Top property is a porphyry copper-molybdenum project located in the Globe-Miami mining district of Arizona.

EMX executed an Option Purchase Agreement in 2013 with Desert Star whereby Desert Star could acquire a 100% interest in the project for cash, shares, and work commitments, after which EMX would retain a 2.5% NSR royalty. Desert Star advanced the project with geologic and alteration mapping, geophysical studies, and drill permitting. As a subsequent event, the project returned to 100% Eurasian control after Desert Star elected to terminate its option for the property in January of 2017. The Red Top property is currently available for partnership.

Other Work Conducted by Eurasian in the U.S.

EMX continued evaluation of property and royalty acquisition opportunities in North America, with generative work focused on gold opportunities in the Great Basin and porphyry copper targets in Arizona and Utah. EMX acquired the following properties by staking on open ground: 1) the Spring Canyon, Mud Spring, and Trench gold projects in Nevada, 2) the Diablo Porphyry and Greenwood Springs copper projects in Arizona, and the 3) Dugway copper project in Utah.


Qualified Person

Dean D. Turner, CPG, a Qualified Person as defined by NI 43-101 and consultant to the Company, has reviewed, verified and approved the above technical disclosure on North America.

<u>Turkey</u>

Eurasian holds mineral property interests in Turkey's Western Anatolia and Eastern Pontides mineral belts. These properties include bulk tonnage gold, gold-silver vein, polymetallic carbonate replacement, and porphyry gold-copper targets. Eurasian announced the sale and conversion of two exploration projects in Q3 to royalty properties (Akarca and Sisorta). The cash payments received from those agreements and pending cash flow streams in future years will provide operating capital for Eurasian on an ongoing basis. Six of the seven EMX projects in Turkey are now being advanced by partner companies. Despite the political challenges faced in Turkey, EMX's partner companies have carried out work and advanced multiple projects during the year.

EMX has retained Dama Muhendislik Proje ve Maden San.Tic. A.S ("Dama"), an internationally recognized Turkish engineering company based in Ankara, to manage Eurasian's interests in Turkey.



Akarca Property

The Akarca royalty property covers an epithermal gold-silver district located in the Western Anatolia mineral belt that was discovered by EMX in 2006. The project has six drill defined zones of gold-silver mineralization, and exploration programs at Akarca, principally funded by partners, have included 300 core and reverse circulation holes totaling ~32,800 meters, as well as property-wide geologic mapping, geochemical sampling, and geophysical surveys.

In Q3, EMX sold AES Madencilik A.S., the wholly-owned EMX subsidiary that controls the Akarca project, to Çiftay İnşaat Taahhüt ve Ticaret A.Ş. ("Çiftay"), a privately owned Turkish company (see EMX news release dated August 8, 2016). Commercial terms of the sale provide payments to EMX as summarized below (gold payments can be as gold bullion or the cash equivalent):

- US\$2,000,000 cash payment to EMX upon closing of the sale (completed).
- 500 ounces of gold every six months commencing February 1, 2017 up to a cumulative total of 7,000 ounces of gold. As a subsequent event, the first 500 ounce cash equivalent payment of US\$601,825 was made to EMX in February 2017.
- 7,000 ounces of gold within 30 days after the commencement of commercial production from the property provided that prior gold payments will be credited against this payment.
- 250 ounces of gold upon production of 100,000 ounces of gold.
- 250 ounces of gold upon production of an aggregate of 500,000 ounces of gold.
- A sliding-scale royalty in the amount of the following percentages of production returns after certain deductions ("Royalty"):
 - For gold production: 1.0% on the first 100,000 ounces of gold; 2.0% on the next 400,000 ounces of gold; 3.0% on all gold production in excess of 500,000 ounces.
 - For all production other than gold production: 3.0%.
- The Royalty is uncapped and cannot be bought out or reduced.

In addition, Çiftay must conduct a drilling program of at least 3,000 meters on the property during each 12-month period commencing on August 5, 2016. Çiftay informed EMX in Q4 that it completed an initial 49 hole diamond drill program comprising 6,032 meters. Çiftay also advised it is undertaking various metallurgical and engineering studies on the property.

Sisorta Property

The Sisorta project, located in the Eastern Pontides mineral belt, is a near-surface, volcanic-hosted, high sulfidation epithermal gold deposit. Exploration programs at Sisorta, principally funded by partners, have included 123 diamond drill holes totaling over 17,700 meters, geologic and alteration mapping, geochemical sampling, and geophysical surveys. This work has outlined a 1000 by 600 meter zone of shallow oxide gold mineralization with underlying copper and gold porphyry potential at depth.

In the third quarter of 2016, EMX sold EBX Madencilik A.S., the wholly-owned EMX subsidiary that controls the Sisorta property, to Bahar Madencilik Sinayi ve Ticaret Ltd Sti ("Bahar"), a privately owned Turkish company (see EMX news release dated August 3, 2016). The terms of the sale provide for Bahar's staged payments to EMX as summarized below:

- US\$250,000 cash payment to EMX upon closing of the sale (completed).
- Annual cash payments of US\$125,000 ("Advance Cash Payments") payable on each anniversary of the closing date until commencement of commercial production.
- 3.5% of production returns after certain deductions ("NSR Payment") for ore mined from the property that is processed on-site (increased to 5% if the ore is processed off-site).
- The Advance Cash Payments will be credited at a rate of 80% against the NSR Payment payable after commercial production commences.
- The NSR Payment is uncapped and cannot be bought out or reduced.

Bahar advised EMX that it concluded an initial 20 hole diamond drill program comprising 1,748 meters at Sisorta in Q4 2016.

Balya Property

The Balya royalty property is located in the historic Balya lead-zinc-silver mining district in northwestern Turkey. EMX holds an uncapped 4% NSR royalty that it retained from the sale of the property to Dedeman Madencilik San ve Tic. A.S. ("Dedeman"), a privately owned Turkish company, in 2006.

EMX understands that since acquiring the property, Dedeman has completed over 36,000 meters of diamond drilling in addition to re-initiating small scale underground development at the Hastanetepe deposit in 2015. Hastanetepe is a moderately dipping, 750 by 450 meter zone that extends from depths of 10-20 meters to 200-300 meters as multiple stacked horizons of lead-zinc-silver mineralization primarily developed along contacts between limestones and dacitic intrusions. Dedeman's development work has concentrated on an area of shallow, high grade mineralization on the northeast margin of Hastanetepe with a production shaft and two working levels at 45 and 75 meters below the surface. Dedeman advises that it produced and stockpiled approximately 16,000 tonnes of run-of-mine material averaging 6.9% Pb and 3.5% Zn during 2016.

Golcuk Property

The Golcuk royalty property is located in the Eastern Pontides metallogenic belt of northeast Turkey. The mineralization at Golcuk primarily occurs as stacked, stratabound horizons with disseminated copper and silver hosted in volcanic units, as well as in localized cross-cutting fault-controlled veins and stockworks of bornite, chalcopyrite and chalcocite.

Pasinex Resources Ltd. ("Pasinex") (CSE:PSE) signed an agreement in 2012 granting it an option to acquire a 100% interest in the Golcuk property for shares and work commitments over a four year period. EMX retains a 2.9% NSR royalty, which Pasinex has the option of buying down to 0.9% within six years of the agreement date for US\$1 million. In the fourth quarter of 2016, Eurasian received an additional 664,483 shares of Pasinex as equivalent value for the 2016 advance royalty payment.

Pasinex's 2016 exploration programs identified additional mineralized targets on the property, and their work included permitting, as well as road and drill pad development, in preparation for a follow-up drill program in 2017.

Trab-23 Property

The Trab-23 property is located in northeast Turkey. The project hosts both porphyry gold (copper-molybdenum) mineralization and epithermal quartz-barite-gold veins.

Tumad Madencilik Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. ("Tumad"), a privately owned Turkish company, executed an option agreement in February 2013 granting it an option to acquire Trab-23 from EMX. The Trab-23 agreement provided for in-ground spending requirements, a revenue stream of annual earn-in and pre-production payments, and a revenue stream based upon production. Tumad's payment and drill requirements have not been met. EMX and Tumad are currently negotiating a revision to the agreement that will include a shift of required payments and drill commitments to 2017 and 2018.

Alankoy Property

The Alankoy gold-copper property, located in the Biga Peninsula of northwestern Turkey, occurs in an area noted for recent discoveries characterized by alunite-rich epithermal alteration and the development of vuggy silica lithocaps. EMX's work outlined a six square kilometer area of lithocaps and quartz–alunite and argillic alteration with gold-copper mineralization as well as skam and replacement style mineralization based upon geologic mapping, rock and soil sampling, spectral analyses, ground magnetics, and historic reconnaissance drill results.

Eurasian executed an Exploration and Option Agreement for Alankoy with Black Sea Copper & Gold Corp. ("Black Sea"), a privately-held British Columbia corporation, in November 2015. In 2016, Black Sea conducted surface exploration programs, and met statutory requirements to keep the property in good standing. As a subsequent event in Q1 2017, Black Sea terminated the agreement, and EMX regained 100% control of the project. Alankoy is currently available for partnership.

Other Property Interests


EMX has a royalty interest in the Aktutan polymetallic project sold to Dedeman in 2007 for considerations that include a 4% uncapped NSR. No progress on the project was reported by Dedeman for 2016.

Qualified Person

Eric P. Jensen, CPG, a Qualified Person as defined by NI 43-101 and employee of the Company, has reviewed, verified and approved the above technical disclosure on Turkey.

Australia and New Zealand

The Company's programs in the Australia and New Zealand region continued to operate at a reduced expenditure rate, while taking advantage of generative exploration opportunities with the acquisition of new projects. The Koonenberry gold project in New South Wales, Australia is being advanced by partner companies under earn-in and royalty agreements with EMX. In New Zealand, the Neavesville gold-silver project is being advanced under an agreement with Land & Minerals Ltd. ("L&M"), and the Ohakuri and Muirs Reef properties were added to the Company's exploration portfolio in 2016.



Koonenberry Property

The Koonenberry property hosts gold occurrences and gold geochemical anomalies coincident with prominent structural features related to the regional scale Koonenberry fault. The majority of the project is under an Exploration and Option Agreement with North Queensland Mining Pty Ltd ("NQM"), a privately held Australian company, whereby NQM can earn a 100% interest in the subsidiary that holds the EMX licenses, with EMX retaining a 3% production royalty upon earn-in. During 2016, NQM reduced the tenement position to a core of the most prospective ground, while fulfilling statutory and filing requirements to keep the property in good standing.

Neavesville Property

The Neavesville property consists of a single exploration permit in the Hauraki Goldfield of New Zealand's North Island. EMX acquired Neavesville, which covers a historic JORC gold-silver resource, on open ground with minimal cost. The property hosts epithermal gold-silver mineralization that has geologic features similar to other deposits of the Hauraki Goldfield.

The project is under a definitive agreement with L&M, a wholly owned subsidiary of E2 Metals Ltd. ("E2"), giving L&M the right to acquire the wholly owned EMX subsidiary that controls the Neavesville property. The agreement with L&M provides for work obligations, staged payments, milestone payments based upon JORC reserves, and commercial production payments, all to the benefit of Eurasian. During 2016, L&M focused on fulfilling statutory and filing requirements to keep the license in good standing. In Q1 2017 E2 Metals filed a prospectus with the ASX exchange announcing an offering to raise a minimum of \$6,000,000 AUD and their intention to list E2 Metals on the ASX Exchange. Proceeds will be used in part to fund a drilling program at Neavesville, which is slated to commence in April, 2017.

Ohakuri Property

The Ohakuri property, located in the Taupo Volcanic Zone of New Zealand's North Island, is covered by a five year exploration license that was granted in Q1 2016. The project covers an area of historic drilling that intersected broad zones of epithermal-style gold (silver) mineralization hosted in ignimbrites and associated with quartz adularia alteration systems and weakly developed vein arrays concealed beneath a veneer of volcanic cover rocks. EMX believes that only a small portion of the prospective area has been tested, with several undrilled geophysical and geochemical anomalies providing potential for additional discoveries. The Ohakuri project is available for partnership.

Muirs Reef Property

The Muirs Reef property, located along the western margin of the Taupo Volcanic Zone of New Zealand's North Island, is covered by a five year exploration license that was granted in Q4 2016. The project area encompasses the Hauraki Goldfield's Muirs Reef low sulfidation vein system. Historic, small scale underground mining was carried out on a gold (silver) vein at the southern end of Muirs Reef over a strike length of ~275 meters and to ~100 meters below surface. The Muirs Reef project is available for partnership.

Qualified Person


Eric P. Jensen, CPG, a Qualified Person as defined by NI 43-101 and employee of the Company, has reviewed, verified and approved the above technical disclosure on Australia and New Zealand.

<u>Europe</u>

Eurasian has been actively exploring in Europe since 2003, and has assembled a portfolio of copper and polymetallic royalty and exploration properties in Scandinavia, as well as a portfolio of copper and gold royalty properties in Serbia. In Scandinavia, the Company continued to operate at a reduced expenditure rate, while pursuing strategic partnerships for properties in the portfolio and adding value through low cost generative exploration. These efforts resulted in the conversion of four exploration properties to royalty and equity interests, as well as the addition of one new 100% controlled property to the exploration portfolio. EMX also has a portfolio of royalty interests in Serbia that includes the Cukaru Peki copper-gold project located in the prolific Timok Magmatic Complex.

Scandinavia

Scandinavia is a favorable jurisdiction for mineral exploration and development, and Eurasian has been focused on core property holdings that include the Gumsberg and Adak properties in Sweden, and the Tynset and Burfjord properties in Norway. These properties were acquired between 2013 and 2015 by license application on open ground. Each of the properties has undergone historic mining production, but with only limited or no modern exploration conducted. All of the properties have polymetallic styles of mineralization, and each shows enrichments in multiple metals. Gumsberg, Adak, and Tynset host Volcanogenic Massive Sulfide ("VMS") styles of mineralization, and Burfjord is characterized by Iron-Oxide-Copper-Gold ("IOCG") styles of mineralization. Eurasian's new geologic interpretations identified multiple exploration targets on these properties based upon combinations of historic data compilations, geologic mapping, geochemical sampling, geophysical surveys, and a reconnaissance drilling program.



In the fourth quarter of 2016, EMX executed a definitive agreement to sell the Gumsberg, Adak, Tynset and Burfjord properties to Boreal Metals Corp. ("BMC"), a British Columbia corporation. Commercial terms of the agreement with BMC, which closed on February 14, 2017 are summarized below:

- At closing, Eurasian transferred to BMC its entire interest in its wholly-owned subsidiaries that owned the properties.
- At closing, BMC issued to Eurasian that number of common shares of BMC that represents a 19.9% equity ownership in BMC. BMC has the continuing obligation to issue additional shares of BMC to Eurasian to maintain its 19.9% interest in BMC, at no additional cost to Eurasian, until BMC has raised CDN \$5,000,000 in equity. Thereafter Eurasian will have the right to participate pro-rata in future financings at its own cost to maintain its 19.9% interest in BMC.
- Eurasian will receive an uncapped 3% net smelter return ("NSR") royalty on each of the properties. Within five years of the closing date, BMC has the right to buy down up to 1% of the royalty on any given project by paying Eurasian US\$2,500,000 in cash and shares of BMC. Such buy down is project specific.
- Eurasian will receive annual advance royalty ("AAR") payments of US\$20,000 for each of the properties commencing on the second anniversary of the closing, with each AAR payment increasing by US\$5,000 per year until reaching US\$60,000 per year, except that BMC may forgo AAR payments on two of the four Properties in years two and three. Once reaching \$60,000, AAR payments will be adjusted each year according to the Consumer Price Index (as published by the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics).
- Eurasian will receive a 0.5% NSR royalty on any new mineral exploration projects generated by BMC in Sweden or Norway, excluding projects acquired from a third party containing a mineral resource or reserve or an existing mining operation. These royalties are not capped and not subject to a buy down.
- Eurasian has the right to nominate one seat on the Board of Directors of BMC.


The conversion of the four properties in Sweden and Norway to royalty and equity interests marks the achievement of a major Company objective. These properties will provide near-term AAR payments, with upside provided by potential royalty revenue in the future. In addition, EMX's equity holding in a Scandinavian-focused resource company provides further upside potential for Eurasian.

Subsequent to the agreement with BMC, Eurasian was granted the Rydarhyttan exploration license in the Bergslagen district of southern Sweden in the fourth quarter of 2016. Riddarhyttan is known for its historic iron production, with historic operations active from medieval times through the late 1970's. The property also contains a variety of styles of mineralization including base metal rich VMS deposits, copper and gold-rich IOCG-type deposits, and REE mineralization as identified from historic geochemical sampling, geophysical surveys, and drill programs. Rydarhyttan is 100% controlled by Eurasian and available for partnership.

EMX also holds an effective 0.5% NSR royalty interest in Avalon's Viscaria copper project located in the Kiruna mining district of northern Sweden. Upon receipt of US\$12 million in royalty revenues, the royalty rate increases to a 1.0% NSR. Avalon completed a mineral resource estimate and "scoping study" based upon a combination open pit and underground scenario in 2015. During 2016, Avalon advanced the Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) and permitting process for the project, while making plans for additional drilling to update the resource estimate and scoping study.

Serbia

EMX's royalty portfolio in Serbia initially resulted from prospect generation and organic royalty growth via the 2006 sale of its properties, including Brestovac West, to Reservoir Capital Corp., for uncapped NSR royalties of 2% for gold and silver and 1% for all other metals. Reservoir Capital Corp. later transferred those interests to Reservoir Minerals Inc. ("Reservoir"). Subsequently, Eurasian acquired 0.5% NSR royalty interests (note: the royalty percentage is subject to reduction on conditions specified in the royalty agreement) covering the Brestovac and Jasikovo-Durlan Potok properties (see EMX news release dated February 4, 2014), which along with Brestovac West, were included in the Timok Project Joint Venture with Freeport. EMX notes that a) the original Brestovac and Brestovac permits are now covered by the Brestovac Metonivca and Brestovac Zapad permits, and b) portions of a reconfigured Jasikovo-Durlan Potok permit (i.e., expanded in some areas and reduced in other areas) are not covered by the EMX royalty. Brestovac (Brestovac Metonivca) hosts epithermal and porphyry copper-gold mineralization at the Cukaru Peki project.



On April 19, 2016, Reservoir announced an updated resource estimate and positive PEA results for Cukaru Peki. As reported by Reservoir, the updated resource estimate for the "Upper Zone" high grade massive sulphide and semi-massive sulphide mineralization at a 0.75% copper cut-off includes indicated resources of 1.7 million tonnes averaging 13.5% copper and 10.4 g/t gold, and inferred resources of 35.0 million tonnes averaging 2.9% copper and 1.7 g/t gold. The PEA base case considered a Phase 1 starter mine commencing in 2019, with access via a twin decline to higher grade direct shipping ore ("DSO") material, followed in 2022 by Phase 2 mining of the main Upper Zone mineralization down to the 800 meter level until 2030. An extended mining phase was also considered consisting primarily of deeper portions of the deposit, with production continuing to 2037. SRK Consulting (UK) Limited's Mr. Martin Pittuck, a Qualified Person under NI 43-101 Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects, approved and verified the technical disclosure in Reservoir's April 19, 2016 news release.

As another development, on April 24, 2016, Reservoir announced a definitive agreement with Nevsun Resources Ltd. ("Nevsun") to combine their respective companies. Completion of the arrangement was conditional on the exercise by Reservoir of its right of first offer ("ROFO") in respect of the original Timok Joint Venture agreement with Freeport. On May

2, 2016 Reservoir and Nevsun reported that the ROFO was successfully exercised, with Reservoir acquiring Freeport's interest in the Upper Zone of Cukaru Peki, and increasing its interest in the Lower Zone. Nevsun subsequently closed the acquisition of Reservoir as announced June 23, 2016.

On September 29, 2016, Nevsun reported that it had commenced drilling programs on the Upper Zone resource deposit and Lower Zone porphyry target. According to Nevsun, it is targeting completion of a prefeasibility study in September 2017, as well as the commencement of an exploration decline in Q4 2017. Nevsun has budgeted US \$35-40 million for Upper Zone work through 2017, and estimated expenditures of US \$20 million for the Lower Zone program. Nevsun reported encouraging drill results from both the Upper Zone and Lower Zone on October 17, November 22, and December 7, 2016.


EMX's Timok royalty properties add significant upside potential for Eurasian in one of Europe's richest copper-gold mineral belts.

Qualified Person

Eric P. Jensen, CPG, a Qualified Person as defined by NI 43-101 and employee of the Company, has reviewed, verified and approved the above technical disclosure on Europe.

<u>Haiti</u>

Eurasian and Newmont Ventures Limited (collectively, the "JV" or "Joint Venture"), had been exploring a land position along a 130 kilometer trend of Haiti's Massif du Nord mineral belt since 2008, with Newmont funding and managing the Joint Venture. EMX's 100% controlled Grand Bois gold-copper project was not subject to the JV with Newmont. In 2013 the Haitian government suspended its Mining Convention process while it began working on a new mining law, which as Eurasian understands was an ongoing process during 2016.



EMX sold its Haiti Joint Venture interests in 2015 to Newmont. The now terminated EMX-Newmont Joint Ventures covered six designated exploration areas in Haiti. Pursuant to the transaction, Newmont acquired all of EMX's interest in the designated exploration areas on the following terms: a) Newmont paid US\$4 million in cash to EMX at closing, b) the Joint Ventures were terminated, c) EMX retained a 0.5% NSR royalty on the 49 Research Permit applications covering the designated exploration areas, and d) EMX retains the right to acquire any properties proposed to be abandoned or surrendered by Newmont.

In the first quarter of 2016, EMX sold its 100% controlled Grand Bois project, which was outside the Joint Venture with Newmont, to a privately held Nevada corporation. EMX retained a 0.5% NSR royalty interest in the Grand Bois project and the right to acquire any properties proposed to be abandoned or surrendered from the Grand Bois project in the future.

As a result of the above two transactions, all of EMX's interests in Haiti have now been converted into NSR royalties.

Qualified Person

Dean D. Turner, CPG, a Qualified Person as defined by NI 43-101 and consultant to the Company, has reviewed, verified and approved the above technical disclosure on Haiti.

Strategic Investments

IG Copper LLC

EMX is a strategic investor in IGC, a privately held company that is in a joint venture with Freeport on the Malmyzh coppergold porphyry project in Far East Russia. IGC has a 51% ownership interest in the Malmyzh joint venture, with Freeport retaining a 49% interest. IGC is operating and managing the project. The Shelekhovo and Salasinskaya properties, located ~150 kilometers northeast of Malmyzh, are 100% controlled by IGC and not subject to the joint venture with Freeport. Eurasian was an early investor in IGC, and is its largest shareholder, with approximately 39% of the issued and outstanding shares (36% equity position on a fully-diluted basis) from investments totaling US\$9 million. EMX's investment in IGC and the Malmyzh project is material to the Company.



Malmyzh Project

Malmyzh is a grassroots, district-scale discovery with over 14 porphyry copper-gold centers identified within a 16 by 5 kilometer intrusive corridor. The project, which occurs 220 kilometers northeast of the Russia-China border at Khabarovsk, has excellent logistical characteristics and available infrastructure, including high voltage power lines, a natural gas pipeline, a paved national highway, the Amur River, and a rail line that are all nearby to the property.

The property's 152.8 square kilometers (15,280 hectares) of exploration and mining licenses consist of: 1) HAB 02018 granted 9/18/2006 and expiring 12/31/2026, and 2) HAB 02334 granted 7/23/2010 and expiring 12/31/2030. The more recent "Malmyzh Flanks" exploration license (HAB 02746 BP) was granted 10/26/2015, expires 12/31/2022, and covers 74.1 square kilometers (7,410 hectares). The total Malmyzh land position totals 226.9 square kilometers (22,690 hectares), and includes additional areas for potential project development as well as extensions to known exploration targets. IGC advises that the terms for the licenses can be granted extensions.

Copper-gold mineralization occurs in diorite porphyry intrusives, as well as in hornfels-altered and stockworked sedimentary wall rocks, and consists of near-surface zones (i.e., within 1 to 50 meters of the surface) of variable chalcocite enrichment grading into chalcopyrite-rich and chalcopyrite-bornite-magnetite mineralization to depth. The extent of the porphyry copper-gold mineralized systems has not been fully determined within the Malmyzh district. Much of the property has more than 15 meters of cover and is undrilled, thereby providing exploration potential for additional discoveries.

In 2015, a statement of inferred resources for Malmyzh's Valley, Central, Freedom (Southeast), and Flats deposits under NI 43-101 and CIM definition standards was provided by Phil Newall, PhD, BSc, CEng, FIMMM, a Qualified Person under NI 43-101 and managing director of Wardell Armstrong International ("WAI"), an independent UK based consulting company. The open pit constrained inferred resources at a 0.30% copper equivalent cut-off are 1,661 million tonnes at average grades of 0.34% copper and 0.17 g/t gold, or 0.42% copper-equivalent, containing 5.65 million tonnes (12.45 billion pounds) copper and 9.11 million ounces gold, or 7.06 million tonnes (15.56 billion pounds) copper-equivalent. Copper equivalent (CuEq) was calculated as Cu% + (Au g/t * 0.5) based on assumed prices of \$3.25/lb Cu and \$1400/oz Au, with recoveries of 90% for Cu and 70% for Au. At the time of WAI's 2015 resource estimate, project drilling totaled about 70,500 meters in over 211 core holes, with the majority of the drilling (~55,000 m) concentrated on defining the four resource deposits at nominal 200 by 200 meter centers, and generally to less than 500 meters depth. See EMX's May 26, 2015 news release and SEDAR filed technical report titled "NI 43-101 Technical Report on the Initial Mineral Resource Estimate for the Malmyzh Copper-Gold Project, Khabarovsk Krai, Russian Federation" with an effective date of May 1, 2015 and dated July 10, 2015 for more information on the exploration results, QA/QC procedures, and methodology used to estimate the Malmyzh inferred resources.

The copper-gold mineralization in the Valley, Central, Freedom (Southeast), and Flats resource deposits have favorable openpit geometries with potentially low stripping ratios. All four resource deposits are open at depth (> 350-600 m), and importantly, there are zones of shallow, higher grade copper-gold mineralization at the Valley and Freedom (SE) deposits, and high grade below the resource pit at Central. Furthermore, there is higher grade copper-gold mineralization at the Freedom Northwest prospect not currently included in the Malmyzh inferred resource estimate due to the need for in-fill drilling.

IGC advised that the Malmyzh joint venture had also advanced Malmyzh in 2015 by receiving approval of the "official on balance C1+C2 reserves" from the GKZ (State Reserves Committee), the government agency authorized to approve resources and reserves in the Russian Federation. The Malmyzh "official government approved reserves" were estimated according to the rules and regulations of the Russian Federation, and are not the same as reserves under NI 43-101. The GKZ "official reserves" have been listed and added to the "State Balance of Reserves". In addition, IGC advised that the "prospecting phase" of the Malmyzh exploration and mining licenses were successfully completed. The joint venture subsequently filed documents to continue to the advanced exploration and mining phase of the project's development as required for "strategically significant" deposits according to Russian law (i.e., the Law on Foreign Investments in Strategic Industries Law or "SIL").

In Q3 2016 IGC advised that SIL approval to advance the Malmyzh project had been received from the Government Commission on Monitoring Foreign Investment (the "Commission"). According to IGC, highlights of the Commission's approval include:

- The Joint Venture, as a majority foreign owned business entity, has been approved to retain control of the Malmyzh project exploration and mining licenses.
- The Joint Venture, therefore, maintains mining and production rights for the Malmyzh and Malmyzh North exploration and mining licenses.
- The Joint Venture holds 100% of the rights for the Malmyzh and Malmyzh North exploration and mining licenses, and is entitled to recover all minerals of economic value including copper, gold and by-product minerals.

The conclusion of the SIL process initiated a new, multi-year phase in the project's development. The Malmyzh team prepared a "Project Plan" document that outlined advanced plans and programs that include additional technical work (i.e., drilling, exploration, metallurgy, engineering, and hydrology), as well as environmental, social, and economic assessments. This next phase of work will ultimately conclude as a detailed "TEO of Permanent Conditions" report, which is considered to be a precursor to commencement of exploitation and mining (*TEO is the acronym for "Technico-Economicheskiye Obosnovaniye" which translates as Technical-Economic Basis*).

Subsequently in Q4, IGC commenced a reconnaissance diamond drilling program at the Freedom Northwest, North, Sleeper West, and Sleeper prospects totaling ten holes for 3,474.8 meters. As a subsequent event, final assays were received from IGC in January 2017, and Eurasian announced the results in a January 24th news release. The last hole of the program (AMM-213) drilled at Freedom Northwest provided particularly notable results, intersecting 747.4 meters (108.7-856.1 m) averaging 0.49% copper equivalent (0.41% copper and 0.17 g/t gold) (true width unknown). AMM-213 was a vertical in-fill hole designed to bring the 200 by 400 meter grid pattern at Freedom Northwest, which is not currently included as a Malmyzh resource deposit, to the 200 by 200 meter pattern used to delineate inferred resources. The 747.4 meter intercept is the longest mineralized interval drilled at Malmyzh to date. Further, the hole was terminated due to equipment limitations, and bottomed in mineralization (1.4 m interval from 854.7-856.1 m of 0.46% copper equivalent from 0.32% copper and 0.29 g/t gold). The drilling at Sleeper West and North prospects also returned encouraging results.

Eurasian is an investor in IGC, and as a result there are no direct holding costs to the Company, and no underlying agreements or royalties. Notwithstanding, IGC advises that the required payments to keep the Malmyzh property in good standing are nominal, consisting of "subsoil usage license fees" that total approximately US\$30,000 to US\$50,000 per year. Regarding the joint venture agreement, IGC and FMEC fund at their respective level of ownership interest, or dilute. Should either company dilute to less than a 10% interest, remaining ownership is converted to a US\$0.005 (one-half cent) per pound copper equivalent reserve payment, which remains in effect through the life of mine. Freeport retains no claw-back or NSR provisions.

Shelekhovo and Salasinskaya Projects

IGC also has 100% control of the 390 square kilometer Shelekhovo property (also known as Shelekhovskaya), and the nearby 260 square kilometer Salasinskaya property. At Shelekhovo, historic government exploration surveys identified multiple occurrences of gold, silver, and copper associated with quartz veining and alunite. Salasinskaya is considered to be the northern extension of the Shelekhovo anomaly cluster, and is marked by the widespread occurrence of quartz-alunite alteration. Both properties occur approximately 150 kilometers along trend to the northeast of Malmyzh. IGC advised that it conducted reconnaissance field mapping and geochemical sampling at Shelekhovo and Salasinskaya during 2016. Further discussion of IGC's exploration results and EMX's due diligence data verification and QA and QC procedures can be found in the Company's May 26, 2015 and January 24, 2017 news releases, as well as in the SEDAR filed Malmyzh

found in the Company's May 26, 2015 and January 24, 2017 news releases, as well as in the SEDAR filed Malmyzh Technical Report with an effective date of May 1, 2015.

Revelo Resources Corp.

EMX has a strategic investment in Revelo Resources Corp. ("Revelo") (TSX-V:RVL), a company focused on the acquisition and exploration of mineral properties in the prolific metallogenic belts of northern Chile. Revelo has a portfolio of 22 projects prospective for copper, gold and silver. Revelo also retains a 2% royalty interest in the Victoria Project, an important copper-gold-silver exploration project in northern Chile.

Qualified Person

Dean D. Turner, CPG, a Qualified Person as defined by NI 43-101 and consultant to the Company, has reviewed, verified and approved the above technical disclosure on Strategic Investments.

Geothermal Royalties

EMX initiated a geothermal energy program in 2010, and acquired assets in Slovakia and Peru. Eurasian subsequently sold its geothermal assets in 2013 to Starlight Geothermal Ltd. ("SGL") for cash payments, an equity position in SGL, and gross royalties of 1.0% in Slovakia and 0.5% in Peru from future geothermal energy production. The Company is not aware of any changes to its Slovakia and Peru geothermal royalty property holdings in 2016.

ITEM 5. OPERATING AND FINANCIAL REVIEW AND PROSPECTS

Years Ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014

GENERAL

This discussion and analysis of financial position and results of operations is prepared as at March 27, 2017 and should be read in conjunction with the audited annual consolidated financial statements of the Company for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 and the related notes thereto.

Those consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB") and interpretations of the International Financial Reporting Interpretations Committee ("IFRIC").

Eurasian Minerals Inc. and its subsidiaries operate as a royalty and prospect generator engaged in exploring for, and generating royalties from, metals and minerals properties. The Company's royalty and exploration portfolio mainly consists of properties in North America, Turkey, Europe, Australia, and New Zealand. The Company's common shares are listed on the TSX Venture Exchange ("TSX-V") and the NYSE MKT under the symbol "EMX". The Company's head office is located at 501 - 543 Granville Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6C 1X8.

These consolidated financial statements have been prepared using IFRS applicable to a going concern, which assumes that the Company will be able to realize its assets, discharge its liabilities and continue in operation for the following twelve months.

The Company's working capital position at December 31, 2016 was \$6,002,318. Management estimates it has sufficient funding for operations for the ensuing year as of the date of this Form 20-F, which results in the going concern assumption being an appropriate underlying concept for the preparation of these consolidated financial statements.

Some of the Company's activities for exploration and evaluation assets are located in emerging nations and, consequently, may be subject to a higher level of risk compared to other developed countries. Operations, the status of mineral property rights and the recoverability of investments in emerging nations can be affected by changing economic, legal, regulatory and political situations.

At the date of these consolidated financial statements, the Company has not identified a known body of commercial grade mineral on any of its exploration and evaluation assets. The ability of the Company to realize the costs it has incurred to date on these exploration and evaluation assets is dependent upon the Company identifying a commercial mineral body, to finance its development costs and to resolve any environmental, regulatory or other constraints which may hinder the successful development of the exploration and evaluation assets.

These consolidated financial statements of the Company are presented in Canadian dollars unless otherwise noted, which is the functional currency of the parent company and its subsidiaries except as to Bullion Monarch, the holder of a royalty income stream whose functional currency is the United States dollar.

DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

Eurasian is a Tier 1 company that trades on the TSX Venture and the NYSE MKT exchanges. It is principally in the business of exploring for, and generating royalties from, metals and minerals properties. The Company's royalty and exploration portfolio mainly consists of properties in North America, Turkey, Europe, Haiti, Australia, and New Zealand. The Company started receiving royalty income as of August 17, 2012 when it acquired Bullion Monarch Mining, Inc. ("Bullion" or "BULM"). This royalty cash flow helps to provide a foundation for supporting the Company's growth over the long term.

Eurasian operates as a royalty and prospect generator. Under the royalty and prospect generation business model, EMX acquires and advances early-stage mineral exploration projects and then forms partnerships with other parties for a retained royalty interest, as well as annual advanced royalty and other cash or share payments. Through its various agreements, Eurasian also provides technical and commercial assistance to partner companies as the projects are advanced. By optioning interests in its projects to third parties for a royalty interest, Eurasian a) reduces its exposure to the costs and risks associated with mineral exploration and project development, while b) maintaining the opportunity to participate in early-stage exploration upside, and c) developing a pipeline for potential production royalty payments and associated "brownfields" discoveries in the future. This approach helps preserve the Company's treasury, which can be utilized for project acquisitions and other business objectives.

Strategic investments are an important complement to the Company's royalty and prospect generation initiatives. These investments are made in under-valued exploration companies identified by Eurasian. EMX helps to develop the value of these assets, with exit strategies that can include royalty positions or equity sales.

EXPLORATION REVIEW

PROPERTY OVERVIEW

LEEVILLE & OTHER CARLIN TREND ROYALTY PROPERTIES

A material EMX asset is the Leeville royalty property acquired in the 2012 Bullion Monarch merger that covers portions of Newmont Mining Corporation's Leeville, Turf, and other gold mining operations and deposits in the Northern Carlin Trend. The Leeville 1% gross smelter return royalty paid approximately US\$1.7 million during the 12 months ending December 31, 2016. The royalty totaled 1,361 troy ounces of gold that were principally sourced from the Leeville (70%) and Turf mines (30%), with negligible contributions from other Newmont operations. The average realized gold price was US\$1,250 per troy ounce. The 2016 Leeville royalty performance represents a 33% increase in GSR revenue from 2015, corresponding to increases of 24% in attributable gold ounce production and 8% in average gold price. Newmont has stated that its Turf Vent Shaft Project, which was commissioned in November 2015, will provide the ventilation required to "increase production" and "unlock" additional resources at "greater Leeville" (see Newmont Mining Corp's 10-K and 10-Q filings for 2014 and 2015). As understood by the Company, "greater Leeville" includes portions of EMX's royalty property, and the Turf Vent Shaft Project as described by Newmont may potentially have a positive impact on the Leeville royalty. However, the Company does not have access to the information from Newmont in order to confidently assess what, if any, that impact has been, or will be. Newmont has also delineated a trend of sediment-hosted gold mineralization that extends southeast from the Leeville mining complex and across EMX's Leeville royalty property that covers portions of the Rita K and Full House exploration projects. These Newmont exploration successes underscore the prospectivity of the Leeville royalty property.

Further Carlin Trend exploration upside is provided by EMX's Maggie Creek South 3% NSR and Maggie Creek 2% NSR royalty properties. The Maggie Creek South royalty property was acquired in the 2012 merger with Bullion Monarch. The Maggie Creek royalty property is one of two royalties acquired from Golden Predator in Q1 2016. The Maggie Creek South and Maggie Creek royalty properties collectively cover approximately 12.4 square kilometers of prospective ground within about 1.6 kilometers of Newmont's Gold Quarry open pit mining operation.

NORTH AMERICA

Eurasian's portfolio in North America totals 34 exploration and royalty properties covering more than 32,000 hectares. The properties are advanced through wholly-owned subsidiary Bronco Creek Exploration Inc., and include porphyry coppermolybdenum, porphyry copper-gold, Carlin-type gold, and high-grade gold-silver vein projects. The exploration portfolio is comprised of 26 properties in Arizona, Nevada, Utah, and Wyoming. EMX currently has six exploration projects partnered through BCE, with the remaining 20 exploration properties available for partnership. In addition, there are eight royalty properties, including the producing Leeville royalty (see above section), that are being advanced by partner companies.

The Company's 2016 work focused on a) advancing partner funded projects at Superior West, Copper King, and Aguila de Cobre, b) executing new agreements for available projects, c) generative exploration, d) identifying royalty assets for purchase, and e) balancing the portfolio by acquiring new properties on open ground while dropping low priority projects. Eurasian is in discussions with potential partners for the available North American properties, as well as for regional exploration alliances. A summary of 2016 activities is given below.

- The Company executed a purchase agreement for NSR royalty interests on the Maggie Creek (2% NSR on precious metals and 1% NSR royalty on all other minerals) and Afgan (1% NSR royalty) gold properties from Golden Predator. Eurasian acquired the royalties by issuing 250,000 EMX shares to Golden Predator as consideration for the purchase. The Maggie Creek property is located north-northeast of Newmont's Gold Quarry open pit operations on the Carlin Trend, and the Afgan property occurs on the Battle Mountain-Eureka Trend. The addition of these two royalty assets strengthens EMX's growing Nevada gold portfolio.
- EMX executed an Exploration and Option to Purchase Agreement with Kennecott for the Copper King project in Arizona. Pursuant to the agreement, Kennecott can earn a 100% interest in the project by a) reimbursing the 2016 holding costs and making option payments, together totaling US\$504,314, and b) completing US\$4,000,000 in exploration expenditures before the fifth anniversary of the Agreement. Upon exercise of the option EMX will retain a 2% NSR royalty on the project which is not capped or purchasable. After exercise of the option, annual advance minimum royalty and milestone payments will be payable to Eurasian.

- Eurasian entered into an Exploration and Option Agreement with Coeur for the Mineral Hill gold-copper property in the Black Hills of Wyoming. The project is held under a pooling agreement with Mineral Hill L.P. ("MHL"), with all proceeds split 50:50, except the sale of surface rights associated with patented mining claims held by MHL. Coeur may earn a 100% interest in the project by making option payments totaling US\$435,000, making exploration expenditures totaling US\$1,550,000, and paying US\$250,000 upon exercise of the option, with EMX and MHL retaining a 4% NSR royalty, and annual advance minimum royalty payments of US\$150,000 and a US\$1,000,000 milestone payment upon completion of a feasibility study. Coeur may purchase up to 1.5% of the NSR royalty for US\$5,000,000 if the first increment is purchased within 60 days of the completion of a preliminary economic assessment ("PEA").
- Eurasian sold the five patented mining claims comprising the Ophir property in Utah, through its wholly owned subsidiary Bullion Monarch Mining Inc., to Kennecott. EMX received US\$75,000 in cash upon closing and a retained 2% NSR royalty from the sale.
- As a subsequent event in February 2017, Eurasian executed an Option Agreement for the Copper Springs porphyry copper project in Arizona with Anglo American, whereby Anglo American can earn a 100% interest in the project by a) reimbursing the 2016 holding and permitting costs and making annual option payments, together totaling US\$447,000, and b) completing US\$5,000,000 in exploration expenditures before the fifth anniversary of the agreement. Upon exercise of the option, Anglo American will pay EMX an additional US\$110,000 and EMX will retain a 2% NSR royalty on the project. After exercise of the option, annual advance minimum payments and milestone payments will be due to EMX.
- The Superior West copper-molybdenum project near Superior, Arizona is under an Exploration and Option to Purchase Agreement with Kennecott whereby Kennecott may earn a 100% interest in the project by completing US\$5.5 million in exploration expenditures and making cash payments totaling US\$1,149,187, after which EMX will retain a 2% NSR in addition to receiving AMR and certain project milestone payments. During 2016, EMX further consolidated the project's land position, and compiled the results from partner funded geologic mapping, geochemical sampling, and geophysical survey programs. The resulting interpretations refined the definition of priority targets for drill testing.
- The Hardshell Skarn property in southern Arizona is covered by an Exploration and Option Agreement with Arizona Minerals whereby Arizona Minerals can earn a 100% interest in the project by making cash payments totaling US\$85,000, and upon exercise of the option EMX will retain a 2% NSR royalty and receive annual advanced royalty payments. The EMX royalty is not capped and not subject to buy-down. During 2016, Arizona Minerals conducted drill programs and announced updated resource estimates for the Hermosa project's Taylor deposit, which borders the Hardshell skarn claim block.
- The Yerington West joint venture property, located in the Yerington mining district of west-central Nevada, is partnered with Entrée Gold Inc. The project hosts porphyry copper-molybdenum and copper-iron skarn targets beneath cover rocks. Entree continues to hold the property and make annual payments to EMX while advancing its Ann Mason project. EMX has a 100% interest in the Yerington West project until Entrée completes its initial earn-in requirements.
- Ely Gold completed their earn-in at the Cathedral Well property in November of 2016 through a trade with Eurasian whereby a subsidiary of Ely Gold executed a quit claim deed for 36 mining claims adjacent to Eurasian's Spring Canyon property in Nevada in lieu of its last \$25,000 option payment. EMX retains a 2.5% NSR royalty interest on Cathedral Well, inclusive of an underlying 0.5% NSR, and Ely Gold will retain a 0.5% NSR on the traded property. In December 2016, Ely Gold announced that it had optioned the project to Colorado Resources Ltd. Both the property and agreement remain in good standing.
- Kennecott elected to terminate the option agreement for the Aguila de Cobre copper project in Arizona in Q1. Kennecott advanced the project by funding geophysical surveys and expanding the property package through the staking of additional claims. Aguila de Cobre is available for partnership.
- Desert Star elected to terminate the option agreement for the Red Top copper-molybdenum project in Arizona in Q1. Desert Star advanced the project by conducting geologic and alteration mapping, geophysical studies, and drill permitting. Red Top is available for partnership.
- EMX continued evaluation of property and royalty acquisition opportunities in North America, with generative work focused on gold opportunities in the Great Basin and porphyry copper targets in Arizona and Utah. EMX acquired the following properties by staking on open ground: 1) the Spring Canyon, Mud Spring, and Trench gold projects in Nevada, 2) the Diablo Porphyry and Greenwood Springs copper projects in Arizona, and the 3) Dugway copper project in Utah.

EMX is encouraged by the new agreements executed with major mining companies on its copper portfolio as well as the funding committed to advance all of its partnered projects. The Company continues to receive interest from third parties in the available copper and gold properties, as well as in the new opportunities identified by generative exploration initiatives.

Qualified Person

Dean D. Turner, CPG, a Qualified Person as defined by NI 43-101 and consultant to the Company, has reviewed, verified and approved the above technical disclosure on North America.

TURKEY

Eurasian holds mineral property interests in Turkey's Western Anatolia and Eastern Pontides mineral belts. These properties include bulk tonnage gold, gold-silver vein, polymetallic carbonate replacement, and porphyry gold-copper targets. Six of the seven EMX projects in Turkey are being advanced by partner companies. The remaining property, Alankoy, is 100% controlled by Eurasian and currently available for sale or partnership.

Akarca Property

The Akarca Property is a 2006 Eurasian grassroots exploration discovery in Turkey's Western Anatolia region. The project area has six drill defined zones of epithermal gold-silver oxide mineralization. Further, there are several additional mineralized zones identified from reconnaissance level drilling and surface sampling.

In Q3 2016, EMX sold AES Madencilik A.S., the wholly-owned EMX subsidiary that controls the Akarca project, to Çiftay İnşaat Taahhüt ve Ticaret A.Ş., a privately owned Turkish company (see EMX news release dated August 8, 2016). EMX received a US\$2 million cash payment from Çiftay on closing. As part of the sale, EMX is to receive payments of 500 ounces of gold (or cash equivalent) every six months commencing on February 1, 2017, and continuing until receipt of a total of 7,000 ounces. As a subsequent event, the first 500 ounce cash equivalent payment of US\$601,825 was made to EMX in February 2017. Receipt of the initial 500 ounce payment leaves a total of 6,500 ounces of gold to be paid to EMX. Çiftay will pay any remaining amount due within 30 days after the commencement of commercial production from the property, if the total 7,000 ounces has not yet been paid at that time.

Additional terms of the sale include a sliding scale royalty for gold production (subject to certain deductions): a) 1.0% on the first 100,000 ounces of gold, b) 2.0% on the next 400,000 ounces of gold, and c) 3.0% on all gold production in excess of 500,000 ounces. For all other mineral production other than gold, the royalty rate is 3.0%. Certain bonuses will also be paid upon achievement of production milestones.

Çiftay informed EMX that it completed an initial 49 hole diamond drill program comprising 6,032 meters on the property in Q4 2016.

Sisorta Property

The Sisorta project, located in the Eastern Pontides mineral belt, is a near-surface, volcanic-hosted, high sulfidation epithermal gold deposit.

In Q3 2016, EMX sold EBX Madencilik A.S., the wholly-owned EMX subsidiary that controls the Sisorta Property, to Bahar Madencilik Sinayi ve Ticaret Ltd Sti, a privately owned Turkish company (see EMX news release dated August 3, 2016). The terms of the sale included a) a US\$250,000 cash payment at closing, b) annual cash payments of US\$125,000 per year until commencement of production (credited at a rate of 80% against NSR royalty payments upon production), and c) a 3.5% NSR royalty payment from ore processed on-site and a 5.0% NSR royalty payment from ore processed off-site. The NSR royalty payments are uncapped and cannot be bought out or reduced.

Bahar advised EMX that it concluded an initial 20 hole diamond drill program comprising 1,748 meters at Sisorta in Q4 2016.

Balya Property

The Balya royalty property is located in the historic Balya lead-zinc-silver mining district in northwestern Turkey. EMX holds an uncapped 4% NSR royalty that it retained from the sale of the property to private Turkish mining company Dedeman Madencilik San ve Tic. A.S. in 2006. EMX understands that since acquiring the property, Dedeman has completed over 36,000 meters of diamond drilling in addition to re-initiating underground development at the Hastanetepe deposit in Q1 2015. Dedeman advises that it produced and stockpiled approximately16,000 tonnes of run-of-mine material averaging 6.9% Pb and 3.5% Zn during 2016.

Other Property Interests

Eurasian's other property interests in Turkey include:

- The Golcuk copper-silver property is located in northeast Turkey. Pasinex Resources Ltd. signed an agreement in 2012 granting it an option to acquire a 100% interest in the Golcuk property for shares and work commitments, with EMX retaining a 2.9% NSR royalty interest. Pasinex's exploration programs have identified a number of additional mineralized targets on the property, and their work in 2016 included permitting and preparations for follow-up drilling scheduled for 2017.
- The Trab-23 property hosts both porphyry gold (copper-molybdenum) mineralization and epithermal quartz-baritegold veins. Tumad Madencilik Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S., a privately owned Turkish company, executed an option agreement in 2013 granting it an option to acquire Trab-23 for in-ground spending requirements, annual earn-in and pre-production payments, and payments based upon production. Tumad's payment and drill requirements have not been met. EMX and Tumad are currently negotiating a revision to the agreement that will include a shift of required payments and drill commitments to 2017 and 2018.
- The Alankoy gold-copper property is located in the Biga Peninsula of northwestern Turkey. EMX executed an Exploration and Option Agreement for Alankoy with Black Sea in November 2015. In 2016, Black Sea conducted surface exploration programs, and met statutory requirements to keep the property in good standing. As a subsequent event in Q1 2017, Black Sea terminated the Agreement, and EMX regained 100% control of the project.
- EMX owns a 4% uncapped NSR royalty interest in the Aktutan polymetallic project that it sold to Dedeman in 2007. No work was reported by Dedeman for 2016.

Qualified Person

Eric P. Jensen, CPG, a Qualified Person as defined by NI 43-101 and employee of the Company, has reviewed, verified and approved the above technical disclosure on Turkey.

AUSTRALIA AND NEW ZEALAND

The Company's programs in the Australia and New Zealand region continued to operate at a reduced expenditure rate, while taking advantage of generative exploration opportunities with the acquisition of new projects. The Koonenberry gold project in New South Wales, Australia is being advanced by partner companies under earn-in and royalty agreements with EMX. In New Zealand, the Neavesville gold-silver project is being advanced under an agreement with Land & Minerals Ltd. ("L&M"), and the Ohakuri and Muirs Reef properties were added to the Company's exploration portfolio.

Koonenberry Property

The Koonenberry property hosts gold occurrences and gold geochemical anomalies coincident with prominent structural features related to the regional scale Koonenberry fault. The majority of the project is under an Exploration and Option Agreement with North Queensland Mining Pty Ltd ("NQM"), a privately held Australian company, whereby NQM can earn a 100% interest in the subsidiary that holds the EMX licenses, with EMX retaining a 3% production royalty upon earn-in. During 2016, NQM reduced the tenement position to a core of the most prospective ground, while fulfilling statutory and filing requirements to keep the property in good standing.

Neavesville Property

The Neavesville project consists of a single exploration permit in the Hauraki Goldfield of New Zealand's North Island. EMX acquired Neavesville, which covers a historic JORC gold-silver resource, on open ground with minimal cost. The property hosts epithermal gold-silver mineralization that has geologic features similar to other deposits of the Hauraki Goldfield.

The project is under a definitive agreement with L&M, a wholly owned subsidiary of E2 Metals Ltd., giving L&M the right to acquire the wholly owned EMX subsidiary that controls the Neavesville property. The agreement with L&M provides for work obligations, staged payments, milestone payments based upon JORC reserves, and commercial production payments, all to the benefit of Eurasian. During 2016, L&M focused on fulfilling statutory and filing requirements to keep the license in good standing. In Q1 2017 E2 Metals filed a prospectus with the ASX exchange announcing an offering to raise a minimum of \$6,000,000 AUD and their intention to list E2 Metals on the ASX Exchange. Proceeds will be used in part to fund a drilling program at Neavesville, which is slated to commence in April, 2017.

Ohakuri and Muirs Reef Properties

Eurasian's generative exploration program resulted in the acquisition of the Ohakuri and Muirs Reef properties in 2016 by tenement applications on open ground. Both properties are covered by five year exploration licenses. Ohakuri covers an area of historic drilling that intersected broad zones of epithermal-style gold (silver) mineralization hosted in ignimbrites and associated with quartz adularia alteration systems and weakly developed vein arrays concealed beneath a veneer of volcanic cover rocks. The Muirs Reef project contains a low sulfidation gold (silver) vein system that has undergone historic, small scale underground mining. The Ohakuri and Muirs Reef projects are available for partnership.

Qualified Person

Eric P. Jensen, CPG, a Qualified Person as defined by NI 43-101 and employee of the Company, has reviewed, verified and approved the above technical disclosure on Australia and New Zealand.

EUROPE

Eurasian has been actively exploring in Europe since 2003, and has assembled a portfolio of copper and polymetallic royalty and exploration properties in Scandinavia, as well as a portfolio of copper-gold royalty properties in Serbia. In Sweden and Norway, the Company continued to operate at a reduced expenditure rate, while pursuing strategic partnerships for properties in the portfolio and adding value through low cost generative exploration. These efforts resulted in the conversion of four exploration properties to royalty and equity interests, as well as the addition of one new 100% controlled property to the portfolio. EMX also has royalty interests in Serbia that includes the Cukaru Peki copper-gold project.

Scandinavia

Eurasian has been focused on its core property holdings in Scandinavia that include the Gumsberg and Adak properties in Sweden and the Tynset and Burfjord properties in Norway. These properties were acquired between 2013 and 2015 by license application on open ground. Each of the properties has undergone historic small scale mining production, but with only limited or no modern exploration conducted. All of the properties have polymetallic styles of mineralization, and each shows enrichments in multiple metals. Gumsberg, Adak, and Tynset host Volcanogenic Massive Sulfide ("VMS") styles of mineralization, and Burfjord is characterized by Iron-Oxide-Copper-Gold ("IOCG") styles of mineralization. Eurasian's exploration programs and new geologic interpretations identified exploration upside at multiple targets on these properties (see EMX news release dated September 8, 2016).

In Q4 2016 EMX executed a definitive agreement to sell the Gumsberg, Adak, Tynset and Burfjord properties to Boreal Metals Corp., a British Columbia corporation ("BMC") (see EMX news release dated November 22, 2016). Commercial terms of the agreement with BMC, which closed in February 2017 as a subsequent event, are summarized as: a) At closing, Eurasian transferred to BMC its interest in its wholly-owned subsidiaries that owned the properties, and BMC issued to Eurasian that number of common shares of BMC that represents a 19.9% equity ownership in BMC. BMC has the continuing obligation to issue additional shares of BMC to Eurasian to maintain its 19.9% interest in BMC, at no additional cost to Eurasian, until BMC has raised CDN \$5,000,000 in equity. Thereafter Eurasian will have the right to participate pro-rata in future financings at its own cost to maintain its 19.9% interest in BMC; b) Eurasian will receive an uncapped 3% net smelter return ("NSR") royalty on each of the properties. Within five years of the closing date, BMC has the right to buy down up to 1% of the royalty on any given project by paying Eurasian US\$2,500,000 in cash and shares of BMC. Such buy down is project specific. c) Eurasian will receive annual advance royalty ("AAR") payments of US\$20,000 for each of the properties commencing on the second anniversary of the closing, with each AAR payment increasing by US\$5,000 per year until reaching US\$60,000 per year, except that BMC may forgo AAR payments on two of the four properties in years two and three. Once reaching US\$60,000, AAR payments will be adjusted each year according to the Consumer Price Index (as published by the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics); d) Eurasian will receive a 0.5% NSR royalty on any new mineral exploration projects generated by BMC in Sweden or Norway, excluding projects acquired from a third party containing a mineral resource or reserve or an existing mining operation. These royalties are not capped and not subject to a buy down.; and e) Eurasian has have the right to nominate one seat on the Board of Directors of BMC.

The conversion of the four properties in Sweden and Norway to royalty and equity interests marks the achievement of a major Company objective.

Subsequent to the agreement with BMC, Eurasian was granted the Rydarhyttan exploration license in the Bergslagen district of southern Sweden. Rydarhyttan is 100% controlled by Eurasian and is available for partnership.

EMX also holds an effective 0.5% NSR royalty interest in Avalon's Viscaria copper project located in the Kiruna mining district of northern Sweden. Upon receipt of US\$12 million in royalty revenues, the royalty rate increases to a 1.0% NSR. Avalon completed an updated mineral resource estimate and "scoping study" based upon a combination open pit and underground scenario in 2015. During 2016, Avalon advanced the Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) and permitting process for the project, while making plans for additional drilling to update the resource estimate and scoping

Serbia

EMX's royalty portfolio in Serbia initially resulted from prospect generation and organic royalty growth via the 2006 sale of its properties, including Brestovac West, to Reservoir Capital Corp., for uncapped NSR royalties of 2% for gold and silver and 1% for all other metals. Reservoir Capital Corp. later transferred those interests to Reservoir Minerals Inc. ("Reservoir"). Subsequently, Eurasian acquired 0.5% NSR royalty interests (note: the royalty percentage is subject to reduction on conditions specified in the royalty agreement) covering the Brestovac and Jasikovo-Durlan Potok properties (see EMX news release dated February 4, 2014), which along with Brestovac West, were included in the Timok Project Joint Venture with Freeport. EMX notes that a) the original Brestovac and Brestovac permits are now covered by the Brestovac Metonivca and Brestovac Zapad permits, and b) portions of a reconfigured Jasikovo-Durlan Potok permit (i.e., expanded in some areas and reduced in other areas) are not covered by the EMX royalty. Brestovac (Brestovac Metonivca) hosts epithermal and porphyry copper-gold mineralization at the Cukaru Peki project.

During Q2, Reservoir announced an updated resource estimate and positive PEA results for Cukaru Peki (see Reservoir news release dated April 19, 2016 and SEDAR filed technical report titled "NI43-101 Preliminary Economic Assessment of the Cukaru Peki Upper Zone Deposit, Serbia" with an effective date of March 31, 2016). As another development in Q2, Reservoir announced a definitive agreement with Nevsun Resources Ltd. to combine their respective companies (see Reservoir news release dated April 24, 2016). Nevsun subsequently closed the acquisition of Reservoir as announced in a June 23, 2016 news release. In Q3 Nevsun reported that it had commenced drilling programs on the Upper Zone resource deposit and Lower Zone porphyry target (see Nevsun news release dated September 29, 2016). According to Nevsun, it is targeting completion of a prefeasibility study in September, 2017, as well as the commencement of an exploration decline in Q4 2017. Nevsun has budgeted US \$35-40 million for Upper Zone work through 2017, and estimated expenditures of US \$20 million for the Lower Zone program.

Qualified Person

Eric P. Jensen, CPG, a Qualified Person as defined by NI 43-101 and employee of the Company, has reviewed, verified and approved the above technical disclosure on Europe.

HAITI

Eurasian and Newmont Ventures Limited (collectively, the "JV" or "Joint Venture"), had been exploring a land position along a 130 kilometer trend of Haiti's Massif du Nord mineral belt since 2008, with Newmont funding and managing the Joint Venture. EMX's 100% controlled Grand Bois gold-copper project was not subject to the JV with Newmont. In 2013 the Haitian government suspended its Mining Convention process while it began working on a new mining law, which as Eurasian understands was an ongoing process during 2016.

EMX sold its Haiti Joint Venture interests in 2015 to Newmont. The now terminated EMX-Newmont Joint Ventures covered six designated exploration areas in Haiti. Pursuant to the transaction, Newmont acquired all of EMX's interest in the designated exploration areas on the following terms: a) Newmont paid US\$4 million in cash to EMX at closing, b) the Joint Ventures were terminated, c) EMX retained a 0.5% NSR royalty on the 49 Research Permit applications covering the designated exploration areas, and d) EMX retains the right to acquire any properties proposed to be abandoned or surrendered by Newmont.

In Q1 2016, EMX sold its 100% controlled Grand Bois project, which was outside the Joint Venture with Newmont, to a privately held Nevada corporation. EMX retained a 0.5% NSR royalty interest in the Grand Bois project and the right to acquire any properties proposed to be abandoned or surrendered from the Grand Bois project in the future.

As a result of the above two transactions, all of EMX's interests in Haiti have now been converted into NSR royalties.

Qualified Person

Dean D. Turner, CPG, a Qualified Person as defined by NI 43-101 and consultant to the Company, has reviewed, verified and approved the above technical disclosure on Haiti.

STRATEGIC INVESTMENTS

IG Copper LLC

EMX is a strategic investor in IG Copper LLC, a privately held company that is in a joint venture with Freeport on the Malmyzh copper-gold porphyry project in Far East Russia. IGC has a 51% ownership interest in the Malmyzh joint venture, with Freeport retaining a 49% interest. IGC is operating and managing the project. The Shelekhovo and Salasinskaya properties, located ~150 kilometers northeast of Malmyzh, are 100% controlled by IGC and not subject to the joint venture with Freeport. Eurasian was an early investor in IGC, and is its largest shareholder, with approximately 39% of the issued and outstanding shares (36% equity position on a fully-diluted basis) from investments totaling US\$9 million. EMX's investment in IGC and the Malmyzh project is material to the Company.

Malmyzh is a grassroots, district-scale discovery with over 14 porphyry copper-gold centers currently identified within a 16 by 5 kilometer intrusive corridor. A statement of inferred resources for Malmyzh's Valley, Central, Freedom (Southeast), and Flats deposits under NI 43-101 and CIM definition standards was provided in 2015 by Phil Newall, PhD, BSc, CEng, FIMMM, a Qualified Person and managing director of Wardell Armstrong International. The open pit constrained inferred resources at a 0.30% copper equivalent cut-off are 1,661 million tonnes at average grades of 0.34% copper and 0.17 g/t gold, or 0.42% copper-equivalent, containing 5.65 million tonnes (12.45 billion pounds) copper and 9.11 million ounces gold, or 7.06 million tonnes (15.56 billion pounds) copper-equivalent*. The project has excellent logistical characteristics and available infrastructure, and is located 220 kilometers northeast of the Russia-China border at Khabarovsk.

Important advancements for the Malmyzh project during 2016 included:

- In Q3, IGC advised that the joint venture had received approval to proceed with advancing the project from the Government Commission on Monitoring Foreign Investment (the "Commission") as required for "strategically significant" deposits according to Russian law (i.e., the Law on Foreign Investments in Strategic Industries, also termed the Strategic Industries Law or "SIL"). According to IGC, highlights of the Commission's approval include:
 - The Joint Venture, as a majority foreign owned business entity, has been approved to retain control of the Malmyzh project exploration and mining licenses.
 - The Joint Venture, therefore, maintains mining and production rights for the Malmyzh and Malmyzh North exploration and mining licenses.
 - The Joint Venture holds 100% of the rights for the Malmyzh and Malmyzh North exploration and mining licenses, and is entitled to recover all minerals of economic value including copper, gold and by-product minerals.
- The conclusion of the SIL process initiated a new, multi-year phase in the project's development. The Malmyzh team prepared a "Project Plan" document that outlined advanced plans and programs that include additional technical work (i.e., drilling, exploration, metallurgy, engineering, and hydrology), as well as environmental, social, and economic assessments. This next phase of work will ultimately conclude as a detailed "TEO of Permanent Conditions" report, which is considered to be a precursor to commencement of exploitation and mining (*TEO is the acronym for "Technico-Economicheskiye Obosnovaniye" which translates as Technical-Economic Basis*).
- In Q4, IGC commenced a reconnaissance diamond drilling program at the Freedom (Northwest), North, Sleeper West, and Sleeper prospects totaling ten holes for 3,474.8 meters. The last hole of the program (AMM-213) drilled at Freedom Northwest, provided particularly notable results, intersecting the longest copper-gold mineralized interval drilled at Malmyzh to date. The drilling at the Sleeper West and North prospects also returned encouraging results.

Overall, the Russian government strongly supports mining investment and development in their Far East Krais (administrative regions). IGC is encouraged by, and appreciative of, the strong measures of support for advancing a project recognized as an exceptional exploration asset with world-class potential.

* Copper equivalent calculated as CuEq = Cu% + (Au g/t * 0.5), based on assumed prices of \$3.25/lb Cu and \$1400/oz Au, with recoveries of 90% for Cu and 70% for Au.

Revelo Resources Corp.

EMX has a strategic investment in Revelo Resources Corp., a company focused on the acquisition and exploration of mineral properties in the prolific metallogenic belts of northern Chile. Revelo has a portfolio of 22 projects prospective for copper, gold and silver. Revelo also retains a 2% royalty interest in the Victoria Project, an important copper-gold-silver exploration project in northern Chile.

Qualified Person

Dean D. Turner, CPG, a Qualified Person as defined by NI 43-101 and consultant to the Company, has reviewed, verified and approved the above technical disclosure on Strategic Investments.

GEOTHERMAL ROYALTIES

EMX initiated a geothermal energy program in 2010, and acquired assets in Slovakia and Peru. Eurasian subsequently sold its geothermal assets in 2013 to Starlight Geothermal Ltd. for cash payments, an equity position in SGL, and gross royalties of 1.0% in Slovakia and 0.5% in Peru from future geothermal energy production. The Company is not aware of any changes to its Slovakia and Peru geothermal royalty property holdings in 2016.

OPERATING RESULTS

Year ended December 31, 2016

The net loss for the year ended December 31, 2016 ("FY16") was 2,683,482 compared to 6,875,857 for the prior year ("FY15"). The loss for FY16 was made up of a net royalty loss of 47,265 (2015 - 187,773) after depletion and related tax, net exploration expenditures of 4,999,959 (2015 - 4,364,675), general and administrative expenditures of 3,220,339 (2015 - 3,535,534) and other gains/(losses) totaling 4,144,749 (2015 - (2,219,105)) offset by a deferred income tax recovery of 1,439,332 (2015 - 3,431,230). Included in other gains/(losses) was 1 (2015 - (2,219,105)) in impairment charges related to the Carlin Trend Royalty Claim Block and related assets, a gain on the sale of exploration and evaluation assets of 6,834,999 (2015 - 5,393,305), a dilution gain on associated companies of 982,634 (2015 - 81,062,146), and a writedown of goodwill of 1,518,328 (2015 - 3,047,605). Some items to note are:

Revenues

In FY16, royalty income was earned for 1,361 (2015 - 1,096) ounces of gold totaling \$2,227,322 (2015 - \$1,609,553) offset by gold tax and depletion of \$2,274,587 (2015 - \$1,797,326) for net royalty loss of \$47,265 (2015 - loss \$187,773). The increase in royalty income was mainly due to an increase in ounces produced and a higher realized gold price per ounce in the current period. In FY16 the average realized gold price was US\$1,250 per ounce compared to US\$1,160 for 2015.

Exploration Expenditures

Exploration expenditures (gross) decreased by \$466,731 in FY16 compared to FY15. Recoveries decreased by \$168,553 in FY16 compared to FY15. for a net increase in exploration expenditures of \$635,284 in FY16 compared to FY15. Some of the differences between 2016 and 2015 are as follows:

- In Scandinavia, net expenditures were consistent, increasing by \$81,027 compared to the prior period. In 2016, the Company continued to actively market its project interests in Scandinavia with the result that four exploration projects were sold to Boreal Metals in late 2016. Eurasian's staff in Scandinavia is retained on a consulting basis only, in order to keep costs low during times of inactivity.
- In the USA, gross expenditures increased from \$2,713,477 to \$3,342,206 and recoveries decreased from \$901,385 to \$886,872. The increase in expenditures was the result of generative exploration work that lead to the acquisition of two new projects and the decrease in recoveries relates to land fees paid and recovered in the comparable period by project partners. The Americas continue to represent a potentially high value, low cost exploration venue coupled with a large list of prospective partners to conduct EMX's business model. Despite tough market conditions, basemetal projects still appear to be sought after and BCE is in discussions with several groups regarding its properties. A major focus of BCE for the year will remain to partner available assets, reduce holding costs, and recover a portion of its burn.

- In Turkey, gross expenditures decreased by \$37,641, while net expenditures increased by \$263,346 as a result of fewer recoveries. In 2016, the Turkish Business Unit continued to be a key value driver for Eurasian. Partner funded programs continued to advance projects in the Eurasian portfolio, with 6 of the 7 projects in Turkey operating under partnerships. In 2015 the Company regained 100% control of the Sisorta and Akarca properties, and closed its exploration office in Ankara. In 2016, under separate share purchase agreements EMX sold EBX Madencilik A.S., the wholly-owned EMX subsidiary that controls the Sisorta gold property in Turkey, to Bahar Madencilik Sinayi ve Ticaret Ltd Sti, for cash payments and retained NSR payments upon production, and sold AES Madencilik A.S., the wholly-owned EMX subsidiary that controls the Akarca gold-silver project in Turkey, to Çiftay İnşaat Taahhüt ve Ticaret A.Ş., for a US \$2million cash payment (\$2,630,760) at closing, staged gold bullion payments (or the cash equivalent), work commitments, and a sliding scale retained royalty interest.
- In the Asia Pacific region, net expenditures for 2016 totaled \$10,572 compared to net expenditures of \$294,051 in 2015 as the Company operated under a reduced expenditure rate, with expenditures being recovered from active partnership agreements. In 2015 the Koonenberry project was reduced in scope with Arastra relinquishing their holdings and North Queensland relinquishing a portion of their holdings in the project. The Company elected not to acquire this ground thus saving on land fees. North Queensland continues to advance the Koonenberry project under the active exploration and option agreement. The Neavesville project is under a definitive agreement with L&M giving L&M the right to acquire the wholly-owned EMX subsidiary that controls the Neavesville property.

General and Administrative

General and administrative expenses ("G&A") of \$3,220,339 were incurred compared to \$3,535,534 in FY15. G&A costs (before share-based payments) have decreased each year since 2012 as the Company found ways to streamline and reduce the G&A expenses of our business. Significant changes in the current year compared to the previous years include:

- Investor relations increased from by \$56,235 in FY16 compared to FY15. The Company attends select industry trade shows and supports lines of communication to current and potential investors.
- Travel expenditures decreased by \$115,813 in FY16 compared to FY15 as the Company made changes to budgets, and implemented cost saving measures.
- Administrative and office expenses decreased by \$178,808 to \$721,645 in the current year compared to the prior year of \$900,453. The Company has a corporate office in Vancouver which manages the finance, regulatory and administrative functions. It also has a regional office in Littleton, Colorado which supports the exploration, technical, investor relations and deal flow aspects of the business.
- Salaries and consultants are one of the largest expense in G&A. It should be noted that many of our personnel expenditures companywide are denominated in United States dollars ("USD") and the increase in the value of the USD compared to the Canadian dollar, which is our reporting currency, will increase expenditures. Salaries and consultants decreased by \$66,942 in FY16 compared to FY15.

Other

- The Company recognized a net gain on the sale of certain exploration and evaluation assets during the year of \$6,834,999 compared to \$5,393,305 in the prior year. In FY16 the gain resulted from the sale of two significant assets in Turkey including \$6,683,560 related to the sale of AES to Çiftay. The net proceeds on the sale included a US \$2,000,000 payment (\$2,630,760) on signing and future payments estimated at \$4,145,898 included in accounts receivables. The significant gain in FY15 resulted from the sale of certain Haitian interests to Newmont for a \$5,277,542 (US \$4,000,000) cash payment and a retained 0.5% NSR royalty interest.
- In FY16 the Company realized both an increase in royalty ounce production and average gold prices received when compared to FY15. As a result, no impairment was recorded on the Leevile royalty interest. In FY15, as a result of the decline in the production of gold from the Carlin Trend Royalty Claim Block, and decrease in the realized gold prices, the Company revised its estimated annual gold production over the expected mine life and decreased its long term gold price forecast from US\$1300 to US\$1200 per ounce. As a result, the Company recorded \$Nil (FY15 \$3,973,699) in impairment charges related to the Carlin Trend Royalty Claim Block and related assets that make up the same cash-generating unit ("CGU").

- The Company applies a one-step approach to determine if the Carlin Trend Royalty Claim Block and the related assets within the same CGU are impaired. The impairment loss is the amount by which the CGU's carrying amount exceeds its recoverable amount. The loss is first applied to reduce the asset component if such indicators for impairment exist, and any excess to goodwill within the CGU. As result, the Company has written down the goodwill by \$1,518,328 (2015 \$3,047,605).
- The Company recorded a deferred income tax recovery of \$1,439,332 compared to \$3,431,230 in 2015, and a net decrease in deferred tax liabilities of \$1,748,562 (2015 \$1,715,656). A significant component of the deferred tax recovery and decrease in the related liability is the result of any impairment of the royalty interest partially offset by a cumulative translation loss as a result of the strengthening \$USD compared to \$CAD. The decrease in the income tax recovery in FY16 compared to FY15 is a result of no impairment on the related royalty asset.
- The Company's share of the net loss related to its 39% (2015 42%) equity investment in IGC for the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$1,295,568 (2015 \$1,062,146). In 2016, the Company also recorded a dilution gain of \$982,634 (2015 \$Nil) related to the Company's change in ownership interest in IGC.

SIGNIFICANT INVESTMENTS ACCOUNTED FOR BY THE EQUITY METHOD

The Company has a 39% (2015 - 42%; 2014 - 42%) equity investment in IGC. At December 31, 2016, the Company, including conversion of a note receivable (Note 7), has invested an aggregate of US\$8,967,010 towards its investment (December 31, 2015 - US\$7,782,500; 2014 - US\$7,782,500). At December 31, 2016, the Company's investment including dilution gain less its share of accumulated equity losses was \$4,992,823 (2015 - \$3,333,491; 2014 - 4,072,737). The Company's share of the net loss for the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$1,295,568 (2015 - \$1,062,146; 2014 - \$1,086,649).

The Company has a minority position on the Board of IGC, and does not control operational decisions. The Company's judgment is that it has significant influence, but not control and accordingly equity accounting is appropriate.

At December 31, 2014, the Company had a 49% equity investment in a private Turkish company ("Turkish Co") with Chesser Resources Ltd., an Australian Stock Exchange listed Exploration Company. During the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company purchased the remaining 51% interest in the Turkish company (Note 9). As such, the books and records of the Turkish company are consolidated as a 100% owned subsidiary of the Company. The carrying value of the investment prior to the purchase and as at December 31, 2014 was \$Nil and the Company's share of the net loss of the former joint venture for the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$Nil (2015 - \$Nil; 2014 - \$Nil).

As at December 31, 2016, associated companies' aggregate assets, aggregate liabilities and net loss for the year are as follows:

December 31, 2016	IGC
Aggregate assets	\$ 6,884,378
Aggregate liabilities	(1,471,260)
Loss for the year	3,216,120
The Company's ownership %	39%
The Company's share of loss for the year	(1,295,568)

During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company recognized a dilution gain of \$982,634 related to the Company's change in ownership percentage as a result of IGC's share issuance for cash proceeds and loan conversions.

As at December 31, 2015, associated companies' aggregate assets, aggregate liabilities and net loss for the year are as follows:

December 31, 2015				
Aggregate assets	\$	6,980,045		
Aggregate liabilities		(2,917,038)		
Loss for the year		(2,515,741)		
The Company's ownership %		42%		
The Company's share of loss for the year		(1,062,146)		

As at December 31, 2014, associated companies' aggregate assets, aggregate liabilities and net loss for the year are as follows:

December 31, 2014	Turkish Co	IGC
Aggregate assets	\$ 101,315 \$	4,841,462
Aggregate liabilities	(271,424.00)	(809,260)
Loss for the year	(154,215.00)	(2,606,384)
The Company's ownership %	49%	42%
The Company's share of loss for the year	-	(1,086,649)

SELECTED ANNUAL INFORMATION

As at	December 31, 2016	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2014	December 31, 2013
Financial positions				
Working capital	\$ 6,002,318	\$ 5,787,109	\$ 7,096,916	\$ 14,217,999
Exploration and evaluation assets (net)	2,145,000	2,381,540	2,379,886	3,031,368
Royalty interest	25,831,152	28,798,980	29,327,960	35,063,725
Total assets	47,843,555	50,624,129	54,292,093	70,073,220
Share capital	117,504,585	117,000,052	116,766,102	116,151,675
Deficit	(96,989,360)	(94,305,878)	(87,430,021)	(69,981,980)
	Year ended	Year ended	Year ended	Year ended
	December 31, 2016	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2014	December 31, 2013
Financial results				
Royalty income	\$ 2,227,322	\$ 1,609,553	\$ 2,247,334	\$ 3,102,888
Exploration expenditures (net)	4,999,959	4,364,675	5,022,658	3,839,703
Netloss	(2,683,482)	(6,875,857)	(17,448,041)	(13,982,612)
Net loss per share - basic and diluted	(0.04)	(0.09)	(0.24)	(0.19)

The year ended December 31, 2016 saw an impairment charge of 1(2015 - 3,973,699; 2014 - 7,371,765) on the royalty interests, a related write-down of goodwill of 1,518,328 (2015 - 3,047,605; 2014 - 2,248,057), and a recovery of 1,439,332 (2015 - 3,431,230; 2014 - 3,356,471 of deferred income taxes, offset by a gain of 6,834,999 (2015 - 5,393,305; 2014 - 1058 (2015 - 3,431,230; 2014 - 3,356,471 of deferred income taxes, offset by a gain of 6,834,999 (2015 - 5,393,305; 2014 - 1058 (2015 - 3,141,230; 2015 - 3,141,230; 2014 - 1058 (2015 - 3,141,230; 2014 - 3,156,471 of deferred income taxes, offset by a gain of 3,141,230; 2015 - 3,141,230; 2014 - 1058 (2015 - 3,141,230; 2015 - 3,141,230; 2015 - 3,141,230; 2015 - 3,141,230; 2017 - 3,141,230; 201

OUTSTANDING SHARE DATA

At March 27, 2017, the Company had 74,164,710 common shares issued and outstanding. There were also 4,736,500 stock options outstanding with expiry dates ranging from July 5, 2017 to October 18, 2021.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Statement of Compliance

These consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with IFRS as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB") and interpretations of the International Financial Reporting Interpretations Committee ("IFRIC").

These consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a historical cost basis, except for financial instruments classified as fair value through profit or loss or available for sale, which are stated at their fair value. In addition, these consolidated financial statements have been prepared using the accrual basis of accounting except for cash flow information.

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements comprise the accounts of Eurasian, the parent company, and its controlled subsidiaries, after the elimination of all significant intercompany balances and transactions.

Subsidiaries

Subsidiaries are all entities over which the Company has exposure to variable returns from its involvement and has the ability to use power over the investee to affect its returns. The existence and effect of potential voting rights that are currently exercisable or convertible are considered when assessing whether the Company controls another entity. Subsidiaries are fully consolidated from the date on which control is transferred to the Company until the date on which control ceases.

The accounts of subsidiaries are prepared for the same reporting period as the parent company, using consistent accounting policies. Inter-company transactions, balances and unrealized gains or losses on transactions are eliminated. The Company's principal operating subsidiaries are as follows:

Name	Place of Incorporation	Ownership Percentage		
Bullion Monarch Mining, Inc	Utah, USA	100%		
EMX (USA) Services Corp.	Nevada, USA	100%		
Bronco Creek Exploration Inc.	Arizona, USA	100%		
Eurasia Madencilik Ltd. Sirketi	Turkey	100%		
Azur Madencilik Ltd. Sirketi	Turkey	100%		
Eurasian Minerals Cooperatief U.A.	Netherlands	100%		
Eurasian Minerals Sweden AB	Sweden	100%		
EMX Exploration Scandinavia AB	Sweden	100%		
Viad Royalties AB	Sweden	100%		
Iekevare Minerals AB	Sweden	100%		
Waikato Gold Limited	New Zealand	100%		
EMX Australia Pty Ltd.	Australia	100%		

Functional and Reporting Currency

The functional currency is the currency of the primary economic environment in which the entity operates. The functional currency for the Company and its subsidiaries is the Canadian dollar except the functional currency of the operations of Bullion Monarch which is the US dollar. The functional currency determinations were conducted through an analysis of the consideration factors identified in IAS 21, The Effects of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates.

Translation of transactions and balances

Foreign currency transactions are translated into the functional currency using the exchange rates prevailing at the dates of the transactions or valuation where items are re-measured. Monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are re-measured at the rate of exchange at each financial position date. Foreign exchange gains and losses resulting from the settlement of such transactions and from the translation at period end exchange rates of monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are recognized in profit or loss.

On translation of the entities whose functional currency is other than the Canadian dollar, revenues and expenses are translated at the exchange rates approximating those in effect on the date of the transactions. Assets and liabilities are translated at the rate of exchange at the reporting date. Exchange gains and losses, including results of re-translation, are recorded in the foreign currency translation reserve.

Financial Instruments

All financial instruments are classified into one of the following four categories:

(a) Financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss ("FVTPL")

Financial assets and financial liabilities classified as FVTPL are acquired or incurred principally for the purpose of selling or repurchasing them in the near term. They are recognized at fair value based on market prices, with any resulting gains and losses reflected in profit or loss for the period in which they arise.

(b) Held-to-maturity financial assets

Held-to-maturity financial assets are non-derivative financial assets with fixed or determinable payments and fixed maturity that an entity has the positive intention and ability to hold to maturity. They are measured at amortized cost using the effective interest rate method less any impairment loss. A gain or loss is recognized in profit or loss when the financial asset is derecognized or impaired, and through the amortization process.

(c) Available for sale financial assets

Available for sale ("AFS") financial assets are non-derivative financial assets that are designated as available for sale, or that are not classified as loans and receivables, held-to-maturity investments, or FVTPL. They are measured at fair value. Fair value is determined based on market prices. Equity instruments that do not have a quoted market price in an active market are measured at cost. Gains and losses are recognized directly in other comprehensive income (loss) until the financial asset is derecognized, at which time the cumulative gain or loss previously recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) is recognized in profit or loss for the period.

(d) Loans and receivables and other financial liabilities

Loans and receivables and other financial liabilities are measured at amortized cost, using the effective interest rate method less any impairment loss.

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, investments, receivables, restricted cash, reclamation bonds, convertible notes receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, and advances from joint venture partners. Unless otherwise noted the fair value of these financial instruments approximates their carrying values.

Cash and cash equivalents are classified as loans and receivables. Cash equivalents are held for the purpose of meeting short-term cash commitments rather than for investment or other purposes.

Warrants held through investments are classified as derivative financial assets at FVTPL and are accounted for at fair value. For warrants that are not traded on an exchange, no market value is readily available. When there are sufficient and reliable observable market inputs, a valuation technique is used; if no such market inputs are available, the warrants are valued at intrinsic value, which is equal to the higher of the market value of the underlying security less the exercise price of the warrant, or zero.

Investments (Marketable securities) are classified FVTPL and are measured at fair market value. Marketable securities transferred to the Company as part of an acquisition are classified as AFS and are carried at fair market value. Changes in fair value of FVTPL assets are reflected in profit or loss in the period in which they occur. Changes in fair value of AFS

assets are reflected in accumulated other comprehensive income on the statement of financial position until sold or if there is an other than temporary impairment in value.

Reclamation bonds are classified as financial assets held-to-maturity.

Restricted cash is classified as financial assets at FVTPL.

The Company classifies its receivables as loans and receivables and its accounts payable and accrued liabilities and advances from joint venture partners as other financial liabilities.

Certain receivables related to the sale of Akarca are considered to be derivative financial assets as they are subject to variations in gold price per ounce on record date and final price received and are accordingly classified as FVTPL. The derivative receivable is recorded at fair value each period until final settlement occurs, with changes in fair value reflected in profit or loss for the period in which they arise.

Impairment of Financial Assets

Financial assets are assessed for indicators of impairment at the end of each reporting period. Financial assets are impaired when there is objective evidence that, as a result of one or more events that occurred after the initial recognition of the financial assets, the estimated future cash flows of the financial assets have been impacted.

For all financial assets, objective evidence of impairment could include:

- Significant financial difficulty of the issuer or counterparty;
- Default or delinquency in interest or principal payments; or,
- It becoming probable that the borrower will enter bankruptcy or financial re-organization.

For certain categories of financial assets, that are assessed not to be impaired individually, are subsequently assessed for impairment on a collective basis. The carrying amount of financial assets is reduced by the impairment loss directly for all financial assets with the exception of receivables, where the carrying amount is reduced through the use of an allowance account. When a receivable is considered uncollectible, it is written off against the allowance account. Subsequent recoveries of amounts previously written off are credited against the allowance account. Changes in the carrying amount of the allowance account are recognized in profit or loss.

With the exception of FVTPL marketable securities, if in a subsequent period, the amount of the impairment loss decreases and the decrease can be related objectively to an event occurring after the impairment was recognized, the previously recognized impairment loss is reversed through profit or loss to the extent that the carrying amount of the investment at the date the impairment is reversed does not exceed what the amortized cost would have been had the impairment not been recognized. In respect of AFS marketable securities, impairment losses previously recognized through profit or loss are not reversed through profit or loss. Any increase in fair value subsequent to an impairment loss is recognized directly in equity.

Convertible Notes Receivable

The notes receivable are hybrid financial assets that consist of a note receivable component and a separate equity conversion component. The notes receivable are measured at fair value on initial recognition by discounting the stream of future interest and principal payments at the rate of interest prevailing at the date of the issue for instruments of similar term and risk. Interest income based on the rate of the note and the accretion of the additional interest to the amount that will be receivable on maturity are recognized through profit and loss as interest income. The equity conversion option is an embedded derivative that has been separated from the notes receivable and is valued based on residual value. The embedded derivative is not revalued subsequent to initial measurement unless terms of the original loan are changed.

Investments in Associated Companies

The Company accounts for its long-term investments in affiliated companies over which it has significant influence on the equity basis of accounting, whereby the investment is initially recorded at cost, adjusted to recognize the Company's share of earnings or losses and reduced by dividends received.

The Company assesses its equity investments for impairment if there is objective evidence of impairment as a result of one or more events that occurred after the initial recognition of the equity investment and that the event or events has an impact on the estimated future cash flow of the investment that can be reliably estimated. Objective evidence of impairment of equity investments includes:

- Significant financial difficulty of the associated companies;
- Becoming probable that the associated companies will enter bankruptcy or other financial reorganization; or,
- National or local economic conditions that correlate with defaults of the associated companies.

Exploration and evaluation assets and exploration expenditures

Acquisition costs for exploration and evaluation assets, net of recoveries, are capitalized on a property-by-property basis. Acquisition costs include cash consideration and the value of common shares, based on recent issue prices, issued for exploration and evaluation assets pursuant to the terms of the agreement. Exploration expenditures, net of recoveries, are charged to operations as incurred. After a property is determined by management to be commercially feasible, subsequent development expenditures on the property will be capitalized.

When there is little prospect of further work on a property being carried out by the Company or its partners, when a property is abandoned, or when the capitalized costs are no longer considered recoverable, the related property costs are written down to management's estimate of their net recoverable amount. The costs related to a property from which there is production, together with the costs of production equipment, will be depleted and amortized using the unit-of-production method.

An exploration and evaluation asset acquired under an option agreement, where payments are made at the sole discretion of the Company, is capitalized at the time of payment. Option payments received are treated as a reduction of the carrying value of the related acquisition cost for the mineral property until the payments are in excess of acquisition costs, at which time they are then credited to profit or loss. Option payments are at the discretion of the optionee and, accordingly, are accounted for when receipt is reasonably assured.

Revenue recognition

The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with *IAS 18 Revenue* and based upon amounts contractually due pursuant to the underlying royalty agreements. Specifically, royalty revenue is recognized in accordance with the terms of the underlying royalty agreements subject to (i) when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (ii) the risks and rewards having been transferred; (iii) the royalty or stream being fixed or determinable; and (iv) the collectability of the royalty being reasonably assured. In some instances, the Company will not have access to sufficient information to make a reasonable estimate of revenue and, accordingly, revenue recognition is deferred until management can make a reasonable estimate. Royalty revenue may be subject to adjustment upon final settlement of estimated metal prices, weights, and assays. Adjustments to revenue from metal prices are recorded monthly and other adjustments are recorded on final settlement and are offset against revenue when incurred.

Royalty interests

Royalty interests in mineral properties include acquired royalty interests in production stage and exploration stage properties. In accordance with *IAS 38 Intangible Assets*, the cost of acquired royalty interests in mineral properties is capitalized as intangible assets.

Acquisition costs of production stage royalty interests are depleted using the units of production method over the life of the related mineral property, which is calculated using estimated reserves. Acquisition costs of royalty interests on exploration stage mineral properties, where there are no estimated reserves, are not amortized. At such time as the associated exploration stage mineral interests are converted to estimated reserves, the cost basis is amortized over the remaining life of the mineral property, using the estimated reserves. The carrying values of exploration stage mineral interests are evaluated for impairment at such time as information becomes available indicating that the production will not occur in the future.

Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of the price paid for the acquisition of a consolidated entity over the fair value of the net identifiable tangible and intangible assets and liabilities acquired in a business combination. Goodwill is allocated to the cash generating unit to which it relates.

Goodwill is evaluated for impairment annually or more often if events or circumstances indicate there may be impairment. Impairment is determined by assessing if the carrying value of a cash generating unit, including the allocated goodwill, exceeds its recoverable amount.

Property and equipment

Property and equipment is recorded at cost. Building is depreciated using a 5 year straightline method. Equipment is depreciated over its estimated useful life using the declining balance method at a rate of 20% per annum. Depreciation on equipment used directly on exploration projects is included in exploration expenditures for that mineral property.

Decommissioning liabilities

Decommissioning liabilities are recognized for the expected obligations related to the retirement of long-lived tangible assets that arise from the acquisition, construction, development or normal operation of such assets. A decommissioning liability is recognized in the period in which it is incurred and when a reasonable estimate of the fair value of the liability can be made with a corresponding decommissioning cost recognized by increasing the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset. The decommissioning cost is subsequently allocated in a rational and systematic method over the underlying asset's useful life. The initial fair value of the liability is accreted, by charges to profit or loss, to its estimated future value.

Environmental disturbance restoration

During the operating life of an asset, events such as infractions of environmental laws or regulations may occur. These events are not related to the normal operation of the asset and are referred to as environmental disturbance restoration provisions. The costs associated with these provisions are accrued and charged to profit or loss in the period in which the event giving rise to the liability occurs. Any subsequent adjustments to these provisions due to changes in estimates are also charged to profit or loss in the period of adjustment. These costs are not capitalized as part of the long-lived assets' carrying value.

Impairment of assets

Events or changes in circumstances can give rise to significant impairment charges or reversals of impairment in a particular year. The Company assesses its cash generating units annually to determine whether any indication of impairment exists. Where an indicator of impairment exists, an estimate of the recoverable amount is made, which is the higher of the fair value less costs to sell and value in use. The determination of the recoverable amount for value in use requires the use of estimates and assumptions such as long-term commodity prices, discount rates, future capital requirements, exploration potential and future operating performance. Fair value is determined as the amount that would be obtained from the sale of the asset in an arm's length transaction between knowledgeable and willing parties.

Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, bank deposits and short-term, highly liquid investments that are readily convertible to known amounts of cash.

Share-based payments

Share-based payments include option and stock grants granted to directors, employees and non-employees. The Company accounts for share-based compensation using a fair value based method with respect to all share-based payments measured and recognized, to directors, employees and non-employees. For directors and employees, the fair value of the options and stock grants is measured at the date of grant. For non-employees, the fair value of the options and stock grants is measured on the earlier of the date at which the counterparty performance is complete, or the date the performance commitment is reached, or the date at which the equity instruments are granted if they are fully vested and non-forfeitable. For directors, employees and non-employees, the fair value of the options, and commitment to issue shares for stock grants over the vesting period. If and when the stock options are exercised, the applicable amounts are transferred from share-based payment reserve to share capital. When the stock grants are issued, the applicable fair value is transferred from commitment to issue shares to share capital. Option based compensation awards are calculated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model while stock grants are valued at the fair value on the date of grant.

Income taxes

Income tax expense consists of current and deferred tax. Income tax expense is recognized in profit or loss except to the extent that it relates to items recognized directly in equity. Current tax is the expected tax payable on the taxable income for the year, using tax rates enacted or substantively enacted at the reporting date, and any adjustment to tax payable in respect of previous years. Deferred tax is calculated providing for temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for taxation purposes.

Deferred tax is not recognized on the initial recognition of assets or liabilities in a transaction that is not a business combination and that affects neither accounting nor taxable income nor loss. In addition, deferred tax is not recognized for taxable temporary differences arising on the initial recognition of goodwill. Deferred tax is measured at the tax rates that are expected to be applied to temporary differences when they reverse, based on the laws that have been enacted or substantively enacted at the reporting date.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are offset if there is a legally enforceable right to offset, and they relate to income taxes levied by the same tax authority on the same taxable entity, or on different tax entities, but they intend to settle current tax liabilities and assets on a net basis or their tax assets and liabilities will be realized simultaneously.

A deferred tax asset is recognized to the extent that it is probable that future taxable income will be available against which the temporary difference can be utilized. Deferred tax assets are reviewed at each reporting date and are reduced to the extent that it is no longer probable that the related tax benefit will be realized.

Income (loss) per share

Basic income or loss per share is calculated by dividing the net income or loss for the year by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the year. Diluted income or loss per share is calculated whereby the weighted average number of shares outstanding used in the calculation of diluted income or loss per share assumes that the deemed proceeds received from the exercise of stock options, share purchase warrants and their equivalents would be used to repurchase common shares of the Company at the average market price during the year, if they are determined to have a dilutive effect.

Existing stock options and share purchase warrants have not been included in the current year computation of diluted loss per share as to do so would be anti-dilutive. For the years presented the basic and diluted losses per share are the same.

Valuation of equity units issued in private placements

The Company has adopted a residual value method with respect to the measurement of shares and warrants issued as private placement units. The residual value method first allocates value to the more easily measurable component based on fair value and then the residual value, if any, to the less easily measurable component.

The fair value of the common shares issued in the private placements was determined to be the more easily measurable component and were valued at their fair value, as determined by the closing quoted bid price on the day prior to the issuance date. The balance, if any, was allocated to the attached warrants. Any fair value attributed to the warrants is recorded in reserves.

Segment reporting

Operating segments are reported in a manner consistent with the internal reporting provided to the chief operating decisionmaker. The chief operating decision-maker, who is responsible for allocating resources and assessing performance of the operating segment, has been identified as the Chief Executive Officer.

Classification of investments as subsidiaries, joint ventures, associated company and portfolio investments

Classification of investments requires judgement as to whether the Company controls, has joint control of or significant influence over the strategic financial and operating decisions relating to the activity of the investee. In assessing the level of control or influence that the Company has over an investment, management considers ownership percentages, board representation as well as other relevant provisions in shareholder agreements. If an investor holds 20% or more of the voting power of the investee, it is presumed that the investor has significant influence, unless it can be clearly demonstrated that this is not the case. Conversely, if the investor holds less than 20% of the voting power of the investee, it is presumed that the investor holds less than 20% of the voting power of the investee, it is presumed that the investor holds less than 20% of the voting power of the investee, it is presumed that the investor holds less than 20% of the voting power of the investee, it is presumed that the investor holds less than 20% of the voting power of the investee, it is presumed that the investor holds less than 20% of the voting power of the investee, it is presumed that the investor does not have significant influence, unless such influence can be clearly demonstrated.

Accounting pronouncements not yet effective

The following standards and pronouncements have been issued by the IASB and have not yet been adopted by the Company. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the new and amended standards are expected to have on its consolidated financial statements.

IFRS 9 requires financial assets to be classified into three measurement categories on initial recognition: those measured at fair value through profit and loss, those measured at fair value through other comprehensive income and those measured at amortized cost. Measurement and classification of financial assets is dependent on the entity's business model for managing the financial assets and the contractual cash flow characteristics of the financial asset. For financial liabilities, the standard retains most of the IAS 39 requirements.

In May 2014, the IASB issued IFRS 15 Revenue from Contracts with Customers ("IFRS 15"), which supersedes IAS 11 Construction Contracts, IAS 18 Revenue, IFRIC 13 Customer Loyalty Programmes, IFRIC 15 Agreements for the Construction of Real Estate, IFRIC 18 Transfers of Assets from Customers, and SIC 31 Revenue - Barter Transactions involving Advertising Services. IFRS 15 establishes a single five-step model framework for determining the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from a contract with a customer. The standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2018, with early adoption permitted.

IFRS 16 Leases was issued in January 2016 (effective January 1, 2019) and provides a single lessee accounting model, requiring lessees to recognize assets and liabilities for all leases unless the lease term is 12 months or less or the underlying asset has a low value.

Critical Accounting Judgments and Significant Estimates and Uncertainties

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements requires management to make judgments and estimates and form assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported revenue and expenses during the periods presented therein. On an ongoing basis, management evaluates its judgments and estimates in relation to assets, liabilities, royalty revenues and expenses. Management bases its judgments and estimates on historical experience and on other various factors it believes to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions and conditions.

The Company has identified the following critical accounting policies in which significant judgments, estimates and assumptions are made and where actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions and conditions and may materially affect financial results or the financial position reported in future periods. Further details of the nature of these assumptions and conditions may be found in the relevant notes to the consolidated financial statements.

a) Royalty interest and related depletion

In accordance with the Company's accounting policy, royalty interests are evaluated on a periodic basis to determine whether there are any indications of impairment. If any such indication exists, a formal estimate of recoverable amount is performed and an impairment loss recognized to the extent that carrying amount exceeds recoverable amount. The recoverable amount of a royalty asset is measured at the higher of fair value less costs to sell and value in use. The determination of fair value and value in use requires management to make estimates and assumptions about expected production and sales volumes, the proportion of areas subject to royalty rights, commodity prices (considering current and historical prices, price trends and related factors), and reserves. These estimates and assumptions are subject to risk and uncertainty; hence there is a possibility that changes in circumstances will alter these projections, which may impact the recoverable amount of the assets. In such circumstances, some or all of the carrying value of the assets may be further impaired or the impairment charge reduced with the impact recorded in profit or loss.

b) Goodwill

Goodwill is evaluated for impairment annually or more often if events or circumstances indicate there may be impairment. Impairment is determined by assessing if the carrying value of a cash generating unit, including the allocated goodwill, exceeds its recoverable amount. The assessment of the recoverable amount used in the goodwill impairment analysis is subject to similar judgments and estimates as described above for property and equipment and royalty interests.

c) Exploration and Evaluation Assets

Recorded costs of exploration and evaluation assets are not intended to reflect present or future values of exploration and evaluation assets. The recorded costs are subject to measurement uncertainty and it is reasonably possible, based on existing knowledge, that a change in future conditions could require a material change in the recognized amount.

d) Taxation

The Company's accounting policy for taxation requires management's judgment as to the types of arrangements considered to be a tax on income in contrast to an operating cost. Judgment is also required in assessing whether deferred tax assets and certain deferred tax liabilities are recognized on the statement of financial position.

Deferred tax assets, including those arising from unused tax losses, capital losses and temporary differences, are recognized only where it is considered probable that they will be recovered, which is dependent on the generation of sufficient future taxable profits. Deferred tax liabilities arising from temporary differences caused principally by the expected royalty revenues generated by the royalty property are recognized unless expected offsetting tax losses are sufficient to offset the taxable income and therefore, taxable income is not expected to occur in the foreseeable future. Assumptions about the generation of future taxable profits depend on management's estimates of future cash flows. These depend on estimates of future production and sales volumes, commodity prices, and reserves. Judgments are also required about the application of income tax legislation in foreign jurisdictions. These judgments and assumptions are subject to risk and uncertainty, hence there is a possibility that changes in circumstances will alter expectations, which may impact the amount of deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities recognized on the statement of financial position and the amount of other tax losses and temporary differences not yet recognized. In such circumstances, some or the entire carrying amount of recognized deferred tax assets and liabilities may require adjustment, resulting in a corresponding credit or charge to profit or loss.

Information about critical judgments in applying accounting policies that have the most significant effect on the amounts recognized in the consolidated financial statements include, but are not limited to, the following:

e) Functional Currencies

The functional currency of each of the Company's subsidiaries is the currency of the primary economic environment in which the entity operates. Determination of the functional currency may involve certain judgments to determine the primary economic environment and the Company reconsiders the functional currency of its entities if there is a change in events and conditions, which determined the primary economic environment.

5.B. Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company considers items included in shareholders' equity as capital. The Company's objective when managing capital is to safeguard the Company's ability to continue as a going concern, so that it can continue to provide returns for shareholders and benefits for other stakeholders.

As at December 31, 2016, the Company had working capital of \$6,002,318 (December 31, 2015 - \$5,787,109). The Company has continuing royalty income that will vary depending on royalty ounces received, the price of gold, and foreign exchange rates on US royalty payments. The Company manages the capital structure and makes adjustments in light of changes in economic conditions and the risk characteristics of the underlying assets. The Company has sufficient working capital to undertake it's current business plan. However, should the Company undertake anything over and above these plans, management will need additional sources of working capital. In order to maintain or adjust the capital structure, the Company may issue new shares through public and/or private placements, sell assets, or return capital to shareholders. The Company is not subject to externally imposed capital requirements.

On February 22, 2017, the Company announced it intends to complete a non-brokered private placement for gross proceeds of up to \$7,000,000 through the sale of 5,000,000 units at a price of \$1.40 per Unit. Each Unit will consist of one common share and one-half of one non-transferable share purchase warrant. Each whole warrant will entitle the holder to purchase one additional Share at a price of \$2.00 for a period of two years.

Operating Activities

Cash used in operations was \$5,315,543 for the year ended December 31, 2016 (2015 - \$5,082,224) and represents expenditures primarily on mineral property exploration and general and administrative expense for both periods, offset by royalty income received in the year.

Financing Activities

The Company received \$127,800 in 2016 (2015 - \$Nil) from the exercise of stock options and \$Nil in 2016 (2015 - \$Nil) from the exercise of warrants.

Investing Activities

Some of the significant investment activities during the year ended December 31, 2016 are:

- The Company sold the EMX subisidiary that controls the Akarca gold-silver project in Turkey to Çiftay İnşaat Taahhüt ve Ticaret A.Ş. ("Çiftay"), for a US\$2,000,000 (\$2,630,760) cash payment.
- The Company sold the Sistora gold property in Turkey to Bahar Madencilik Sinayi ve Ticaret Ltd Sti for a US\$250,000 (\$332,969) cash payment.
- The Company advanced \$542,622 to an associated company pursuant to a convertible loan agreement.
- The Company received \$130,737 in net proceeds from the purchase and sale of marketable securities.
- The Company sold strategic investments in Revelo for \$17,375.

5.C. Research and Development, Patents and Licenses, etc.

See subtopic "Exploration Expenditures" under "Item 5.A., Operating Results".

5.D. Trend Information

See "Property Overview" under "Item 5, Operating and Financial Review and Prospects", and "Other" under "Item 5.A., Operating Results".

5.E. Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company has no off-balance sheet arrangements.

5.F Tabular Disclosure of Contractual Obligations

The Company has no Contractual Obligations.

ITEM 6. DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT, AND EMPLOYEES

6.A. Directors and Senior Management

Name	Position	Age	Date of First Election Or Appointment
David M. Cole	President, CEO, Director	55	November 24, 2003
Brian E. Bayley $(1)(2)(3)$	Director	64	May 13, 1996
Brian K. Levet (1)(2)	Director	64	March 18, 2011
Larry Okada $(1)(2)(3)$	Director	68	June 11, 2013
Michael D. Winn (3)	Director and Chairman	55	November 24, 2003
Christina Cepeliauskas	Chief Financial Officer	53	September 18, 2008
Kim Casswell	Corporate Secretary	60	November 13, 2015

Table No. 6 Directors and Senior Management

(1) Member of Audit Committee

(2) Member of the Compensation Committee

(3) Member of Corporate Governance Committee

David M. Cole (President, CEO and Director)

Mr. Cole has over 25 years of industry experience, coming to Eurasian Minerals from Newmont Mining Company. At Newmont, he held a number of management and senior geologic positions, gaining extensive global experience as a project, mine, and generative exploration geologist in Nevada, Southeast Asia, South America, Europe, and Central Asia. Mr. Cole's success as part of Newmont's exploration team includes contributions at the world class Carlin Trend, Yanacocha, and Minahasa mines. Subsequently, he established and managed Newmont's exploration programs in Turkey while also identifying early-stage acquisition targets in Eastern Europe. Mr. Cole specializes in developing new exploration ideas and opportunities, based upon solid technical expertise coupled with a keen business sense. He studied under Dr. Tommy Thompson at Colorado State University, earning an M.S. in Geology.

Michael D. Winn (Director and Chairman)

Mr. Winn is President of Seabord Capital Corp., which provides investment analysis and financial services to companies operating in the energy and mining sectors. He is also President of Seabord Services Corp., a Canadian company that provides management, administrative, and regulatory services to private and public mining companies. Prior to starting Seabord Capital in January 2013, Mr. Winn was President of Terrasearch Inc. (1997 to 2012) a predecessor company to Seabord Capital. He also worked as an analyst for Global Resource Investments Ltd. (1993 to 1997) where he specialized in the evaluation of emerging oil and gas and mining companies. Mr. Winn has worked in the oil and gas industry since 1983 and the mining industry since 1992, and is currently a director and officer of several companies operating in Canada, Latin America, Europe and Africa. Mr. Winn received a B.Sc. in geology from the University of Southern California.

Brian Bayley (Director)

Mr. Bayley is currently the President of Earlston Management Corp., formerly Ionic Management Corp. (private management company) since December 1996. From June 2003 to July 2013, Mr. Bayley was director of Quest Capital Corp. (a predecessor company to Sprott Resource Lending Corp.), a publicly traded resource lending company listed on the TSX and NYSE MKT. Mr. Bayley was also the Resource Lending Advisor from September 2010 to June 2013, President and Chief Executive Officer from May 2009 to September 2010, Co-chairman from January 2008 to May 2009, Chief Executive Officer from June 2003 to March 2008, and President from June 2003 to January 2008. Mr. Bayley is also a director and officer of several other public companies and holds an MBA from Queen's University.

Brian Levet (Director)

Mr. Levet draws on over 35 years of diversified executive and management experience in mineral exploration, project startup, and mine development and operations. He began his career with Rio Tinto Rhodesia and Zimbabwe Iron and Steel Company. The majority of Mr. Levet's career was with Newmont Mining Company, most recently as the Group Executive for Worldwide Exploration, and after 27 years of service he announced his retirement in early 2011. His distinguished career has been built upon a track record of team-oriented discovery success, with a number of these discoveries currently in production. He is recognized within the mining industry for exploration expertise and team leadership that resulted in a number of major discoveries, including the Batu Hijau and Elang copper-gold deposits in Indonesia, the North Lanut gold deposit in North Sulawesi, Indonesia, the McPhillamys gold deposit in New South Wales, Australia, as well as playing a significant role in the identification of Yanacocha as a world-class gold mining camp. Mr. Levet has a B.Sc. in Geology from the University of London.

Larry Okada (Director)

Larry Okada is a CPA, CA professional accountant in British Columbia and Alberta, as well as a Certified Public Accountant in Washington State. He has been in public practice with Deloitte's, his own firm, and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for over 35 years. For more than 30 years, the majority of Mr. Okada's clients have been public mining companies listed on the TSX-V. Larry currently sits on a committee with the Institute of Chartered Accountants of British Columbia. As an independent director, Mr. Okada's extensive experience in accounting, finance, and corporate governance will further strengthen the Company's Board of Directors in these key areas.

Christina Cepeliauskas (Chief Financial Officer)

Ms. Cepeliauskas is a CPA, CGA professional accountant with more than 20 years of financial accounting and treasury experience in the mineral exploration and mining industry. She also has her ICD.D designation from the Institute of Corporate Directors. She is currently the Chief Financial Officer of Eurasian Minerals Inc. and Reservoir Capital Corp. and was formerly a Director and Chairperson of the Audit Committee of Revelo Resources Corp. Ms. Cepeliauskas also holds the

volunteer position of Chair of the Governance Committee of Fraserside Community Services Society, an organization committed to helping people overcome challenges.

Kim Casswell (Corporate Secretary)

Ms. Casswell has been the Corporate Secretary of several public companies listed on the TSX Venture Exchange and the Toronto Stock Exchange since 1994 and has been providing independent corporate secretary services since 1995. Ms. Casswell has played an important role in the growth of these companies and is familiar with regulations governing public companies in several jurisdictions. In addition to Eurasian Minerals Inc., Ms. Casswell is currently Corporate Secretary of Reservoir Capital Corp., Atico Mining Corporation, Legend Gold Corp., Lara Exploration Ltd., and Revelo Resources Corp.

The Directors have served in their respective capacities since their election and/or appointment and will serve until the next Annual General Meeting or until a successor is duly elected, unless the office is vacated in accordance with the Articles/By-Laws of the Company.

No Director and/or Senior Management had been the subject of any order, judgment, or decree of any governmental agency or administrator or of any court or competent jurisdiction, revoking or suspending for cause any license, permit or other authority of such person or of any Company of which he is a Director and/or Senior Management, to engage in the securities business or in the sale of a particular security or temporarily or permanently restraining or enjoining any such person or any Company of which he is an officer or director from engaging in or continuing any conduct/practice/employment in connection with the purchase or sale of securities, or convicting such person of any felony or misdemeanor involving a security or any aspect of the securities business or of theft or of any felony.

There are no family relationships between any two or more Directors or Senior Management.

There are no arrangements or understandings with major shareholders, customers, suppliers or others, pursuant to which any person referred to above was selected as a director or member of senior management.

6.B. Compensation

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

This section includes disclosure of the Corporation's 2016 compensation discussion and analysis and a sub-section, "Significant Changes to Compensation Policies for 2017", which outlines the recommendations of Lane Caputo Compensation Inc. ("Lane Caputo"), an independent compensation advisor engaged by the Corporation to review its compensation arrangements with senior management and Directors and to recommend any changes needed to align the Corporation's compensation components and strategy with both current market practices and the Corporation's business strategy. That sub-section also details the Corporation's proposed changes to its compensation policies in response to the advisor's recommendations.

The Compensation Committee of the Board is responsible for ensuring that the Corporation has appropriate procedures for reviewing executive compensation and making recommendations to the Board with respect to the compensation of the Corporation's executive officers. The Compensation Committee seeks to ensure that total compensation paid to all executive officers is fair and reasonable and is consistent with the Corporation's compensation philosophy.

The Compensation Committee is also responsible for recommending compensation for the directors, stock options grants to the directors, officers, employees and consultants pursuant to the Corporation's Stock Option Plan (the "**Option Plan**") and issuances of Common Shares to directors and officers pursuant to the Corporation's Incentive Stock Grant Program (the "**Stock Grant Program**"). Both the Option Plan and the Stock Grant Program assists the Corporation in employee retention and cash preservation, while encouraging Common Share ownership and entrepreneurship on the part of the Corporation's NEOs.

The Compensation Committee consists of Brian Bayley (Chairman), Brian Levet, and Larry Okada, all of whom are independent (outside, non-management) directors. The Board is satisfied that the composition of the Compensation Committee ensures an objective process for determining compensation. Each of the members of the Committee has skills and direct experience relevant to his responsibilities as a member of the Committee as follows:

Brian Bayley: Mr. Bayley is experienced in the areas of natural resource and real estate lending, corporate restructuring and management and administration of public companies. He is the President of Earlston Management Corp. (formerly called Ionic Management Cop.), a private management company providing services to public and private companies. Mr. Bayley

has held active senior management positions in both private and public natural resource companies and has over 30 years of public issuer experience as both a director and an officer.

Brian Levet: Mr. Levet has over 35 years of diversified executive and management experience in mineral exploration, project start-up and mine development and operation. He spent over 27 years with Newmont Mining Company, most recently as the Group Executive for Worldwide Exploration, from which he retired in 2011.

Larry Okada: Mr. Okada is a Chartered Professional Accountant in British Columbia and Alberta, as well as a Certified Public Accountant in Washington State. He has been in public practice with Deloitte LLP, his own firm, Okada & Partners, and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for over 35 years. For more than 30 years, the majority of Mr. Okada's clients have been public mining companies listed on the TSX-V. Mr. Okada has extensive experience in accounting, finance, and corporate governance.

Philosophy and Objectives

The philosophy used by the Compensation Committee and the Board in determining compensation is that the compensation should:

- (i) assist the Corporation in attracting and retaining high caliber executives;
- (ii) align the interests of executives with those of the Shareholders;
- (iii) reflect the executive's performance, expertise, responsibilities and length of service to the Corporation; and
- (iv) reflect the Corporation's current state of development, performance and financial status.

As part of Lane Caputo's review of the Corporation's executive remuneration, the Corporation and Compensation Committee determined that the successful execution of the Corporation's business plan requires "above average" management talent and experience. As outlined below under "Compensation Philosophy", this determination has resulted in the Corporation targeting salary for its senior management at the 75th percentile of the peer group.

Risk Assessment

Under the direction of the Board, the Compensation Committee evaluates the potential risks associated with Corporation's compensation policies and practices. The Committee has not identified any risks arising from the Corporation's compensation policies and practices which would have a material adverse effect on the Corporation.

As outlined above in "Philosophy and Objectives" above, the Compensation Committee evaluates and recommends to the Board compensation strategies which align each NEO's goals and values with those of the Shareholders and other stakeholders to ensure the Corporation's long term goals are met without exposing the Corporation to unnecessary risk. The Compensation Committee considers a mix of base salary, short term incentives and long term incentives to attract high caliber executives sufficient to encourage behaviour that leads to creation of long term value while limiting incentives that might promote inappropriate risk-taking.

As part of the annual review of the compensation packages of the Corporation's NEOs, the Compensation Committee identifies and if necessary changes strategies to mitigate risks. The Committee considers several factors as part of this review including retention of key employees; competitive salaries within the context of peer companies, short term incentives linked to specific goals as discussed below and long term incentives (stock options and RSU's) which link executive pay to real value creation and long term share appreciation.

Compensation Hedging

No NEO or director is permitted to purchase financial instruments, including prepaid variable forward contracts, equity swaps, collars, or units of exchange funds, designed to hedge or offset a decrease in market value of equity securities granted as compensation or held, directly or indirectly, by the NEO or director.

Compensation Components

The compensation of the Corporation's NEOs is comprised primarily of (i) base salary, (ii) annual short-term incentives in the form of cash bonuses and stock grants under the Stock Grant Program, (iii) long-term incentives in the form of stock grants under the Stock Grant Program and stock options granted under the Option Plan, and (iv) benefits related to health and pension plans, such as United States 401(k) plans.

To be competitive with industry rates, the Corporation may provide additional compensation from time to time in the form of stock grants. The Compensation Committee believes that annual and long term stock grant awards align the interests of the NEOs with the interests of Shareholders by linking a component of compensation to the longer term performance of the Common Shares.

No formulas have been developed to assign a specific weighting to each of these components. Instead, the Compensation Committee considers the Corporation's performance and, based on its assessment, recommends appropriate compensation levels to the Board. In establishing levels of cash and equity-based compensation, the Compensation Committee considers the executive's performance, level of expertise, responsibilities, length of service to the Corporation and comparable levels of remuneration paid to executives of other companies of comparable size and development within the mining exploration and development industries as well the financial and other resources of the Corporation.

In determining the amounts payable under the various compensation components, the Corporation also retains, from time to time, a compensation consultant. In March 2015, the Compensation Committee retained McDowall Associates Human Resource Consultants Ltd. ("**McDowall**"), a North American external compensation consultant headquartered in Toronto, Ontario, to provide an independent review of the compensation paid by the Corporation to its CEO and CFO.

McDowall benchmarked the Corporation's compensation arrangements against a peer group of companies that included a mix of royalty companies and exploration companies with assets greater than \$30 million and less than \$450 million to reflect the Corporation's current business operations. McDowall used total assets as the primary determinate of company size because it is more stable over time than either revenue or market capitalization. The peer group of companies consisted of:

Almaden Minerals Limited	Altius Minerals Corporation
Callinan Royalties Corporation	Gold Standard Ventures Corporation
Midway Gold Corporation	Mirasol Resources Limited
Osisko Gold Royalties Limited	Pilot Gold Inc.
Panoro Minerals Limited	Sandstorm Gold Limited
Seabridge Metals Limited	Strategic Metals Limited

In addition to the peer group analysis, McDowall compared the compensation of the Corporation's CEO and CFO against a broad group of 90 mining companies and 12 large mining companies. With respect to the broad group of 90 mining companies, direct comparisons were made to CEOs and CFOs, while for the 12 large mining companies, comparisons were made to an executive one or two levels below the CEOs of the large mining companies.

McDowall compared base salary, total cash (base salary + bonuses) and total direct compensation (total cash + long term incentives). In making this comparison, McDowall used an average of the peer group companies and the broad group of 90 mining companies to establish a benchmark for comparison ("**Benchmark Companies**").

McDowall concluded that the cash base salary of the Corporation's CEO is higher than the Benchmark Companies average and below the large mining group. With respect to total cash and total direct compensation, it concluded that the Corporation's CEO is comparable to the average paid by the Benchmark Companies and substantially below the large mining group. It should be noted that the CEO's cash salary is paid in United States dollars but converted to Canadian dollars for reporting purposes. The Canadian equivalent was used in the comparison to the Benchmark Companies. The higher base salary of the CEO to the Benchmark Companies is partly attributable to the decline in the Canadian dollar against the United States dollar in 2014.

McDowall concluded that the base salary, total cash, and total direct compensation for the Corporation's CFO was below the compensation paid to CFOs by Benchmark Companies and substantially below the larger mining group of companies.

In the Corporation's last two financial years, McDowall has not provided any other services to the Corporation or its affiliates.

An independent external compensation consultant was not engaged to provide a review of the compensation paid to the CEO and CFO of the Corporation during the Corporation's 2016 financial year primarily because of market conditions and the Corporation did not plan to increase such compensation.

The Compensation Committee also relies on the experience of its members as officers and directors at other companies in similar lines of business as the Corporation in assessing compensation levels. The other companies of which they are currently a director are identified under the heading "Corporate Governance Practices – Other Directorships" of this Circular. The purpose of this process is to:

- understand the competitiveness of current pay levels for each executive position relative to companies with similar business characteristics;
- identify and understand any gaps that may exist between actual compensation levels and market compensation levels; and
- establish a basis for developing salary adjustments and short-term and long-term incentive awards for the Compensation Committee's approval.

Base Salary

The Compensation Committee recommends, and the Board establishes, each NEO's salary. The base salary review for each NEO is based on assessment of factors such as

- current competitive market conditions,
- compensation levels within the peer group and
- particular skills, such as leadership ability and management effectiveness, experience, responsibility and proven or expected performance of the particular individual.

Using this information, together with budgetary guidelines and other internally and externally generated planning and forecasting tools, the Compensation Committee performs an annual assessment of the compensation of the Corporation's CEO and CFO (it does not have any other NEOs). The Committee then recommends to the Board what should be the base salaries of the CEO, CFO and other NEOs, and the Board sets the base salaries of the CEO and CFO and approves the base salaries for the other NEOs.

The Corporation did not increase the base salaries of the CEO and CFO for 2016.

Annual Short-Term Incentives

Annual short-term incentives are made by way of cash bonuses and the issuance of stock grants based, in part, on the Corporation's success in reaching its annual objectives and, in part, on individual performance and extraordinary effort and achievement. Also, the Corporation may utilize cash bonuses to encourage retention of its staff during periods of increased industry competition for its executive officers and other employees.

The Compensation Committee reviews corporate performance objectives during the year to determine its recommendations to the Board of annual short-term incentives. During the last financial year, the principal performance factors and objectives included:

- Exploration success;
- Acquisition of new properties;
- Sale and joint venture of properties;
- Royalty creations and acquisitions;
- Capital management;
- Successful management of the Corporation's environmental, community, and safety objectives;
- Increasing investor interest in the Corporation;
- Increasing the Corporation's market capitalization; and
- Management of human resources.

The success of the NEOs' contributions to the Corporation in reaching its overall goals is a factor in the determination of their annual incentive. The Compensation Committee assesses each NEO's performance on the basis of his or her respective contribution to the achievement of corporate goals as well as to needs of the Corporation that arise on a day-to-day basis. This assessment is used by the Compensation Committee in developing its recommendations to the Board with respect to the determination of annual incentives for the NEOs.

Although a number of the corporate performance objectives were achieved, the Corporation did not grant any annual short-term incentives by way of cash or stock grants to NEOs for its last financial year.

Long-Term Incentives

Long term compensation is paid in the form of grants of stock options under the Option Plan and the issuance of Common Shares under the Stock Grant Program.

Stock Options are generally granted on an annual basis subject to the imposition of trading black-out periods, in which case options scheduled for grant will be granted subsequent to the end of the black-out period. All options granted to NEOs are recommended by the Compensation Committee and approved by the Board. In monitoring stock option grants, the Compensation Committee takes into account the level of options granted by comparable companies for similar levels of responsibility and considers each NEO or employee based on reports received from management, its own observations on individual performance (where possible) and its assessment of individual contribution to Shareholder value.

To determine the number of Common Shares issuable under options granted pursuant to the methodology outlined above, the Compensation Committee also makes the following determinations:

- the exercise price for each option granted;
- the date on which each option is granted;
- the vesting terms for each stock option; and
- the other materials terms and conditions of each stock option grant.

The Compensation Committee makes these determinations subject to, and in accordance with, the provision of the Option Plan. Stock options already held by NEOs are considered when granting new options to them.

On October 18, 2016, options were granted to NEOs of the Corporation. See Summary Compensation Table below for details.

Stock grants for 2016 performance have yet to be determined.

Significant Changes to Compensation Policies for 2017

In November 2016, the Compensation Committee retained Lane Caputo to review and make recommendations regarding the Corporation's executive compensation policies and practices. The report containing Lane Caputo's recommendations (the "Lane Caputo Report") will be used by the Compensation Committee to guide and assist it in 2017 in establishing short and long term equity incentive programs ("STIP" and "LTIP") and compensation levels. To facilitate these programs, the Board has adopted, subject to approval of disinterested Shareholders at the Corporation's Annual General Meeting to be held on May 17, 2017, a Restricted Share Unit ("RSU") plan. If the plan is approved by disinterested Shareholders, it is the Board's intention to terminate the Stock Grant Program.

The key components of the Lane Caputo Report are as follows:

Peer Group

Standard compensation methodology involves benchmarking compensation practices against a group of peer companies of similar size with relevant operations in the same regional geography; the resulting peer group then represents a realistic "market" against which to define the Company's compensation strategy.

As the availability of cash flow tends to determine pay mix to a certain extent, matching the development stages of peer companies is particularly important. Whereas exploration-stage companies tend to rely more heavily on equity-based compensation in order to focus the majority of available cash on exploration activities, companies that have achieved a sustainable level of commercial production, and have associated predictable cash flow levels, gradually reduce their reliance on equity-based compensation in favor of cash compensation.

Geographical similarity of peer companies allows for a more accurate benchmarking of the skillsets required to manage international versus domestic operations and reflects the additional time commitment often associated with international operations. The inclusion of internationally-focused companies can have an influence on pay practices due to the risk/reward profile of international operations and the market for executives with international operating experience.

The magnitude of executive compensation is closely correlated to the size of organization the executive oversees; as such, compensation levels are compared to companies that would be considered of relevant size to Eurasian.

Lane Caputo developed a peer group of mining companies based on the parameters mentioned above against which they benchmarked the compensation competitiveness of Eurasian's executive team members and non-executive directors. In order to reflect Eurasian's unique business model, consideration was limited to companies that have an element of royalty income or project generation as part of their business strategy. The 19 companies in the peer group developed for the review are:

Abitibi Royalties Inc.	Marathon Gold Corp.	Riverside Resources Inc.
Almaden Minerals Ltd.	Millrock Resources Inc.	Sabina Gold & Silver Corp.
Altius Minerals Corp.	Mirasol Resources Ltd.	Sandstorm Gold Ltd.
ATAC Resources Ltd.	NexGen Energy Ltd.	Solitario Exploration & Royalty Corp.
AuRico Metals Inc.	NGEx Resources Inc.	Strategic Metals Ltd.
Balmoral Resources Ltd.	Orex Minerals Inc.	Treasury Metals Inc.
Corvus Gold Inc.		

Compensation Philosophy

Eurasian's compensation philosophy is based on the fundamental principles that the Company's compensation program should assist the Company in attracting and retaining high caliber executives, align the interests of executives with those of the shareholders, reflect the executive's performance, expertise, responsibilities and length of service to the Company and reflect the Company's current state of development, performance and financial status. Given the skillsets required to execute the any's complex business strategy, the proposed positioning for each element of compensation under such a philosophy is as follows:

<u>Salary:</u> is based on relevant marketplace information, experience, past performance and level of responsibility. For a fully-qualified incumbent in a given position, Eurasian will target salary at the 75th percentile of the peer group to reflect the complexity of the multiple aspects of the Corporation's business strategy. The Corporation may pay above or below this target to reflect each incumbent's relative experience or performance versus the market, or to reflect competitive market pressures for a given skill set.

Short-Term Incentives: awards are based on the performance of the executive against predetermined individual performance objectives and the performance of the Company against predetermined annual corporate performance objectives. Target incentive levels (as a percentage of salary) are established to maintain total cash compensation (salary + bonus) at the 75th percentile of the market when performance is at target levels. Incentive opportunity will have sufficient leverage that total cash compensation (salary + bonus) can achieve top quartile levels of cash compensation when performance warrants. In an effort to manage cash flow and/or provide executives with more exposure to the company's stock, Eurasian may consider paying annual incentives in Restricted Share Units, rather than cash.

As with any compensation plan, the Compensation Committee or the Board as a whole should always have discretion to reward above, or below, plan parameters when an individual or team has made an exceptional contribution to the performance of the Company, or conversely, if the financial performance of the company is above targeted levels for external market or economic conditions despite poor operational performance of the management team.

Long-Term Incentives: are a particularly important component of compensation in the mining industry, as executives and employees need to be aligned with the risk/reward profile of shareholders through participation in share price appreciation. The number of stock options and other equity-based incentives granted annually to each position is targeted at median levels in the peer group and should be sufficient that, when combined with each position's other elements of compensation, will allow total direct compensation to achieve upper quartile positioning for superior share price performance.

Based on the Lane Caputo Report, the Compensation Committee intends to establish the following compensation components for its 2017 financial year:

Base Salary

Salary for the Corporation's NEOs will be dependent upon the final structure of both the STIP and LTIP, as agreed to between the NEOs and other executive officers and the Compensation Committee. In conjunction with the compensation review and the establishment of the STIP and LTIP programs, David M. Cole, CEO, has agreed to decrease his annual cash salary from US\$ 400,000 to US\$ 325,000, to further align his interests with the overall success of the Corporation.

Annual/Short Term Incentives

A structured incentive program based on quantifiable corporate and personal goals and objectives that are tied to the overall success of the Corporation and that are closely aligned with the Corporation's business strategy will be established. The Compensation Committee and the NEOs and other executive officers will develop meaningful, yet attainable, targets for the following key performance indicators, which will be measured over a one year period:

- Capital spent by third party partners
- Cash management
- Corporate Social Responsibility measures (both internal and 3rd party partner programs)
- New projects staked/acquired
- New exploration and option agreements

Long-term Incentives

The Corporation intends to adopt, subject to shareholder and regulatory approval, a RSU Plan with the following guidelines:

- Performance measured over a three year period, with the entire award vesting at the end of the performance period (known as "cliff-vesting") to generate sufficient long-term incentive.
- Half of each award under the Plan will be subject to performance against an absolute cash flow per share metric or similar, tied directly to the Corporation's strategic plan.
- Half of each award under the Plan will be subject to total shareholder return performance relative to the S&P/TSX Global Gold Index.

The Committee and the NEOs and other executive officers are currently discussing appropriate performance targets and mechanics of the RSU Plan so as to achieve the Corporation's incentive and remuneration goals.

Summary Compensation Table

		Annual Compensation				-Term ensation		
					Awards	Payouts		
Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary \$	Bonus	Other Annual Compensation \$	Securities Under Options/SAR s Granted	Restricted Shares or Restricted Share Units ⁽¹⁾	LTIP Payouts \$	All Other Comp. \$
David M. Cole, President & CEO	2016 2015 2014	529,738 516,280 464,040	Nil Nil Nil	$14,046^{(2)} \\ 13,549^{(2)} \\ 12,065^{(2)}$	150,000 150,000 150,000	Nil Nil 101,334	Nil Nil Nil	Nil Nil Nil
Michael D. Winn ⁽³⁾ , Chairman & Director	2016 2015 2014	79,228 76,692 60,000	Nil Nil Nil	Nil Nil Nil	75,000 75,000 75,000	Nil Nil 12,167	Nil Nil Nil	Nil Nil Nil
Christina Cepeliauskas ⁽⁴⁾ , CFO	2016 2015 2014	86,250 86,250 86,250	Nil Nil Nil	Nil Nil Nil	55,000 55,000 55,000	Nil Nil 15,000	Nil Nil Nil	Nil Nil Nil
Brian E. Bayley, Director	2016 2015 2014	24,000 24,000 24,000	Nil Nil Nil	Nil Nil Nil	50,000 50,000 50,000	Nil Nil Nil	Nil Nil Nil	Nil Nil Nil
Brian K. Levet Director	2016 2015 2014	24,000 24,000 24,000	Nil Nil Nil	Nil Nil Nil	50,000 50,000 50,000	Nil Nil Nil	Nil Nil Nil	Nil Nil Nil
George K.C. Lim ⁽⁷⁾ Director	2016 2015 2014	N/A 9,000 24,000	N/A Nil Nil	N/A Nil Nil	N/A Nil 50,000	N/A Nil Nil	N/A Nil Nil	N/A Nil Nil
Larry Okada ⁽⁵⁾ , Director	2016 2015 2014	24,000 24,000 24,000	Nil Nil Nil	Nil Nil Nil	50,000 50,000 50,000	Nil Nil Nil	Nil Nil Nil	Nil Nil Nil
Kim Casswell ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁶⁾ , Corporate Secretary	2016 2015 2014	29,800 3,150 N/A	Nil Nil N/A	Nil Nil N/A	30,000 Nil N/A	Nil Nil N/A	Nil Nil N/A	Nil Nil N/A

(1) Common Shares issued as discretionary bonuses. The stock grants are issued in three tranches over a period of two years.

(2) For officers and employees in the United States, the Company pays 4% of the annual salary each year to the officer or employees' 401(k) retirement plan effective January 1, 2012.

(3) Mr. Winn became Chairman of the Company in May 2012.

(4) Pursuant to a Management Services Agreement between the Company and Seabord Services Corp. ("Seabord"), Ms. Cepeliauskas' and Ms. Casswell's remuneration is paid by Seabord.

(5) Mr. Okada became a director in June 2013.

(6) Ms. Casswell was appointed Corporate Secretary on November 13, 2015.

(7) Mr. Lim resigned as a Director on May 13, 2015.

Table No. 7Stock Option Grants in Fiscal 2016 Ended 12/31/2016

Name	Number Of Options Granted	% of Total Options Granted	Exercise Price Per Share	Grant Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Expiration Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Mkt. Value of Securities Underlying Options on Date of Grant
David M. Cole	150,000	11.74%	\$1.30	10/18/2016	10/18/2021	\$1.30
Michael D. Winn	75,000	5.87%	1.30	10/18/2016	10/18/2021	\$1.30
Christina Cepeliauskas	55,000	4.31%	1.30	10/18/2016	10/18/2021	\$1.30
Brian E. Bayley	50,000	3.91%	1.30	10/18/2016	10/18/2021	\$1.30
Brian K. Levet	50,000	3.91%	1.30	10/18/2016	10/18/2021	\$1.30
Larry Okada	50,000	3.91%	1.30	10/18/2016	10/18/2021	\$1.30
Kim Casswell ⁽¹⁾	30,000	2.86%	1.30	10/18/2016	10/18/2021	\$1.30

Table No. 8 Aggregated Stock Options Exercises in Fiscal 2016 Fiscal Yearend Unexercised Stock Options Fiscal Yearend Stock Option Values Senior Management/Directors

Name	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise	Aggregate Value Realized ⁽¹⁾	Number of Unexercised Options at Fiscal Year-End Exercisable/Unexercisable	Value of Unexercised In-the-Money Options At Fiscal Year-End Exercisable/Unexercisable ⁽²⁾
David M. Cole	Nil	Nil	80,000/0 @ \$1.94 150,000/0 @ \$1.20 150,000/0 @ \$0.66 150,000/0 @ \$1.30	\$0 \$19,500 \$100,500 \$4,500
Michael D. Winn	Nil	Nil	50,000/0 @ \$1.94 75,000/0 @ \$1.20 75,000/0 @ \$0.66 75,000/0 @ \$1.30	\$0 9,750 \$50,250 \$6,500
Christina Cepeliauskas	Nil	Nil	50,000/0 @ \$1.94 55,000/0 @ \$1.20 55,000/0 @ \$0.66 55,000/0 @ \$1.30	\$0 \$7,150 \$36,850\$1,650
Brian E. Bayley	Nil	Nil	50,000/0 @ \$1.94 50,000/0 @ \$1.20 50,000/0 @ \$0.66 50,000/0 @ \$1.30	\$0 \$6,500 \$33,500 \$1,500
Brian K. Levet	Nil	Nil	50,000/0 @ \$1.94 50,000/0 @ \$1.20 50,000/0 @ \$0.66 50,000/0 @ \$1.30	\$0 \$6,500 \$33,500 1,500
Larry Okada	Nil	Nil	50,000/0 @ \$1.20 50,000/0 @ \$0.66 50,000/0 @ \$1.30	\$6,500 \$33,500 1,500
Kim Casswell	5,000	\$5,450	5,000/0 @ \$2.44 5,000/0 @ \$1.20 30,000 @ \$1.30	\$0 650 \$900

(1) Calculated using the closing market price of the common shares on the date(s) of exercise less the exercise price of the stock options multiplied by the number of shares acquired.

(2) The closing price of the Company's common shares on the TSX-V on December 31, 2016 was \$1.33.

Director Compensation.

The fees payable to the independent directors of the Company are for their services as directors and as members of committees of the Board as follows:

Board or	Annual Retainer	Meeting Stipend	Per diem fees
Committee Name	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Board of Directors	24,000	Nil	Nil

Directors are entitled to reimbursement for reasonable travel and other out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with attendance at meetings of the Board of Directors.

Change of Control Remuneration.

Chief Executive Officer

The Company is a party to an employment agreement with David M. Cole, President and CEO of the Company, effective October 1, 2010. Under the agreement, Mr. Cole receives US\$ 400,000 per year (which amount will, if Shareholders approve the restricted share unit plan described under "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" be reduced to US\$ 325,000 per year). The agreement may be terminated by the Company without reason by written notice and a lump sum payment equal to 12 months of salary and benefits (which , based on the recommendations of Lane Caputo as previously described under "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" may be increased to 24 months of salary and benefits concurrent with the reduction of Mr. Cole's salary). Mr. Cole may terminate the agreement for any reason upon two months' notice to the Company during which time he will continue to receive his usual remuneration and benefits.

If Mr. Cole's agreement is terminated or his duties and responsibilities are materially changed within 12 months following a change in control of the Company, he is entitled to receive a lump sum payment equal to 12 months of his salary and benefits and all unvested stock options and grants.

Other Executive Officers

The Company has not entered into another employment or consulting contracts with its other Executive Officers.

For the purposes of this section, the following terms have the following meanings:

"Change of control" means an event occurring after the effective date of this agreement pursuant to which:

- a) a merger, amalgamation, arrangement, consolidation, reorganization or transfer takes place in which securities of Eurasian possessing more than 50% of the total combined voting power of the Eurasian's outstanding voting securities, respectively, are acquired by a person or persons (other than one or more members of the Eurasian Group) different from the person holding those voting securities immediately prior to such event, and the composition of the Board of Directors of Eurasian or following such event is such that their directors prior to the transaction constitute less than 50% of the Board membership following the event;
- b) any person (other than a member of the Eurasian Group), or any combination of persons (none of which is a member of the Eurasian Group) acting jointly or in concert by virtue of an agreement, arrangement, commitment or understanding acquires, directly or indirectly, 50% or more of the voting rights attached to all outstanding voting securities or the right to appoint a majority of the directors of Eurasian; or
- c) Eurasian sells, transfers or otherwise disposes of all or substantially all of its assets, except that no Change of Control will be deemed to occur if such sale or disposition is made to a member of the Eurasian Group.

"**Termination of Employment**" means any voluntary, involuntary or coerced resignation, retirement or other termination of employment of any Covered Employee directly or indirectly resulting from a Change of Control and includes the occurrence of any of the following events after a Change of Control, if the Covered Employee does not consent thereto:

- (a) a material change in office held or employment;
- (b) a material change in the nature or scope of duties;
- (c) a requirement to change the place of employment by more than 45 miles;
- (d) a reduction in remuneration;
- (e) a withdrawal of benefits or privileges of employment; or
- (f) exclusion from any incentive compensation plans in which the Covered Employee was a participant.

<u>Other Compensation</u>. No Senior Management/Director received "other compensation" in excess of the lesser of US\$25,000 or 10% of such officer's cash compensation, and all Senior Management/Directors as a group did not receive other compensation which exceeded US\$25,000 times the number of persons in the group or 10% of the compensation.

<u>Bonus/Profit Sharing/Non-Cash Compensation</u>. Except for the stock option program and stock grant program discussed in Item 6.E., the Company had no material bonus or profit sharing plans pursuant to which cash or non-cash compensation is or may be paid to the Company's Directors or Senior Management.

<u>Pension/Retirement Benefits</u>. For the officers and employees in the United States, the Company pays 4% of the annual salary each year to the officer or employees' 401(k) retirement plan effective January 1, 2012.

6.C. Board Practices

6.C.1. Terms of Office.

Refer to Item 6.A.1.

6.C.2. Directors' Service Contracts.

--- No Disclosure Necessary ---

6.C.3. Board of Director Committees.

The Company has an Audit Committee, which recommends to the Board of Directors the engagement of the independent auditors of the Company and reviews with the independent auditors the scope and results of the Company's audits, the Company's internal accounting controls, and the professional services furnished by the independent auditors to the Company. The current members of the Audit Committee are: Brian Bayley, Brian Levet and Larry Okada (Chairman). The Audit Committee met four times during Fiscal year 2016.

Compensation Committee: The Compensation Committee is responsible for the review of all compensation paid (including stock options granted under the Option Plan and Common Shares issued under the Stock Grant Program) by the Company to the Board, officers and employees of the Company and any subsidiaries, to report to the Board on the results of those reviews and to make recommendations to the Board for adjustments to such compensation. The current members of the Compensation Committee are: Brian Bayley (Chairman), Brian Levet and Larry Okada.

Corporate Governance Committee: The Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for advising the Board of the appropriate corporate governance procedures that should be followed by the Company and the Board and monitoring whether they comply with such procedures. The current members of the Corporate Governance Committee are: Michael Winn (Chairman), Brian Bayley and Larry Okada.

The Corporate Governance Committee evaluates the effectiveness of the Board and its committees. To facilitate this evaluation, each committee will conduct an annual assessment of its performance, consisting of a review of its Charter, the performance of the committee as a whole and will submit a Committee Annual Report to the Corporate Governance Committee, including recommendations. In addition, the Board will conduct an annual review of its performance.

6.D. Employees/ Consultants

As of December 31, 2016, Eurasian had 39 employees and consultants working at various locations throughout the world.

As of March 27, 2017, the Chief Financial Officer, Corporate Secretary, Controller, Payroll & Benefits Administrator and Accounts Payable are all based in Vancouver, Canada. The President & CEO, Chief Legal Officer, Chief Geologist, General Manager of Exploration, Investor Relations Director, Manager of Project Marketing, two geologists and a senior administrative assistant are all based in the Company's office in Littleton, Colorado through the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary, EMX USA. There are eight employees located in Arizona including seven geologists and one office manager. The Company has one consultant in Haiti, one consultant in Australia, 2 consultants in Turkey and 3 consultants in Scandinavia.

See Item 6 – Directors, Senior Management & Employees for a description of their job responsibilities.

6.E. Share Ownership

Table No. 9 lists, as of March 27, 2017, Directors and Senior Management who beneficially own the Company's voting securities, consisting solely of Common Shares, and the amount of the Company's voting securities owned by the Directors and Senior Management as a group.

Table No. 9 Shareholdings of Directors and Senior Management Shareholdings of 5% Shareholders

Title of Class	Name of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class
Common	David M. Cole (1)	2,066,451	2.78%
Common	Michael D. Winn (2)	1,093,908	1.47%
Common	Christina Cepeliauskas (3)	359,000	0.48%
Common	Brian E. Bayley (4)	386,375	0.52%
Options	Brian K. Levet (5)	200,000	0.26%
Options	Larry Okada (6)	150,000	0.20%
Options	Kim Casswell (7)	40,000	0.05%
Common	Paul H. Stephens (8)	13,199,492	17.80%

(1) Of these shares, 530,000 are represented by currently exercisable share purchase options.

(2) Of these shares, 275,000 are represented by currently exercisable share purchase options.

(3) Of these shares, 215,000 are represented by currently exercisable share purchase options.

(4) Of these shares, 200,000 are represented by currently exercisable share purchase options.

(5) Of these shares, 200,000 are represented by currently exercisable share purchase options.

(6) Of these shares, 150,000 are represented by currently exercisable share purchase options.

(7) Of these shares, 40,000 are represented by currently exercisable share purchase options.

(8) Of these shares, nil are represented by currently exercisable share purchase options.

Based on 74,164,710 shares outstanding as of March 27, 2017.

<u>Stock Options</u>. The Board established the Option Plan to attract and motivate the directors, officers and employees of the Company (and any of its subsidiaries), employees of any management company and consultants to the Company (collectively the "Optionees") and thereby advance the Company's interests by providing them an opportunity to acquire an equity interest in the Company through the exercise of stock options granted to them under the Option Plan.

Pursuant to the Option Plan, the Board, based on the recommendation of the Compensation Committee, may grant options to Optionees in consideration of them providing their services to the Company or a subsidiary. The number of Common Shares subject to each option is determined by the Board within the guidelines established by the Option Plan. The options enable the Optionees to purchase Common Shares at a price fixed pursuant to such guidelines. The options are exercisable by the Optionee giving the Company notice and payment of the exercise price for the number of Common Shares to be acquired.

The Option Plan authorizes the Board to grant stock options to the Optionees on the following terms:

- 1. The number of Common Shares subject to issuance pursuant to outstanding options, in the aggregate, cannot exceed 10% of the outstanding Common Shares.
- 2. The number of Common Shares subject to issuance upon the exercise of options granted under the Option Plan by one Optionee or all Optionees providing investor relations services is subject to the following limitations
 - (a) no Optionee can be granted options during a 12 month period to purchase more than
 - (i) 5% of the issued Common Shares unless disinterested Shareholder approval has been obtained (such approval has not been sought), or
 - (ii) 2% of the issued Common Shares, if the Optionee is a consultant, and
 - (b) the aggregate number of Common Shares subject to options held by all Optionees providing investor relations services cannot exceed 2% in the aggregate.
- 3. Unless the Option Plan has been approved by disinterested Shareholders (such approval has not been obtained), options granted under the Option Plan, together with all of the Company's previously established and outstanding

stock options, stock option plans, employee stock purchase plans or any other compensation or incentive mechanisms involving the issuance or potential issuance of Common Shares, shall not result, at any time, in

- (a) the number of Common Shares reserved for issuance pursuant to stock options granted to insiders exceeding 10% of the outstanding Common Shares at the time of granting,
- (b) the grant to insiders, within a one year period, of options to purchase that number of Common Shares exceeding 10% of the outstanding Common Shares, or
- (c) the issuance to any one insider and such insider's associates, within a one year period, of Common Shares totaling in excess of 5% of the outstanding Common Shares.
- 4. The exercise price of the options cannot be set at less than the greater of \$0.10 per Common Share and the closing trading price of the Common Shares on the day before the granting of the stock options. If the Optionee is subject to the tax laws of the United States of America and owns (determined in accordance with such laws) greater than 10% of the Common Shares, the exercise price shall be at least 110% of the price established as aforesaid.
- 5. The options may be exercisable for up to 10 years.
- 6. There are not any vesting requirements unless the Optionee is a consultant providing investor relations services to the Company, in which case the options must vest over at least 12 months with no more than one-quarter vesting in any three month period. However, the Board may impose additional vesting requirements and, subject to obtaining any required approval from the Exchange, may authorize all unvested options to vest immediately. If there is a potential "change of control" of the Company due to a take-over bid being made for the Company or a similar event, all unvested options, subject to obtaining any required approval from the Exchange, shall vest immediately.
- 7. The options can only be exercised by the Optionee (to the extent they have already vested) for so long as the Optionee is a director, officer or employee of, or consultant to, the Company or any subsidiary or is an employee of the Company's management Company and within a period thereafter not exceeding the earlier of:
 - (a) the original expiry date;
 - (b) 90 days after ceasing to be a director, officer or employee of, or consultant at the request of the Board or for the benefit of another director or officer to, the Company unless the Optionee is subject to the tax laws of the United States of America, in which case the option will terminate on the earlier of the 90th day and the third month after the Optionee ceased to be an officer or employee; and
 - (c) if the Optionee dies, within one year from the Optionee's death.

If the Optionee is terminated "for cause", involuntarily removed or resigns (other than at the request of the Board or for the benefit of another director or officer) from any such positions, the option will terminate concurrently.

- 8. The options are not assignable except to a wholly-owned holding company. If the option qualifies as an "incentive stock option" under the United States Internal Revenue Code, the option is not assignable to a holding company.
- 9. No financial assistance is available to Optionees under the Option Plan.
- 10. Any amendments to outstanding stock options are subject to the approval of the TSX-V and NYSE MKT and, if required by either exchange or the Option Plan, of the Shareholders of the Company, possibly with only "disinterested Shareholders" being entitled to vote. Disinterested Shareholder approval must be obtained for the reduction of the exercise price of options (including the cancellation and re-issuance of options within a one year period so as to effectively reduce the exercise price) of options held by insiders of the Company. The amendment to an outstanding stock option will also require the consent of the Optionee.
- 11. Any amendments to the Option Plan are subject to the approval of the TSX-V and NYSE MKT and, if required by either exchange or the Option Plan, of the Shareholders of the Company, possibly with only "disinterested Shareholders" being entitled to vote.

No options have been granted under the Option Plan which are subject to Shareholder approval.

The Option Plan does not permit stock options to be transformed into stock appreciation rights.

Table No. 10 Stock Options Outstanding

	Number of Shares of Common	Exercise	Grant	Expiration
Name	Stock	Price	Date	Date
Officers/Directors:				
	150,000	\$1.30	10/18/2016	10/18/202
David M. Cole	150,000	\$0.66	06/08/2015	06/08/202
David M. Cole	150,000	\$1.20	04/25/2014	04/25/201
	80,000	\$1.94	08/22/2012	08/22/201
	75,000	\$1.30	10/18/2016	10/18/202
Michael D. Winn	75,000	\$0.66	06/08/2015	06/08/202
Michael D. winn	75,000	\$1.20	04/25/2014	04/25/201
	50,000	\$1.94	08/22/2012	08/22/201
	55,000	\$1.30	10/18/2016	10/18/202
Christina Cepeliauskas	55,000	\$0.66	06/08/2015	06/08/202
Christina Cepenauskas	55,000	\$1.20	04/25/2014	04/25/201
	50,000	\$1.94	08/22/2012	08/22/201
	50,000	\$1.30	10/18/2016	10/18/202
Brian E. Bayley	50,000	\$0.66	06/08/2015	06/08/202
	50,000	\$1.20	04/25/2014	04/25/202
	50,000	\$1.94	08/22/2012	08/22/201
	50,000	\$1.30	10/18/2016	10/18/202
Brian K. Levet	50,000	\$0.66	06/08/2015	06/08/202
Blian K. Levet	50,000	\$1.20	04/25/2014	04/25/202
	50,000	\$1.94	08/22/2012	08/22/20
	50,000	\$1.30	10/18/2016	10/18/202
Larry Okada	50,000	\$0.66	06/08/2015	06/08/202
	50,000	\$1.20	04/25/2014	04/25/202
	30,000	\$1.30	10/18/2016	10/18/202
Kim Casswell	5,000	\$1.20	04/25/2014	04/25/202
	5,000	\$2.44	10/16/2012	10/16/201
	814,500	\$1.30	10/18/2016	10/18/202
	752,500	\$0.66	06/08/2015	06/08/202
	60,000	\$0.87	12/22/2014	12/22/202
Consultants/Employees	17,500	\$0.88	06/26/2014	06/26/20
Consultants/Employees	822,500	\$1.20	04/25/2014	04/25/202
	55,000	\$2.44	10/16/2012	10/16/20
	571,500	\$1.94	08/22/2012	08/22/20
	50,000	\$1.96	07/05/2012	07/5/201
l for all Officers and Direct			1,655,000	
l for all Employees and Co			3,143,500	
l for all Officers, Directors,	, Employees and Con	sultants	4,798,500	

Stock Grant Program.

The Board created the Incentive Stock Grant Program for the benefit of the officers and directors of the Company in 2010, and expanded the Program in 2011. The grants have a two-year vesting period.

The purpose of the Stock Grant Program is as follows. Firstly, to reward and provide an incentive to such persons for the ongoing efforts towards the continuing successes and goals of the Company as many of its successes directly result from their very significant efforts. Secondly, to provide such persons with a long-term incentive to remain with the Company. Finally, from time to time, the Company may provide additional compensation in the form of stock grants as part of annual salaries.

The Stock Grant Program provides that, following the approval of the independent members of the Compensation Committee, up to 300,000 Common Shares may be awarded in each year. The Common Shares awarded will vest and be issued in three separate tranches over a two year period – on the date of grant, and on the first and second anniversaries of the initial grant. None of the 300,000 Common Shares not awarded in one year can be rolled over or awarded in subsequent years. If the recipient ceases to be a director or officer of the Company before the relevant anniversary, he or she will not be entitled to receive any further Common Shares under the Stock Grant Program, including Common Shares previously awarded for issuance on such anniversary (with the exception of historical stock grants to Mr. Michael Winn, who shall receive the Common Shares even if he ceases to the be director).

The actual number of Common Shares awarded in each year is that number recommended and approved by the independent members of the Compensation Committee or independent directors of the Company.

In addition to the Stock Grant Program, the Compensation Committee can recommend the Board approve the issuance of up to 700,000 Common Shares to certain officers and directors of the Company as performance based discretionary bonuses. The purposes of the bonuses are to reward these individuals for their extraordinary efforts and to provide them with a long term incentive to remain with the Company. Any such share grants are subject to the approval of by the TSX-V and NYSE MKT and, if required by either exchange, the independent Shareholders of the Company.

ITEM 7. MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

7.A. Major Shareholders.

7.A.1.a. Holdings By Major Shareholders.

The Company is aware of one person who beneficially own 5% or more of the Registrant's voting securities. The table below lists as of March 27, 2017, persons and/or companies holding 5% or more beneficial interest in the Common Shares.

5% or Greater Shareholders

Title of Class	Name of Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class
Common	Paul H. Stephens	13,199,492	17.80%
Based on 74,164,710 shares	s outstanding as of March 27, 2	2017.	

7.A.1.b. Significant Changes in Major Shareholders' Holdings.

---No Disclosure Required---

7.A.1.c. Different Voting Rights.

The Company's major shareholders do not have different voting rights.

7.A.2. Canadian Share Ownership.

On March 27, 2017, the Company's shareholders' list showed 74,164,710 common shares outstanding and 299 registered shareholders. The Company has researched the indirect holdings by depository institutions and other financial institutions estimates that there are 17 "holders of record" resident in Canada representing 35,925,593 Common Shares, 248 "holders of record" resident in the USA representing 37,832,265 Common Shares, and 34 "holders of record" resident internationally representing 406,852 Common Shares.

The Company is a foreign private issuer for its current fiscal year. As of the last business day of the Company's second fiscal quarter, the majority of the Company's executive officers and directors were not US citizens or residents, the majority of the Company's assets are not in the United States, and the Company is administered principally in Canada. The Company's major shareholders in common shares have the same voting rights as other holders of common shares. The Company is not directly or indirectly owned or controlled by another corporation, a foreign government or any other natural or legal persons severally or jointly. There are no arrangements known to the Company which may result in a change of control of the Company.

7.A.3. Control of the Company

The Company is a publicly owned Canadian Company, the shares of which are owned by U.S. residents, Canadian residents and other foreign residents. The Company is not controlled by any foreign government or other person(s) except as described in Item 4.A., "History and Growth of the Company", and Item 6.E., "Share Ownership".

7.A.4. Change of Control of Company Arrangements.

--- No Disclosure Necessary ---

7.B. Related Party Transactions

The aggregate value of transactions and outstanding balances relating to key management personnel and directors were as follows:

	Share-based						
For the year ended December 31, 2016		Salary or Fees		Payments		Total	
Management	\$	803,033	\$	215,933	\$	1,018,966	
Outside directors *		151,228		167,534		318,762	
Seabord Services Corp.		357,600		-		357,600	
Total	\$	1,311,861	\$	383,467	\$	1,695,328	

	Share-based					
For the year ended December 31, 2015		Salary or Fees		Payments		Total
Management	\$	1,067,210	\$	108,637	\$	1,175,847
Outside directors *		158,257		79,898		238,155
Seabord Services Corp.		413,700		-		413,700
Total	\$	1,639,167	\$	188,535	\$	1,827,702

For the year ended December 31, 2014	Share-based					
		Salary or Fees		Payments		Total
Management	\$	882 <i>,</i> 536	\$	303,491	\$	1,186,027
Outside directors *		168,496		183,513		352,009
Seabord Services Corp.		418,800		-		418,800
Total	\$	1,469,832	\$	487,004	\$	1,956,836

* Directors fees include \$5,000 per month paid to the Company's non-Executive Chairman, who does not receive the fees paid to the other independent director's.

** Seabord Services Corp. ("Seabord") is a management services company controlled by the Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Company. Seabord provides a Chief Financial Officer, a Corporate Secretary, accounting and administration staff, and office space to the Company. The Chief Financial Officer and Corporate Secretary are employees of Seabord and are not paid directly by the Company.

Shareholder Loans

---No Disclosure Required---

Amounts Owing to Senior Management/Directors

Included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities is 5,913 (2015 - 3,467; 2014 - 8,064) owed to key management personnel and 17,559 (2015 - 24,329; 2014 - 29,612) to other related parties for fees and services.

Other than as disclosed above, there have been no transactions since 12/31/2016, or proposed transactions, which have materially affected or will materially affect the Company in which any director, executive officer, or beneficial holder of more than 5% of the outstanding common shares, or any of their respective relatives, spouses, associates or affiliates has had

or will have any direct or material indirect interest. Management believes the transactions referenced above were on terms at least as favorable to the Company as the Company could have obtained from unaffiliated parties.

Other Related Party Transactions

Other than as disclosed above, there have been no transactions or loans between the Company and (a) enterprises that directly or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, control or are controlled by, or are under common control with, the company; (b) associates; (c) individuals owning, directly or indirectly, an interest in the voting power of the Company giving them significant influence over the Company, and close members of any such individual's family; (d) key management personnel and close members of such individuals' families; and (e) enterprises substantially owned or controlled, directly or indirectly, by any person described in (c) or (d) or over which such a person is able to exercise significant influence.

7.C. Interests of Experts and Counsel

--- No Disclosure Necessary ---

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

8.A. Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information

The Company's financial statements are stated in Canadian Dollars and are prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board, and are located . immediately following the text of this Annual Report audit reports of Davidson and Company, LLP, Independent Registered Public Accountants, are included herein immediately preceding the financial statements.

Audited Financial Statements:

Fiscal Year 2016, 2015 and 2014 Ended December 31.

8.A.7. Legal/Arbitration Proceedings

The Directors and the management of the Company do not know of any material active or pending, legal proceedings; nor is the Company involved as a plaintiff in any material proceeding or pending litigation.

8.A.8. Dividends.

The Company has not declared or paid any dividends on our Common Shares. Our current business plan requires that for the foreseeable future, any future earnings be reinvested to finance the growth and development of our business. We do not intend to pay cash dividends on the Common Shares in the foreseeable future. We will not declare or pay any dividends until such time as our cash flow exceeds our capital requirements and will depend upon, among other things, conditions then existing including earnings, financial condition, restrictions in financing arrangements, business opportunities and conditions and other factors, or our Board determines that our shareholders could make better use of the cash.

8.B. Significant Changes

There have been no significant changes to the Company's financial condition since the end of the fiscal year.

ITEM 9. THE OFFER AND LISTING

9.A. Common Share Trading Information

The Common Shares began trading on the TSX-V on November 23, 2003 and the Common Shares began trading on the NYSE MKT on January 30, 2012.

Table No. 13 lists the high and low sales prices on the TSX-V and NYSE MKT for: each of the last six months; for the two most recent full financial years and subsequent period, each full financial quarter; and the last five fiscal years.

Table No. 13 TSX Venture Exchange Common Shares Trading Activity - Sales -

		NYSE MKT		TSX-V	
Period		High (in US\$)	Low (in US\$)	High (in Cdn\$)	Low (in Cdn\$)
Prior fiscal years					
Year ended	December 31, 2016	\$ 1.40	\$ 0.35	\$ 1.84	\$ 0.50
Year ended	December 31, 2015	\$ 0.81	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.97	\$ 0.48
Year ended	December 31, 2014	\$ 1.23	\$ 0.63	\$ 1.93	\$ 0.90
Year ended	December 31, 2013	\$ 2.20	\$ 0.78	\$ 2.15	\$ 0.86
Year ended	December 31, 2012	\$ 2.88	\$ 1.61	\$ 2.75	\$ 1.66
Prior Quarters					
Period ended	March 27, 2017	\$ 1.17	\$ 0.98	\$ 1.52	\$ 1.31
Quarter ended	Q4 - December 31, 2016	\$ 1.20	\$ 0.85	\$ 1.50	\$ 1.15
Quarter ended	Q3 - September 30, 2016	\$ 1.40	\$ 0.62	\$ 1.84	\$ 0.86
Quarter ended	Q2 - June 30, 2016	\$ 1.03	\$ 0.57	\$ 1.34	\$ 0.77
Quarter ended	Q1 - March 31, 2016	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.85	\$ 0.50
Quarter ended	Q4 - December 31, 2015	\$ 0.57	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.75	\$ 0.54
Last 6 months					
Month ended	February 28, 2017	\$ 1.24	\$ 0.95	\$ 1.60	\$ 1.30
Month ended	January 31, 2017	\$ 1.16	\$ 1.00	\$ 1.52	\$ 1.32
Month ended	December 31, 2016	\$ 1.05	\$ 0.92	\$ 1.42	\$ 1.30
Month ended	November 30, 2016	\$ 1.00	\$ 0.95	\$ 1.31	\$ 1.26
Month ended	October 31, 2016	\$ 0.99	\$ 0.91	\$ 1.30	\$ 1.21
Month ended	September 30, 2016	\$ 1.11	\$ 1.07	\$ 1.50	\$ 1.38

The closing price of the Common Shares as reported by the TSX-V on March 27, 2017 was CDN\$1.36. The closing price of the Common Shares as reported by the NYSE MKT on March 27, 2017 was US\$0.99.

9.B. Stock Exchanges Identified

Refer to Item 9.A.

ITEM 10. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

10.A. Share Capital

--- No Disclosure Necessary ---

10.B. Memorandum and Articles of Association

New British Columbia Corporations Act

Background

Effective March 29, 2004, the Business Corporations Act (British Columbia) (the "New Act") replaced the previous Company Act (British Columbia) (the "Old Act"). As a consequence, all British Columbia companies are now governed by the New Act. The New Act is intended to modernize and streamline company law in British Columbia.

Objects and Purposes

The Articles of Eurasian place no restrictions upon the type of business that the Company may engage in.

Disclosure of Interest of Directors, Part 17 of the Articles

17.1 A director or senior officer who holds a disclosable interest (as that term is used in the *Business Corporations Act*) in a contract or transaction into which the Company has entered or proposes to enter is liable to account to the Company for any profit that accrues to the director or senior officer under or as a result of the contract or transaction only if and the extent provided in the *Business Corporations Act*.

17.2 A director who holds a disclosable interest in a contract into which the Company has entered or proposes to enter is not entitled to vote on any directors' resolution to approve that contract or transaction, unless all the directors have a disclosable interest in that contract or transaction, in which case any or all of those directors may vote on such resolution.

17.3 A director who holds a disclosable interest in a contract or transaction into which the Company has entered or proposes to enter and who is present at the meeting of directors at which the contract or transaction is considered for approval may be counted in the quorum at the meeting whether or not the director votes on any or all of the resolutions considered at the meeting.

17.4 A director of senior officer who holds any office or possesses any property, right or interest that could result, directly or indirectly, in the creation of a duty or interest that materially conflicts with that individual's duty or interest as a director or senior officer, must disclose the nature and extent of the conflict as required by the *Business Corporations Act*.

17.5 A director may hold any office or place of profit with the Company, other than the office of auditor of the Company, in addition to their office of director for a period and on the terms (as to remuneration or otherwise)that the directors may determine.

17.6 No director or intended director is disqualified by their office from contracting with the Company either with regard to the holding or any office or place of profit the director holds with the Company or as vendor, purchaser or otherwise, and no contract or transaction entered into by or on behalf of the Company in which a director is in any way interested is liable to be voided for that reason.

17.7 A director or officer, or any person in which a director or officer has an interest, may act in a professional capacity for the Company, except as auditor of the Company, and the director or officer or such person is entitled to remuneration for professional services as if that director or officer were not a director or officer.

17.8 A director or officer may be or become a director, officer or employee of, or otherwise interested in, any person in which the Company may be interested as a shareholder or otherwise, and the director or officer is not accountable to the Company for any remuneration or other benefits received by them as director, officer or employee of, or from their interest in, such other person.

Powers and Duties of Directors Part 16 of the Articles

16.1 The directors must, subject to the Articles, manage or supervise the management of the business and affairs of the Company and have the authority to exercise all such powers of the Company as are not, by the *Business Corporations Act* or by the Articles, required to be exercised by the shareholders of the Company.

16.2 The directors may from time to time, by power of attorney or other instrument, under seal if so required by law, appoint any person to be the attorney of the Company for such purposes, and with such powers, authorities and discretions (not exceeding those vested in or exercisable by the directors under these Articles and excepting the power to fill vacancies in the board of directors, to remove a director, to change the membership of, or fill vacancies in, any committee of the directors, to appoint or remove officers appointed by the directors and to declare dividends)and for such period, and with such remuneration and subject to such conditions as the directors may think fit. Any such power of attorney may contain such provisions for the protection or convenience of persons dealing with such attorney as the directors think fit. Any such attorney may be authorized by the director to sub-delegate all or any of the powers, authorities and discretions for the time being vested in them.

Borrowing Powers of Directors, Part 8 of the Articles

8.1. The directors, if authorized by the directors, may:

(1) borrow money in such manner and amount, on the security, from the sources and upon the terms and conditions as they consider appropriate;

(2) issue bonds, debentures, and other debt obligations either outright or as security for any liability or obligation of the Company or any other person and at such discounts or premiums and on such other terms as they consider appropriate;

(3) guarantee the repayment of money by any other persons or the performance of any obligation of any other person; and

(4) mortgage, charge, whether by way of specific or floating charge, grant a security interest in, or give other security on, the whole or any part of the present and future assets and undertaking of the Company.

Remuneration of Directors Part 13 of the Articles

13.5 The directors are entitled to the remuneration for acting as directors, if any, as the directors may from time to time determine. If they so decide, the remuneration, if any, of the directors will be determined by the shareholders. That remuneration may be in addition to any salary or other remuneration paid to any officer of employee of the Company as such, who is also a director.

13.6 The Company must reimburse each director for the reasonable expenses they may incur in and about the business of the Company.

13.7 If any director performs any professional or other services for the Company that in the opinion of the directors are outside the ordinary duties of a director, or if any director is otherwise specially occupied in or about the Company's business, they may be paid remuneration fixed by the directors, or, at the option of that director, fixed by ordinary resolution, and such remuneration may be either in addition to, or in substitution for, any other remuneration that they may be entitled to receive.

13.8 Unless otherwise determined by ordinary resolution, the directors on behalf of the Company may pay a gratuity or pension or allowance on retirement to any director who has held any salaried office or place of profit with the Company or to their spouse or dependants and may make contributions to any fund and pay premiums for the purchase or provision of any such gratuity, pension or allowance.

Required Ownership of Capital by Directors Part 13 of the Articles

13.4. A director is not required to hold a share in the capital of the Company as qualification for their office but must be qualified as required by the *Business Corporations Act* to become, act or continue to act as a director.

Dividend Rights Part 22 of the Articles

22.2 The directors may from time to time declare and authorize payment of such dividends as they may deem advisable.

Special Rights and Restrictions Part 9 and 10 of the Articles

9.2 The Company may by ordinary resolution:

(1) create special rights or restrictions for, and attach those special rights or restrictions to, the shares of any class or series of shares, unless any of those shares have been issued in which case the Company may do so only be special resolution; or

(2) vary or delete any special rights or restrictions attached to the shares of any class or series of shares, unless any of those shares have been issued in which case the Company may do so only be ordinary resolution.

Rules pertaining to annual general and special general meetings of shareholders are described in Sections Ten of the Company's Articles. These rules are summarized as follows:

10.1 The Company must, unless an annual general meeting is deferred or waived in accordance with the *Business Corporations Act*, hold its first annual general meeting following incorporation, amalgamation or continuation within 18 months after the date on which it was incorporated or otherwise created and recognized, and after that must hold an annual general meeting at least once in each calendar year and not more than 15 months after the last annual reference date at such time and place as may be determined by the directors; and

10.2 If all the shareholders entitled to vote at an annual general meeting consent by unanimous resolution under the *Business Corporations Act* to all of the business required to be transacted at that annual general meeting, the meeting is deemed to have been held on the date of the unanimous resolution. The shareholders must, in any unanimous resolution passed under this Article 10.2, select the Company's annual reference date a date that would be appropriate for the holding of the applicable annual general meeting.

10.3 The directors may, whenever they think fit, call a meeting of shareholders to be held in British Columbia, Calgary, Alberta or Toronto, Ontario or at such other location as may be approved by the Registrar of Companies at such time and place as may be determined by the directors.

10.4 The Company must send notice of the date, time and location of any meeting of shareholders, in the manner provided by these Articles, or in such other manner, if any, as may be prescribed by ordinary resolution (whether previous notice of the resolution has been give or not), to each shareholder entitled to attend the meeting, to each director and to the auditor of the Company, unless these Articles otherwise provide, at least the following number of days before the meeting:

- (1) if and for so long the Company is a public company, 21 days;
- (2) otherwise, 10 days.

10.5 The directors may set a date as the record date for the purpose of determining shareholders entitled to notice of any meeting of shareholders. The record date must not precede the date on which the meeting is to be held by more than two months or, in the case of a general meeting requisitioned by shareholders under the *Business Corporations Act*, by more than four months. The record date must not precede the date on which the meeting is held by fewer than:

- (1) if and for so long as the Company is a public company, 21 days;
- (2) otherwise, 10 days.

If no record date is set, it is 5:00 p.m. on the business day immediately preceding the first date on which the notice is sent or, if no notice is sent, the beginning of the meeting.

10.6 The directors may set a date as the record date for the purpose of determining shareholders entitled to vote at any meeting of shareholders. The record date must not precede the date on which the meeting is to be held by more than two months or, in the case of a general meeting requisitioned by shareholders under the *Business Corporations Act*, by more than four months. If no record date is set, the record date is 5:00 p.m. on the day immediately preceding the first date on which the notice is sent or, if no notice is sent, the beginning of the meeting.

10.7 The accidental omission to send notice of any meetings to, or the non-receipt of any notice by, any of the persons entitled to notice does not invalidate any proceedings at that meeting. Any person entitled to notice of such meeting of shareholders may, in writing or otherwise, waive or reduce the period of notice of such meeting.

10.8 If a meeting of shareholders is to consider special business within the meaning of Article 11.1, the notice of meeting must:

(1) state the general nature of the special business; and

(2) if the special business includes considering, approving, ratifying, adopting or authorizing any document or the signing of or giving of effect to any document, have attached to it a copy of the document or state that a copy of the document will be available for inspection by shareholders:

- (a) at the Company' records office, or at such other reasonably accessible location in British Columbia as is specified in such notice; and
- (b) during statutory business hours o any one or more specified days before the day set for the holding of the meeting.

Proceedings at Meetings of Shareholders Part 11 of the Articles

11.1 At a meeting of shareholders, the following business is special business:

- (1) at a meeting of shareholders that is not an annual general meeting, all business is special business except business relating to the conduct of or voting at the meeting;
- (2) at an annual general meeting, all business is special business except for the following:
 - (a) business relating to the conduct or voting at the meeting;
 - (b) consideration of any financial statements of the Company presented to the meeting;
 - (c) consideration of any reports of the directors or auditor;
 - (d) the setting or changing of the number of directors;
 - (e) the election or appointment of directors;
 - (f) the appointment of an auditor;
 - (g) the setting of the remuneration of the auditor;
 - (h) business arising out of a report of the directors not requiring the passing of a special resolution or an exceptional resolution; and
 - (i) any other business under which, under these Articles or the *Business Corporations Act*, may be transacted at a meeting of shareholders without prior notice of the business being given to the shareholders.

11.2 The majority of votes required for the Company to pass a special resolution at a meeting of shareholders are twothirds of the votes cast on the resolution.

11.3 Subject to the special rights and restrictions attached to the shares of any class or series of shares, the quorum for the transaction of business at a meeting of shareholders is two shareholders present in person or represented by proxy.

- 11.4 If there is only one shareholder entitled to vote at a meeting of shareholders:
 - (1) the quorum of one person who is, or who represents by proxy, that shareholder; and
 - (2) that shareholder, present in person or by proxy, may constitute the meeting.

11.5 The directors, the president (if any), the secretary (if any), the assistant secretary (if any), any lawyer for the Company, auditor of the Company and any other persons invited by the directors are entitled to attend any meeting of shareholders, but if any of those persons does attend a meeting of shareholders, that person is not to be counted in the quorum and is not entitled to vote at the meeting unless that person is a shareholder or proxy holder entitled to vote at the meeting.

11.6 No business, other than the election of a chair of the meeting and the adjournment of the meeting, may be transacted at any meeting of shareholders unless a quorum of shareholders entitled to vote is present at the commencement of the meeting, but such quorum need not be present throughout the meeting.

- 11.7 If, within one-half hour from the time set for the holding of a meeting of shareholders, a quorum is not present:
 - (1) in the case of a general meeting requisitioned by shareholders, the meeting is dissolved, and
 - (2) in the case of any other meeting of shareholders, the meeting stands adjourned to the same day in the next week at the same time and place.

11.8 If, at the meeting to which the meeting referred to in Article 11.7(2) was adjourned, a quorum is not present within one-half hour from the time set for the holding of the meeting, the person or persons present and being, or representing by proxy, one or more shareholders entitled to attend and vote at the meeting constitute a quorum.

11.9 The following individuals are entitled to preside as chair at a meeting of shareholders:

- (1) the chair of the board, if any; or
- (2) if the chair of the board is absent or unwilling to act as chair of the meeting, the first of the following individuals to agree to act as chair: the president, if any.

11.10 If, at any meeting of shareholders, the chair of the board or president are not present within 15 minutes after the time set for holding the meeting, or if the chair of the board and the president have advised the secretary, if any, or any director present at the meeting, that they will not be present at the meeting, one of the chief executive officer, the chief financial officer, a vice-president, the secretary or the Company's legal counsel may act as chair of the meeting and, failing them, the directors present must choose one of their number to be chair of the meeting or if all of the directors present decline to take the chair or fail to so choose of if no director is present, the shareholders entitled to vote at the meeting who are present in person or by proxy may choose any person at the meeting to chair the meeting.

11.11 The chair of a meeting of shareholders may, and if so directed by the meeting must, adjourn the meeting from time to time and from place to place, but no business may be transacted at any adjourned meeting other than the business left unfinished at the meeting from which the adjournment took place.

11.12 It is not necessary to give any notice of an adjourned meeting or of the business to be transacted at any adjourned meeting of shareholders except that, when a meeting is adjourned for 30 days or more, notice of the adjourned meeting must be given as in the case of the original meeting.

11.13 Every motion put to a vote at a meeting of shareholders will be decided on a show of hands unless a poll, before or on the declaration of the result of the vote by show of hands, is directed by the chair or demanded by at least one shareholder entitled to vote who is present in person or by proxy.

11.14 The chair of a meeting of shareholders must declare to the meeting the decision on every question in accordance with the result of the show of hands or the poll, as the case may be, and that decision must be entered into the minutes of the meeting. A declaration of the chair that a resolution is carried by the necessary majority or is defeated is, unless a poll is directed by the chair or demanded under Article 11.13, conclusive evidence without proof of the number or proportion of the votes recorded in favor of or against the resolution.

11.15 No motion proposed at a meeting of shareholders need be seconded unless the chair of the meeting rules otherwise, and the chair of any meeting of shareholders is entitled to propose or second a motion.

11.16 In case of an equality of votes, the chair of a meeting of shareholders does not, either on a show of hands or on a poll, have a second or casting vote in addition to the vote or votes to which the chair may be entitled as a shareholder.

- 11.17 Subject to Article 11.18, if a poll is duly demanded at a meeting of shareholders:
 - (1) the poll must be taken:
 - (a) at the meeting, or within seven days after the date of the meeting, as the chair of the meeting directs; and
 - (b) in the manner, at the time and at the place that the chair of the meeting directs;
 - (2) the result of the poll is deemed to be the decision of the meeting at which the poll is demanded; and
 - (3) the demand for the poll may be withdrawn by the person who demanded it.

11.18 A poll demanded at a meeting of shareholders on a question of adjournment must be taken immediately at the meeting.

11.19 In the case of any dispute as to the admission or rejection of a vote given on a poll, the chair of the meeting must determine the dispute, and their determination made in good faith is final and conclusive.

11.20 On a poll, a shareholder entitled to more than one vote need not cast all the votes in the same way.

11.21 No poll may be demanded in respect of the vote by which a chair of a meeting of shareholders is elected.

11.22 The demand for a poll at a meeting of shareholders does not, unless the chair of the meeting so rules, prevent the continuation of a meeting for the transaction of any business other than the question on which a poll had been demanded.

11.23 The Company must, for at least three months after a meeting of shareholders, keep each ballot cast on a poll and each proxy voted at the meeting at its records office, and, during that period, makes them available for inspection during normal business hours by any shareholder or proxy holder entitled to vote at the meeting. At the end of such three month period, the Company may destroy such ballots and proxies.

Votes of Shareholders Part 12 of the Articles

12.1 Subject to any special rights or restrictions attached to any shares and to the restrictions imposed on joint shareholders under Article 12.3:

- (1) on a vote by a show of hands, every person present who is a shareholder or proxy holder and entitled to vote on the matter has one vote; and
- (2) on a poll, every shareholder entitled to vote on the matter has one vote in respect of each share entitled to be voted on the matter and held by that shareholder and may exercise that vote either in person or by proxy.

Other Issues

Neither the Company's articles nor British Columbia law permit: staggered terms for Directors; cumulative voting; shareholder approval of corporate matter by written consent; the adoption of various "poison pill" measures precluding shareholders from realizing a potential premium over the market value of their shares. Neither the Company's articles nor British Columbia law require retirement or non-retirement of directors under an age limit requirement.

There are no limitations on the rights to own securities.

There is no provision of the Company's articles that would have an effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of the Company and that would operate only with respect to a merger, acquisition or corporate restructuring involving the Company (or any of its subsidiaries).

Shareholder ownership must be disclosed to the British Columbia Securities Commission and the TSX-V by any shareholder who owns more than 10% of the Company's common stock.

10.C. Material Contracts

Pursuant to a management service agreement dated February 13, 2014 as amended December 1, 2015 between the Company

and Seabord Services Corp. of Suite 501, 543 Granville Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, the Corporation pays \$29,800 per month to Seabord in consideration of Seabord providing the services of the CFO and Corporate Secretary and office, reception, secretarial, accounting and corporate records services to the Company. The Chief Financial Officer and Corporate Secretary are employees of Seabord and are not paid directly by the Company.

Seabord is a private company wholly-owned by Michael D. Winn, the Chairman and director of the Company.

10.D. Exchange Controls

Canada has no system of exchange controls. There are no Canadian restrictions on the repatriation of capital or earnings of a Canadian public company to non-resident investors. There are no laws in Canada or exchange restrictions affecting the remittance of dividends, profits, interest, royalties and other payments to non-resident holders of the Company's securities, except as discussed in Item 10, "Taxation" below.

Restrictions on Share Ownership by Non-Canadians - There are no limitations under the laws of Canada or in the organizing documents of the Company on the right of foreigners to hold or vote securities of the Company, except that the Investment Canada Act may require review and approval by the Minister of Industry (Canada) of certain acquisitions of "control" of the Company by a "non-Canadian". The threshold for acquisitions of control is generally defined as being one-third or more of the voting shares of the Company. "Non-Canadian" generally means an individual who is not a Canadian citizen, or a Company, partnership, trust or joint venture that is ultimately controlled by non-Canadians.

10.E. Taxation

Canadian Federal Income Tax Considerations

The following is a brief summary of some of the principal Canadian federal income tax consequences to a holder of common shares of the Company (a "U.S. Holder") who deals at arm's length with the Company, holds the shares as capital property and who, for the purposes of the Income Tax Act (Canada) (the "Act") and the Canada – United States Income Tax Convention (the "Treaty"), is at all relevant times resident in the United States, is not and is not deemed to be resident in Canada and does not use or hold and is not deemed to use or hold the shares in carrying on a business in Canada. Special rules, which are not discussed below, may apply to a U.S. Holder that is an insurer that carries on business in Canada and elsewhere.

Under the Act and the Treaty, a U.S. Holder of common shares will generally be subject to a 15% withholding tax on dividends paid or credited or deemed by the Act to have been paid or credited on such shares. The withholding tax rate is 5% where the U.S. Holder is a Company that beneficially owns at least 10% of the voting shares of the Company and the dividends may be exempt from such withholding in the case of some U.S. Holders such as qualifying pension funds and charities.

In general, a U.S. Holder will not be subject to Canadian income tax on capital gains arising on the disposition of shares of the Company unless (i) at any time in the five-year period immediately preceding the disposition, 25% or more of the shares of any class or series of the capital stock of the Company was owned by (or was under option of or subject to an interest of) the U.S. holder or persons with whom the U.S. holder did not deal at arm's length, and (ii) the value of the common shares of the Company at the time of the disposition derives principally from real property (as defined in the Treaty) situated in Canada. For this purpose, the Treaty defines real property situated in Canada to include rights to explore for or exploit mineral deposits and other natural resources situated in Canada, rights to amounts computed by reference to the amount or value of production from such resources, certain other rights in respect of natural resources situated in Canada and shares of a Company the value of whose shares is derived principally from real property situated in Canada.

The US Internal Revenue Code provides special anti-deferral rules regarding certain distributions received by US persons with respect to, and sales and other dispositions (including pledges) of stock of, a passive foreign investment company. A foreign Company, such as the Company, will be treated as a passive foreign investment company if 75% or more of its gross income is passive income for a taxable year or if the average percentage of its assets (by value) that produce, or are held for the production of, passive income is at least 50% for a taxable year. The Company believes that it was a passive foreign investment company as at December 31, 2016.

Dividends

A Holder will be subject to Canadian withholding tax ("Part XIII Tax") equal to 25%, or such lower rate as may be available under an applicable tax treaty, of the gross amount of any dividend paid or deemed to be paid on common shares. Under the Canada-U.S. Income Tax Convention (1980) as amended by the Protocols signed on 6/14/1983, 3/28/1984, 3/17/1995, and

7/29/1997 (the "Treaty"), the rate of Part XIII Tax applicable to a dividend on common shares paid to a Holder who is a resident of the United States and who is the beneficial owner of the dividend, is 5%. If the Holder is a company that owns at least 10% of the voting stock of the Company paying the dividend, and, in all other cases, the tax rate is 15% of the gross amount of the dividend. The Company will be required to withhold the applicable amount of Part XIII Tax from each dividend so paid and remit the withheld amount directly to the Receiver General for Canada for the account of the Holder.

Disposition of Common Shares

A Holder who disposes of a common share, including by deemed disposition on death, will not normally be subject to Canadian tax on any capital gain (or capital loss) thereby realized unless the common share constituted "taxable Canadian property" as defined by the *Tax Act*. Generally, a common share of a public Company will not constitute taxable Canadian property of a Holder if the share is listed on a prescribed stock exchange unless the Holder or persons with whom the Holder dia not deal at arm's length alone or together held or held options to acquire, at any time within the five years preceding the disposition, 25% or more of the shares of any class of the capital stock of the Company. The Canadian Venture Exchange is a prescribed stock exchange under the *Tax Act*. A Holder who is a resident of the United States and realizes a capital gain on a disposition of a common share that was taxable Canadian property will nevertheless, by virtue of the Treaty, generally be exempt from Canadian tax thereon unless (a) more than 50% of the value of the common share formed part of the business property of a permanent establishment that the Holder has or had in Canada within the 12 month period preceding the disposition, or (c) the Holder is an individual who (i) was a resident of Canada at any time during the 10 years immediately preceding the disposition, and for a total of 120 months during any period of 20 consecutive years, preceding the disposition, and (ii) owned the common share when he ceased to be resident in Canada.

A Holder who is subject to Canadian tax in respect of a capital gain realized on a disposition of a common share must include three quarters of the capital gain (taxable capital gain) in computing the Holder's taxable income earned in Canada. The Holder may, subject to certain limitations, deduct three-quarters of any capital loss (allowable capital loss) arising on a disposition of taxable Canadian property from taxable capital gains realized in the year of disposition in respect to taxable Canadian property and, to the extent not so deductible, from such taxable capital gains realized in any of the three preceding years or any subsequent year.

Certain United States Federal Income Tax Considerations

The following is a general summary of certain material U.S. federal income tax considerations applicable to a U.S. Holder (as defined below) arising from and relating to the acquisition, ownership, and disposition of common shares.

This summary is for general information purposes only and does not purport to be a complete analysis or listing of all potential U.S. federal income tax considerations that may apply to a U.S. Holder arising from and relating to the acquisition, ownership, and disposition of common shares. In addition, this summary does not take into account the individual facts and circumstances of any particular U.S. Holder that may affect the U.S. federal income tax consequences to such U.S. Holder, including specific tax consequences to a U.S. Holder under an applicable tax treaty. Accordingly, this summary is not intended to be, and should not be construed as, legal or U.S. federal income tax advice with respect to any U.S. Holder. This summary does not address the U.S. federal alternative minimum, U.S. federal estate and gift, U.S. state and local, and non-U.S. tax consequences to U.S. Holders of the acquisition, ownership, and disposition of common shares. Except as specifically set forth below, this summary does not discuss applicable tax reporting requirements. Each U.S. Holder should consult its own tax advisor regarding the U.S. federal, U.S. federal alternative minimum, U.S. federal estate and gift, U.S. state and gift, U.S. state and jeft, U.S. Holder should consult its own tax advisor regarding the U.S. federal, U.S. federal alternative minimum, U.S. federal estate and gift, U.S. state and gift, U.S.

No legal opinion from U.S. legal counsel or ruling from the Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS") has been requested, or will be obtained, regarding the U.S. federal income tax consequences of the acquisition, ownership, and disposition of common shares. This summary is not binding on the IRS, and the IRS is not precluded from taking a position that is different from, and contrary to, the positions taken in this summary. In addition, because the authorities on which this summary is based are subject to various interpretations, the IRS and the U.S. courts could disagree with one or more of the positions taken in this summary.

Scope of this Summary

Authorities

This summary is based on the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), Treasury Regulations (whether final, temporary, or proposed), published rulings of the IRS, published administrative positions of the IRS, the Treaty, and U.S. court decisions that are applicable and, in each case, as in effect and available, as of the date of this document. Any of

the authorities on which this summary is based could be changed in a material and adverse manner at any time, and any such change could be applied on a retroactive or prospective basis which could affect the U.S. federal income tax considerations described in this summary. This summary does not discuss the potential effects, whether adverse or beneficial, of any proposed legislation that, if enacted, could be applied on a retroactive or prospective basis.

U.S. Holders

For purposes of this summary, the term "U.S. Holder" means a beneficial owner of common shares that is for U.S. federal income tax purposes:

- an individual who is a citizen or resident of the U.S.;
- a corporation (or other entity taxable as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes) organized under the laws of the U.S., any state thereof or the District of Columbia;
- an estate whose income is subject to U.S. federal income taxation regardless of its source; or
- a trust that (1) is subject to the primary supervision of a court within the U.S. and the control of one or more U.S. persons for all substantial decisions or (2) has a valid election in effect under applicable Treasury Regulations to be treated as a U.S. person.

Non-U.S. Holders

For purposes of this summary, a "non-U.S. Holder" is a beneficial owner of common shares that is not a U.S. Holder or is a partnership. This summary does not address the U.S. federal income tax consequences to non-U.S. Holders arising from and relating to the acquisition, ownership, and disposition of common shares. Accordingly, a non-U.S. Holder should consult its own tax advisor regarding the U.S. federal, U.S. federal alternative minimum, U.S. federal estate and gift, U.S. state and local, and non-U.S. tax consequences (including the potential application of and operation of any income tax treaties) relating to the acquisition, ownership, and disposition of common shares.

U.S. Holders Subject to Special U.S. Federal Income Tax Rules Not Addressed

This summary does not address the U.S. federal income tax considerations applicable to U.S. Holders that are subject to special provisions under the Code, including, but not limited to, U.S. Holders that: (a) are tax-exempt organizations, qualified retirement plans, individual retirement accounts, or other tax-deferred accounts; (b) are financial institutions, underwriters, insurance companies, real estate investment trusts, or regulated investment companies; (c) are broker-dealers, dealers, or traders in securities or currencies that elect to apply a mark-to-market accounting method; (d) have a "functional currency" other than the U.S. dollar; (e) own common shares as part of a straddle, hedging transaction, conversion transaction, constructive sale, or other arrangement involving more than one position; (f) acquired common shares in connection with the exercise of employee stock options or otherwise as compensation for services; (g) hold common shares other than as a capital asset within the meaning of Section 1221 of the Code (generally, property held for investment purposes); or (h) own or have owned (directly, indirectly, or by attribution) 10% or more of the total combined voting power of the outstanding shares of Eurasian. This summary also does not address the U.S. federal income tax considerations applicable to U.S. Holders who are: (a) U.S. expatriates or former long-term residents of the U.S.; (b) persons that have been, are, or will be a resident or deemed to be a resident in Canada for purposes of the Act; (c) persons that use or hold, will use or hold, or that are or will be deemed to use or hold common shares in connection with carrying on a business in Canada; (d) persons whose common shares constitute "taxable Canadian property" under the Act; or (e) persons that have a permanent establishment in Canada for the purposes of the Treaty. U.S. Holders that are subject to special provisions under the Code, including, but not limited to, U.S. Holders described immediately above, should consult their own tax advisor regarding the U.S. federal, U.S. federal alternative minimum, U.S. federal estate and gift, U.S. state and local, and non-U.S. tax consequences relating to the acquisition, ownership and disposition of common shares.

If an entity or arrangement that is classified as a partnership (or "pass-through" entity) for U.S. federal income tax purposes holds common shares, the U.S. federal income tax consequences to such partnership and the partners (or owners) of such partnership generally will depend on the activities of the partnership and the status of such partners (or owners). This summary does not address the tax consequences to any such partnership or partner (or owner). Partners (or owners) of entities or arrangements that are classified as partnerships for U.S. federal income tax purposes should consult their own tax advisors regarding the U.S. federal income tax consequences arising from and relating to the acquisition, ownership, and disposition of common shares.

Passive Foreign Investment Company Rules

If Eurasian were to constitute a "passive foreign investment company" (a "PFIC"), as defined below, within the meaning of Section 1297 of the Code, for any year during a U.S. Holder's holding period, then certain different and potentially adverse rules will affect the U.S. federal income tax consequences to a U.S. Holder resulting from the acquisition, ownership and disposition of common shares. In addition, in any year in which Eurasian is classified as a PFIC, such holder will be required to file an annual report with the IRS containing such information as Treasury Regulations or other IRS guidance may require. A failure to satisfy such reporting requirements may result in an extension of the time period during which the IRS can assess a tax. U.S. Holders should consult their own tax advisors regarding the requirements of filing such information returns under these rules, including the requirement to file an IRS Form 8621.

PFIC Status of Eurasian

Eurasian generally will be a PFIC if, for a tax year, (a) 75% or more of the gross income of Eurasian is passive income (the "income test"), or (b) 50% or more of the value of Eurasian's assets either produce passive income or are held for the production of passive income, based on the quarterly average of the fair market value of such assets (the "asset test"). "Gross income" generally includes all sales revenues less the cost of goods sold, plus income from investments and from incidental or outside operations or sources, and "passive income" generally includes, for example, dividends, interest, certain rents and royalties, certain gains from the sale of stock and securities, and certain gains from commodities transactions.

Active business gains arising from the sale of commodities generally are excluded from passive income if substantially all (85% or more) of a foreign corporation's commodities are stock in trade of such foreign corporation or other property of a kind which would properly be included in inventory of such foreign corporation, or property held by such foreign corporation primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business and certain other requirements are satisfied.

For purposes of the PFIC income test and asset test described above, if Eurasian owns, directly or indirectly, 25% or more of the total value of the outstanding shares of another corporation, Eurasian will be treated as if it (a) held a proportionate share of the assets of such other corporation and (b) received directly a proportionate share of the income of such other corporation. In addition, for purposes of the PFIC income test and asset test described above, and assuming certain other requirements are met, "passive income" does not include certain interest, dividends, rents, or royalties that are received or accrued by Eurasian from certain "related persons" (as defined in Section 954(d)(3) of the Code), to the extent such items are properly allocable to the income of such related person that is not passive income.

Eurasian currently expects that it will be classified as a passive foreign investment company ("PFIC") for the tax year ending December 31, 2016 and expects to be a PFIC in future tax years. No opinion of legal counsel or ruling from the IRS concerning the status of Eurasian as a PFIC has been obtained or is currently planned to be requested. The determination of whether any corporation was, or will be, a PFIC for a tax year depends, in part, on the application of complex U.S. federal income tax rules, which are subject to differing interpretations. In addition, whether any corporation will be a PFIC for any tax year depends on the assets and income of such corporation over the course of each such tax year and, as a result, cannot be predicted with certainty as of the date of this document. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that the IRS will not challenge any determination made by Eurasian concerning its PFIC status. Each U.S. Holder should consult its own tax advisor regarding the PFIC status of Eurasian.

Default PFIC Rules Under Section 1291 of the Code

If Eurasian is a PFIC, the U.S. federal income tax consequences to a U.S. Holder of the acquisition, ownership, and disposition of common shares will depend on whether such U.S. Holder makes an election to treat Eurasian as a "qualified electing fund", or "QEF", under Section 1295 of the Code, or a "QEF Election", or a mark-to-market election under Section 1296 of the Code, or a "Mark-to-Market Election". A U.S. Holder that does not make either a QEF Election or a Mark-to-Market Election will be referred to in this summary as a "Non-Electing U.S. Holder".

A Non-Electing U.S. Holder will be subject to the rules of Section 1291 of the Code with respect to, (a) any gain recognized on the sale or other taxable disposition of common shares, and (b) any excess distribution received on common shares. A distribution generally will be an "excess distribution" to the extent that such distribution (together with all other distributions received in the current tax year) exceeds 125% of the average distributions received during the three preceding tax years (or during a U.S. Holder's holding period for common shares, if shorter).

Under Section 1291 of the Code, any gain recognized on the sale or other taxable disposition of common shares and any "excess distribution" received on common shares must be ratably allocated to each day in a Non-Electing U.S. Holder's holding period for the respective common shares. The amount of any such gain or excess distribution allocated to the tax year of disposition or distribution of the excess distribution and to years before the entity became a PFIC, if any, would be

taxed as ordinary income. The amounts allocated to any other tax year would be subject to U.S. federal income tax at the highest tax rate applicable to ordinary income in each such year, and an interest charge would be imposed on the tax liability for each such year, calculated as if such tax liability had been due in each such year. A Non-Electing U.S. Holder that is not a corporation must treat any such interest paid as "personal interest", which is not deductible.

If Eurasian is a PFIC for any tax year during which a Non-Electing U.S. Holder holds common shares, Eurasian will continue to be treated as a PFIC with respect to such Non-Electing U.S. Holder, regardless of whether Eurasian ceases to be a PFIC in one or more subsequent tax years. A Non-Electing U.S. Holder may terminate this deemed PFIC status by electing to recognize gain (which will be taxed under the rules of Section 1291 of the Code discussed above), but not loss, as if such common shares were sold on the last day of the last tax year for which Eurasian was a PFIC.

OEF Election

A U.S. Holder that makes a timely and effective QEF Election for the first tax year in which its holding period of its common shares begins generally will not be subject to the rules of Section 1291 of the Code discussed above with respect to its common shares. A U.S. Holder that makes a timely and effective QEF Election will be subject to U.S. federal income tax on such U.S. Holder's pro rata share of, (a) the net capital gain of Eurasian, which will be taxed as long-term capital gain to such U.S. Holder, and (b) the ordinary earnings of Eurasian, which will be taxed as ordinary income to such U.S. Holder. Generally, "net capital gain" is the excess of (i) net long-term capital gain over (ii) net short-term capital loss, and "ordinary earnings" are the excess of (i) "earnings and profits" over (ii) net capital gain. A U.S. Holder that makes a QEF Election will be subject to U.S. federal income tax on such amounts for each tax year in which Eurasian is a PFIC, regardless of whether such amounts are actually distributed to such U.S. Holder by Eurasian. However, for any tax year in which Eurasian is a PFIC and has no net income or gain, U.S. Holder that made a QEF Election has an income inclusion, such U.S. Holder may, subject to certain limitations, elect to defer payment of current U.S. federal income tax on such amounts, subject to an interest charge. If such U.S. Holder is not a corporation, any such interest paid will be treated as "personal interest", which is not deductible.

A U.S. Holder that makes a timely and effective QEF Election with respect to Eurasian generally, (a) may receive a tax-free distribution from Eurasian to the extent that such distribution represents "earnings and profits" of Eurasian that were previously included in income by the U.S. Holder because of such QEF Election, and (b) will adjust such U.S. Holder's tax basis in common shares to reflect the amount included in income or allowed as a tax-free distribution because of such QEF Election. In addition, a U.S. Holder that makes a QEF Election generally will recognize capital gain or loss on the sale or other taxable disposition of common shares.

The procedure for making a QEF Election, and the U.S. federal income tax consequences of making a QEF Election, will depend on whether such QEF Election is timely. A QEF Election will be treated as "timely" if such QEF Election is made for the first year in the U.S. Holder's holding period for common shares in which Eurasian was a PFIC. A U.S. Holder may make a timely QEF Election by filing the appropriate QEF Election documents at the time such U.S. Holder files a U.S. federal income tax return for such year. If a U.S. Holder does not make a timely and effective QEF Election for the first year in the U.S. Holder's holding period for common shares, the U.S. Holder may still be able to make a timely and effective QEF Election in a subsequent year if such U.S. Holder also makes a "purging" election to recognize gain (which will be taxed under the rules of Section 1291 of the Code discussed above) as if such common shares were sold for their fair market value on the day the QEF Election is effective.

A QEF Election will apply to the tax year for which such QEF Election is timely made and to all subsequent tax years, unless such QEF Election is invalidated or terminated or the IRS consents to revocation of such QEF Election. If a U.S. Holder makes a QEF Election and, in a subsequent tax year, Eurasian ceases to be a PFIC, the QEF Election will remain in effect (although it will not be applicable) during those tax years in which Eurasian is not a PFIC. Accordingly, if Eurasian becomes a PFIC in another subsequent tax year, the QEF Election will be effective and the U.S. Holder will be subject to the QEF rules described above during any subsequent tax year in which Eurasian qualifies as a PFIC.

For each tax year that Eurasian qualifies as a PFIC, Eurasian intends to: (a) make available to U.S. Holders, upon their written request, a "PFIC Annual Information Statement" as described in Treasury Regulation Section 1.1295-1(g) (or any successor Treasury Regulation) and (b) upon written request, use commercially reasonable efforts to provide all additional information that such U.S. Holder is required to obtain in connection with maintaining such QEF Election with regard to Eurasian. Eurasian may elect to provide such information on its website, www.EurasianMinerals.com. Each U.S. Holder should consult its own tax advisor regarding the availability of, and procedure for making, a QEF Election.

A U.S. Holder makes a QEF Election by attaching a completed IRS Form 8621, including a PFIC Annual Information Statement, to a timely filed U.S. federal income tax return. However, if Eurasian does not provide the required information

with regard to Eurasian, U.S. Holders will not be able to make a QEF Election for such entity and will continue to be subject to the rules of Section 1291 of the Code discussed above that apply to Non-Electing U.S. Holders with respect to the taxation of gains and excess distributions.

Mark-to-Market Election

A U.S. Holder may make a Mark-to-Market Election only if the common shares are marketable stock. Common shares generally will be "marketable stock" if the common shares are regularly traded on, (a) a national securities exchange that is registered with the SEC, (b) the national market system established pursuant to section 11A of the U.S. Exchange Act, or (c) a foreign securities exchange that is regulated or supervised by a governmental authority of the country in which the market is located, provided that, (i) such foreign exchange has trading volume, listing, financial disclosure, and meets other requirements and the laws of the country in which such foreign exchange is located, together with the rules of such foreign exchange ensure that such requirements are actually enforced, and (ii) the rules of such foreign exchange ensure active trading of listed stocks. If Eurasian's common shares are traded on such a qualified exchange or other market, the common shares generally will be "regularly traded" for any calendar year during which common shares are traded, other than in de minimis quantities, on at least 15 days during each calendar quarter.

A U.S. Holder that makes a Mark-to-Market Election with respect to its common shares generally will not be subject to the rules of Section 1291 of the Code discussed above with respect to such common shares. However, if a U.S. Holder does not make a Mark-to-Market Election beginning in the first tax year of such U.S. Holder's holding period for common shares or such U.S. Holder has not made a timely QEF Election, the rules of Section 1291 of the Code discussed above will apply to certain dispositions of, and distributions on, common shares.

A U.S. Holder that makes a Mark-to-Market Election will include in ordinary income, for each tax year in which Eurasian is a PFIC, an amount equal to the excess, if any, of (i) the fair market value of common shares, as of the close of such tax year over (ii) such U.S. Holder's tax basis in such common shares. A U.S. Holder that makes a Mark-to-Market Election will be allowed a deduction in an amount equal to the excess, if any, of (i) such U.S. Holder's adjusted tax basis in common shares, over (ii) the fair market value of such common shares (but only to the extent of the net amount of previously included income as a result of the Mark-to-Market Election for prior tax years).

A U.S. Holder that makes a Mark-to-Market Election generally also will adjust such U.S. Holder's tax basis in common shares to reflect the amount included in gross income or allowed as a deduction because of such Mark-to-Market Election. In addition, upon a sale or other taxable disposition of common shares, a U.S. Holder that makes a Mark-to-Market Election will recognize ordinary income or ordinary loss (not to exceed the excess, if any, of (i) the amount included in ordinary income because of such Mark-to-Market Election for prior tax years over (ii) the amount allowed as a deduction because of such Mark-to-Market Election for prior tax years).

A U.S. Holder makes a Mark-to-Market Election by attaching a completed IRS Form 8621 to a timely filed U.S. federal income tax return. A Mark-to-Market Election applies to the tax year in which such Mark-to-Market Election is made and to each subsequent tax year, unless common shares cease to be "marketable stock" or the IRS consents to revocation of such election. Each U.S. Holder should consult its own tax advisor regarding the availability of, and procedure for making, a Mark-to-Market Election.

Other PFIC Rules

Under Section 1291(f) of the Code, the IRS has issued proposed Treasury Regulations that, subject to certain exceptions, would cause a U.S. Holder that had not made a timely QEF Election to recognize gain (but not loss) upon certain transfers of common shares that would otherwise be tax-deferred (e.g., gifts and exchanges pursuant to corporate reorganizations). However, the specific U.S. federal income tax consequences to a U.S. Holder may vary based on the manner in which common shares are transferred.

Certain additional adverse rules will apply with respect to a U.S. Holder if Eurasian is a PFIC, regardless of whether such U.S. Holder makes a QEF Election. For example under Section 1298(b)(6) of the Code, a U.S. Holder that uses common shares as security for a loan will, except as may be provided in Treasury Regulations, be treated as having made a taxable disposition of such common shares.

Special rules also apply to the amount of foreign tax credit that a U.S. Holder may claim on a distribution from a PFIC. Subject to such special rules, foreign taxes paid with respect to any distribution in respect of stock in a PFIC are generally eligible for the foreign tax credit. The rules relating to distributions by a PFIC and their eligibility for the foreign tax credit are complicated, and a U.S. Holder should consult with their own tax advisor regarding the availability of the foreign tax credit with respect to distributions by a PFIC.

The PFIC rules are complex, and each U.S. Holder should consult its own tax advisor regarding the PFIC rules and how the PFIC rules may affect the U.S. federal income tax consequences of the acquisition, ownership, and disposition of common shares.

Ownership and Disposition of Common Shares

The following discussion is subject to the rules described above under the heading "Passive Foreign Investment Company Rules".

Distributions on Common Shares

Subject to the PFIC rules discussed above, a U.S. Holder that receives a distribution, including a constructive distribution, with respect to common shares will be required to include the amount of such distribution in gross income as a dividend (without reduction for any Canadian income tax withheld from such distribution) to the extent of the current or accumulated "earnings and profits" of Eurasian, as computed for U.S. federal income tax purposes. A dividend generally will be taxed to a U.S. Holder at ordinary income tax rates if Eurasian is a PFIC. To the extent that a distribution exceeds the current and accumulated "earnings and profits" of Eurasian, such distribution will be treated first as a tax-free return of capital to the extent of a U.S. Holder's tax basis in common shares and thereafter as gain from the sale or exchange of such common shares. See "Sale or Other Taxable Disposition of Common Shares" below. However, Eurasian may not maintain the calculations of earnings and profits in accordance with U.S. federal income tax principles, and each U.S. Holder should therefore assume that any distribution by Eurasian with respect to common shares will constitute ordinary dividend income. Dividends received on common shares generally will not be eligible for the "dividends received deduction". Subject to applicable limitations and provided Eurasian is eligible for the benefits of the Treaty, dividends paid by Eurasian to noncorporate U.S. Holders, including individuals, generally will be eligible for the preferential tax rates applicable to long-term capital gains for dividends, provided certain holding period and other conditions are satisfied, including that Eurasian not be classified as a PFIC in the tax year of distribution or in the preceding tax year. The dividend rules are complex, and each U.S. Holder should consult its own tax advisor regarding the application of such rules.

Sale or Other Taxable Disposition of Common Shares

Subject to the PFIC rules discussed above, upon the sale or other taxable disposition of common shares, a U.S. Holder generally will recognize capital gain or loss in an amount equal to the difference between the amount of cash plus the fair market value of any property received and such U.S. Holder's tax basis in such common shares sold or otherwise disposed of. Subject to the PFIC rules discussed above, gain or loss recognized on such sale or other disposition generally will be long-term capital gain or loss if, at the time of the sale or other disposition, common shares have been held for more than one year.

Preferential tax rates apply to long-term capital gain of a U.S. Holder that is an individual, estate, or trust. There are currently no preferential tax rates for long-term capital gain of a U.S. Holder that is a corporation. Deductions for capital losses are subject to significant limitations under the Code.

Additional Considerations

Additional Tax on Passive Income

Certain U.S. Holders that are individuals, estates or trusts (other than trusts that are exempt from tax) will be subject to a 3.8% tax on all or a portion of their "net investment income", which includes dividends on the common shares and net gains from the disposition of the common shares. Further, excess distributions treated as dividends, gains treated as excess distributions under the PFIC rules discussed above, and mark-to-market inclusions and deductions are all included in the calculation of net investment income.

Treasury Regulations provide, subject to the election described in the following paragraph, that solely for purposes of this additional tax, that distributions of previously taxed income will be treated as dividends and included in net investment income subject to the additional 3.8% tax. Additionally, to determine the amount of any capital gain from the sale or other taxable disposition of common shares that will be subject to the additional tax on net investment income, a U.S. Holder who has made a QEF Election will be required to recalculate its basis in the common shares excluding QEF basis adjustments.

Alternatively, a U.S. Holder may make an election which will be effective with respect to all interests in a PFIC for which a QEF Election has been made and which is held in that year or acquired in future years. Under this election, a U.S. Holder pays the additional 3.8% tax on QEF income inclusions and on gains calculated after giving effect to related tax basis adjustments. U.S. Holders that are individuals, estates or trusts should consult their own tax advisors regarding the applicability of this tax to any of their income or gains in respect of the common shares.

Receipt of Foreign Currency

The amount of any distribution paid to a U.S. Holder in foreign currency, or on the sale, exchange or other taxable disposition of common shares, generally will be equal to the U.S. dollar value of such foreign currency based on the exchange rate applicable on the date of receipt (regardless of whether such foreign currency is converted into U.S. dollars at that time). A U.S. Holder will have a basis in the foreign currency equal to its U.S. dollar value on the date of receipt. Any U.S. Holder who converts or otherwise disposes of the foreign currency after the date of receipt may have a foreign currency exchange gain or loss that would be treated as ordinary income or loss, and generally will be U.S. source income or loss for foreign tax credit purposes. Different rules apply to U.S. Holders who use the accrual method. Each U.S. Holder should consult its own U.S. tax advisors regarding the U.S. federal income tax consequences of receiving, owning, and disposing of foreign currency.

Foreign Tax Credit

Subject to the PFIC rules discussed above, a U.S. Holder that pays (whether directly or through withholding) Canadian income tax with respect to dividends paid on common shares generally will be entitled, at the election of such U.S. Holder, to receive either a deduction or a credit for such Canadian income tax paid. Generally, a credit will reduce a U.S. Holder's U.S. federal income tax liability on a dollar-for-dollar basis, whereas a deduction will reduce a U.S. Holder's income subject to U.S. federal income tax. This election is made on a year-by-year basis and applies to all foreign taxes paid (whether directly or through withholding) by a U.S. Holder during a year.

Complex limitations apply to the foreign tax credit, including the general limitation that the credit cannot exceed the proportionate share of a U.S. Holder's U.S. federal income tax liability that such U.S. Holder's "foreign source" taxable income bears to such U.S. Holder's worldwide taxable income. In applying this limitation, a U.S. Holder's various items of income and deduction must be classified, under complex rules, as either "foreign source" or "U.S. source". Generally, dividends paid by a foreign corporation should be treated as foreign source for this purpose, and gains recognized on the sale of stock of a foreign corporation by a U.S. Holder should be treated as U.S. source for this purpose, except as otherwise provided in an applicable income tax treaty, and if an election is properly made under the Code. However, the amount of a distribution with respect to common shares that is treated as a "dividend" may be lower for U.S. federal income tax purposes than it is for Canadian federal income tax purposes, resulting in a reduced foreign tax credit allowance to a U.S. Holder. In addition, this limitation is calculated separately with respect to specific categories of income. The foreign tax credit rules are complex, and each U.S. Holder should consult its own U.S. tax advisor regarding the foreign tax credit rules.

Backup Withholding and Information Reporting

Under U.S. federal income tax law and Treasury Regulations, certain categories of U.S. Holders must file information returns with respect to their investment in, or involvement in, a foreign corporation. For example, U.S. return disclosure obligations (and related penalties) are imposed on individuals who are U.S. Holders that hold certain specified foreign financial assets in excess of certain threshold amounts. The definition of specified foreign financial assets includes not only financial accounts maintained in foreign financial institutions, but also, unless held in accounts maintained by a financial institution, any stock or security issued by a non-U.S. person, any financial instrument or contract held for investment that has an issuer or counterparty other than a U.S. person and any interest in a foreign entity. U.S. Holders may be subject to these reporting requirements unless their common shares are held in an account at certain financial institutions. Penalties for failure to file certain of these information returns are substantial. U.S. Holders should consult with their own tax advisors regarding the requirements of filing information returns, including the requirement to file an IRS Form 8938.

Payments made within the U.S. or by a U.S. payor or U.S. middleman, of dividends on, and proceeds arising from the sale or other taxable disposition of, common shares will generally be subject to information reporting and backup withholding tax, at the rate of 28%, if a U.S. Holder, (a) fails to furnish such U.S. Holder's correct U.S. taxpayer identification number (generally on IRS Form W-9), (b) furnishes an incorrect U.S. taxpayer identification number, (c) is notified by the IRS that such U.S. Holder has previously failed to properly report items subject to backup withholding tax, or (d) fails to certify, under penalty of perjury, that such U.S. Holder has furnished its correct U.S. taxpayer identification number and that the IRS has not notified such U.S. Holder that it is subject to backup withholding tax. However, certain exempt persons generally are excluded from these information reporting and backup withholding rules. Any amounts withheld under the U.S. backup withholding tax rules will be allowed as a credit against a U.S. Holder's U.S. federal income tax liability, if any, or will be refunded, if such U.S. Holder furnishes required information to the IRS in a timely manner.

The discussion of reporting requirements set forth above is not intended to constitute a complete description of all reporting requirements that may apply to a U.S. Holder. A failure to satisfy certain reporting requirements may result in an extension of the time period during which the IRS can assess a tax, and under certain circumstances, such an extension may apply to assessments of amounts unrelated to any unsatisfied reporting requirement. Each U.S. Holder should consult its own tax

advisors regarding the information reporting and backup withholding rules.

10.F. Dividends and Paying Agents

--- No Disclosure Necessary ---

10.G. Statement by Experts

--- No Disclosure Necessary ---

10.H. Documents on Display

Copies of the documents referenced in this annual report are available at the Company's office located at Suite 501, 543 Granville Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.

ITEM 11. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Credit Risk

The Company is exposed to credit risk by holding cash and cash equivalents and receivables. This risk is minimized by holding a significant portion of the funds in Canadian banks. The Company's exposure with respect to its receivables is primarily related to royalty streams, recovery of exploration evaluation costs, and convertible promissory notes.

Interest Rate Risk

The Company is exposed to interest rate risk because of fluctuating interest rates. Management believes the interest rate risk is low given interest rates on promissory notes is fixed and the current low global interest rate environment. Fluctuations in market rates is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's operations due to the short term to maturity and no penalty cashable feature of its cash equivalents.

Market Risk

The Company is exposed to market risk because of the fluctuating values of its publicly traded marketable securities and other company investments. The Company has no control over these fluctuations and does not hedge its investments. Based on the December 31, 2016 portfolio values, a 10% increase or decrease in effective market values would increase or decrease net shareholders' equity by approximately \$48,000.

Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company is unable to meet its financial obligations as they come due. The Company manages this risk by careful management of its working capital to ensure the Company's expenditures will not exceed available resources.

Commodity Risk

The Company's royalty revenues are derived from a royalty interest and are based on the extraction and sale of precious and base minerals and metals. Factors beyond the control of the Company may affect the marketability of metals discovered. Metal prices have historically fluctuated widely. Consequently, the economic viability of the Company's royalty interests cannot be accurately predicted and may be adversely affected by fluctuations in mineral prices.

Currency Risk

Foreign exchange risk arises when future commercial transactions and recognized assets and liabilities are denominated in a currency that is not the entity's functional currency. The Company operates in Canada, Haiti, Turkey, Georgia, Sweden, Australia and the U.S.A. The Company funds cash calls to its subsidiary companies outside of Canada in US dollars and a portion of its expenditures are also incurred in local currencies.

ITEM 12. DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES OTHER THAN EQUITY SECURITIES

--- No Disclosure Necessary ---

PART II

ITEM 13. DEFAULTS, DIVIDEND ARREARAGES AND DELINQUENCIES

--- No Disclosure Necessary ---

ITEM 14. MATERIAL MODIFICATIONS TO THE RIGHTS OF SECURITY HOLDERS AND USE OF PROCEEDS

--- No Disclosure Necessary ---

ITEM 15. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures to provide reasonable assurance that material information related to the Company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to senior management, including the Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and the Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"), by others within those entities on a timely basis so that appropriate decisions can be made regarding public disclosure.

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Principal Executive Officer and our Principal Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) under the Exchange Act) as of December 31, 2016. The CEO and CFO concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2016, were effective to give reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and (ii) accumulated and communicated to management, including the CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The Company's management is responsible for designing, establishing and maintaining a system of internal controls over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f)) to provide reasonable assurance that the financial information prepared by the Company for external purposes is reliable and has been recorded, processed and reported in an accurate and timely manner in accordance with GAAP. The Board of Directors is responsible for ensuring that management fulfills its responsibilities. The Audit Committee fulfills its role of ensuring the integrity of the reported information through its review of the interim and annual financial statements. Management reviewed the results of their assessment with the Company's Audit Committee.

Because of its inherent limitations, the Company's internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect all possible misstatements or frauds. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with policies or procedures may deteriorate.

To evaluate the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting, Management has used the Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013), which is a suitable, recognized control framework established by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Management has assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting and concluded that such internal control over financial reporting is effective as of December 31, 2016.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls

The Company's management, including the CEO and CFO, does not expect that our Disclosure Controls or our Internal Controls will prevent all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions

about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

As a non-accelerated filer, the Company is not required to provide the registered public accounting firm's attestation report on management's assessment of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Changes in Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this Form 20-F, that has materially affected or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 16A. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT

The Company's Board has determined that Messrs. Levet, Bayley and Okada qualify as audit committee financial experts (as defined in Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K under the U.S. Exchange Act), are financially sophisticated (as determined in accordance with Section 803B(2)(iii) of the NYSE MKT Company Guide), and are independent (as determined under U.S. Exchange Act Rule 10A-3 and Section 803A of the NYSE MKT Company Guide).

ITEM 16B. CODE OF ETHICS

The Board of Directors of the Company has responsibility for the stewardship of the Company including responsibility for strategic planning, identification of the principal risks of the Company's business and implementation of appropriate systems to manage these risks, succession planning (including appointing, training and monitoring senior management), communications with investors and the financial community and the integrity of the Company's internal control and management information systems. To facilitate meeting this responsibility, the Board of Directors seeks to foster a culture of ethical conduct by striving to ensure the Company carries out its business in line with high business and moral standards and applicable legal and financial requirements. In that regard, the Board has:

- adopted a written Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (the "Code") for its directors, officers, employees and consultants and a written Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for its CEO and Senior Financial Officers.
- established a whistleblower policy which details complaint procedures for financial concerns.

Copies of the Code are available without charge to any person upon request from the Company's CFO at <u>http://www.eurasianminerals.com</u> or at Eurasian's headquarters at Suite 501, 543 Granville Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6C 1X8.

The Board must also comply with the conflict of interest provisions of the British Columbia *Business Corporations Act*, as well as the relevant securities regulatory instruments, in order to ensure that directors exercise independent judgment in considering transactions and agreements in respect of which a director or Executive Officer has a material interest.

ITEM 16C. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The following table discloses the fees billed to the Company by its external auditor during the last two financial years.

Financial Year Ending	Audit Fees ⁽¹⁾	Audit-Related Fees ⁽²⁾	Tax Fees ⁽³⁾	All Other Fees
December 31, 2016	\$130,000	14,000	0	450
December 31, 2015	\$170,500	23,750	0	0

- (1) The aggregate fees billed by the Company's auditor for audit fees.
- (2) The aggregate fees billed for assurance and related services by the Company's auditor that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company's financial statements and are not disclosed in the 'Audit Fees' column. These fees are related to the auditor's reviews of the Company's Form 20F and the Company's first quarter interim financial statements in relation to the compliance and conversion to International Financial Reporting Standards.
- (3) The aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by the Company's auditor for tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning.

The audit committee has established policies and procedures that are intended to control the services provided by the Company's external auditors and to monitor their continuing independence. Under these policies, no services may be undertaken by the auditors, unless the engagement is specifically approved by the audit committee or the services are included within a category which has been pre-approved by the audit committee. The maximum charge for services is established by the audit committee when the specific engagement is approved or the category of services pre-approved. Management is required to notify the audit committee of the nature and value of pre-approved services undertaken.

ITEM 16D. EXEMPTIONS FROM THE LISTING STANDARDS FOR AUDIT COMMITTEES

---Not Applicable---

ITEM 16E. PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECFURITIES BY THE COMPANY/AFFILIATED PURCHASERS

---Not Applicable---

ITEM 16F. CHANGE IN REGISTRANT'S CERTIFYING ACCOUNTANT

---Not Applicable---

ITEM 16G. CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The Common Shares are listed on the NYSE MKT and the TSX-V. Under the rules of the NYSE MKT, listed companies are generally required to have a majority of their Board of Directors independent as defined by the MYSE MKT Company Guide Rules. Currently, as permitted under applicable Canadian regulations, the Company's Board consists of 5 directors, of which 3 are considered to be independent.

Other than in the composition of the Board of Directors as described above, in the opinion of management the Company's corporate governance practices do not differ in any significant way from those required of U.S. domestic companies listed on the NYSE MKT.

ITEM 16H. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURE

---Not Applicable---

PART III

ITEM 17. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Company's financial statements are stated in Canadian Dollars and are prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board.

The financial statements and found immediately following the text of this Annual Report. The audit report of Davidson and Company LLP, is included herein immediately preceding the audited financial statements.

Audited Financial Statements

Consolidated Statements of Financial Position at December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014. Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014. Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014. Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

ITEM 18. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Company has elected to provide financial statements pursuant to Item 17.

ITEM 19. EXHIBITS

- 1. Articles of Eurasian Minerals Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Form 6-K filed on July 16, 2014).
- 2. Services Agreement between the Company and Seabord Services Corp., dated December 1, 2015.

3. List of Subsidiaries:

EMX (USA) Services Corp. Incorporated June 10, 2010 Owned 100% by Eurasian Minerals Inc. C/O Empire Stock Transfer Inc. 1859 Whitney Mesa Drive Henderson, NV 89014 United States of America Phone: 701-818-5898 Fax: 701-974-1444

Bullion Monarch Mining, Inc.Incorporated March 19, 2007Owned 100% by Eurasian Minerals Inc.C/O Dorsey and Whitney LLP136 S Main Street, Suite 1000Salt Lake City, UT 84101United States of AmericaPhone:801-933-7360Fax:801-933-7373

Bronco Creek Exploration Inc. Incorporated June 12, 2002 Owned 100% by Eurasian Minerals Inc. 1815 E. Winsett Street Tucson, AZ 85719-6547 United States of America Phone: 520-624-4153 Fax: 520-624-4192

- 4. Certification of the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)
- 5. Certification of the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)
- 6. Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
- 7. Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
- 8. Consent of Eric Jensen
- 9. Consent of Dean Turner
- 10. Consent of Phil Newell
- 11. Consent of Davidson & Company, LLP

SIGNATURES

The registrant hereby certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form 20-F and that it has duly caused and authorized the undersigned to sign this Annual Report on its behalf.

EURASIAN MINERALS INC.

/s/ Christina Cepeliauskas

Chief Financial Officer DATED: March 27, 2017



EURASIAN MINERALS INC. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Expressed in Canadian Dollars)

December 31, 2016

DAVIDSON & COMPANY LLP _____ Chartered Professional Accountants =

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Shareholders and Directors of Eurasian Minerals Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated financial statements of Eurasian Minerals Inc., which comprise the consolidated statements of financial position as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related consolidated statements of loss, comprehensive loss, changes in shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014 and a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory information.

Management's Responsibility for the Consolidated Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these consolidated financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board, and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards and the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we comply with ethical requirements and plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditors' judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained in our audits is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, these consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Eurasian Minerals Inc. as at December 31, 2016 and 2015 and its financial performance and its cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board.

"DAVIDSON & COMPANY LLP"

Vancouver, Canada

March 29, 2017



Chartered Professional Accountants

1200 - 609 Granville Street, P.O. Box 10372, Pacific Centre, Vancouver, B.C., Canada V7Y 1G6 Telephone (604) 687-0947 Davidson-co.com

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION

(Expressed in Canadian Dollars)

ASSETS			December 31, 2016		December 31, 2015
Current					
Cash and cash equivalents		\$	3,199,686	\$	5,634,601
Investments (Note 3)			262,756		235,106
Receivables (Note 4)			3,430,006		686,465
Prepaid expenses			28,496		32,344
Total current assets			6,920,944		6,588,516
Non-current					
Restricted cash (Note 5)			359,172		269,770
Receivables (Note 4)			1,412,727		-
Property and equipment (Note 6)			471,704		614,460
Convertible notes receivable (Note 7)			-		1,026,458
Investment in associated companies (Note 8)			4,992,823		3,333,491
Strategic investments (Note 3)			212,798		193,810
Exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)			2,145,000		2,381,540
Royalty interest (Note 10)			25,831,152		28,798,980
Reclamation bonds (Note 11)			639,427		810,734
Goodwill (Note 12)			4,753,324		6,501,886
Other assets			104,484		104,484
Total non-current assets			40,922,611		44,035,613
TOTAL ASSETS		\$	47,843,555	\$	50,624,129
LIABILITIES					
Current		ć	F77 36F	ć	
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		\$	577,265	\$	663,582
Advances from joint venture partners (Note 13) Total current liabilities			<u> </u>		<u>137,825</u> 801,407
Non-current					
Deferred income tax liability (Note 14)			4,753,324		6,501,886
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
TOTAL LIABILITIES			5,671,950		7,303,293
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY					
Capital stock (Note 15)			117,504,585		117,000,052
Commitment to issue shares			-		139,138
Reserves			21,656,380		20,487,524
Deficit			(96,989,360)		(94,305,878)
TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY			42,171,605		43,320,836
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		\$	47,843,555	\$	50,624,129
Nature of operations and going concern (Note 1) Events after the reporting date (Note 20)					
Approved on behalf of the Board of Directors on March 2	7, 2017				
Signed: "David M Cole" Director	Signed:	"La	nrry Okada"		Director
The accompanying notes are an integral	part of these	cons	olidated financial stat	emer	nts.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF LOSS

(Expressed in Canadian Dollars)

31, 2016 ROYALTY INCOME (Note 10) \$ 2,227,322 Cost of sales (111,366) Depletion (Note 10) (2,163,221) Net royalty (loss) income (47,265) EXPLORATION EXPENDITURES (Note 9) 6,415,533 Less: recoveries (1,415,574) Net exploration expenditures 4,999,959 GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES 4 Administrative and office 721,645 Depreciation (Note 6) 114,489 Investor relations and shareholder information 274,966 Professional fees 510,533 Salaries and consultants (Note 16) 894,166 Share based payments (Note 15 and 16) 467,939 Trasfer agent and filing fees 165,040 Travel 71,561 Total general and administrative expenses 3,220,339 Loss from operations (8,267,563) Change in fair value of fair value throught profit or loss investments 258,702 Permanent loss recorded in the fair value of available for sale investments (Note 3) (697,675) Gain (loss) on acquisition and sale of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9) 6,834,999 Gain (loss) on asociated com		Year ended December
Cost of sales(111,366)Gold tax(121,63,221)Net royalty (loss) income(47,265)EXPLORATION EXPENDITURES (Note 9)6,415,533Less: recoveries(1,415,574)Net exploration expenditures4,999,959GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES4,999,959GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES721,645Administrative and office721,645Depreciation (Note 6)114,489Investor relations and shareholder information274,966Professional fees510,533Salaries and consultants (Note 16)894,166Share-based payments (Note 15 and 16)467,939Travel71,561Total general and administrative expenses3,220,339Loss from operations(8,267,563)Change in fair value of fair value of available for sale investments (Note 3)(697,675)Gain (loss) on acquisition and sale of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)6,834,999Dilution gain in associated companies (Note 8)(12,95,568)Dilution gain in associated companies (Note 8)(12,95,62)Realized Loss on sale of investments(28,72,02)Impairment of accounts receivable-Impairment of accounts receivable-Impairment of accounts receivable-Write-Off dexploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)-Impairment of goodwill (Note 12)-Impairment of accounts receivable-Vrite-Off dex ploration and evaluation assets (Note 6)-Impairment of goodwill (Note 12)-	31, 2015	31, 2014
Gold tax(111,366)Depletion (Note 10)(2,163,221)Net royalty (loss) income(47,265)EXPLORATION EXPENDITURES (Note 9)6,415,533Less: recoveries(1,415,574)Net exploration expenditures4,999,959GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES4,999,959Administrative and office721,645Depreciation (Note 6)114,489Investor relations and shareholder information274,966Professional fees510,533Salaries and consultants (Note 16)844,166Share-based payments (Note 15 and 16)467,939Transfer agent and filing fees165,040Travel71,561Total general and administrative expenses3,220,339Loss from operations(8,267,563)Change in fair value of fair value throught profit or loss investments (Note 3)(697,675)Gain (loss) on acquisition and sale of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)6,834,99Equity loss in associated companies (Note 8)(1,295,568)Dilution gain in associated companies (Note 8)(159,864)Dilution gain in associated companies (Note 8)(287,204)Interest income and other (Note 7 and Note 18)16,328Realized loss on sale of investments(287,204)Interest income and other (Note 7 and Note 18)16,328Gain (loss) on decognition and sale of exploration ad equipment (Note 6)10,723Inspariment of accounts receivableImpairment of accounts receivableImpairment of accounts receivable<	\$ 1,609,553	\$ 2,247,334
Depletion (Note 10)(2,163,221)Net royalty (loss) income(47,265)EXPLORATION EXPENDITURES (Note 9)6,415,533Less: recoveries(1,415,574)Net exploration expenditures4,999,959GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES4,999,959Administrative and office721,645Depreciation (Note 6)114,489Investor relations and shareholder information274,966Professional fees510,533Salaries and consultants (Note 16)894,166Share-based payments (Note 15 and 16)467,939Travel71,561Total general and administrative expenses3,220,339Loss from operations(8,267,563)Change in fair value of fair value throught profit or loss investments258,702Permanent loss necorded in the fair value of available for sale investments (Note 3)(697,675)Gain (loss) on acquisition and sale of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)6,834,999Equiptions in associated companies (Note 8)982,634Dilution gain in associated companies (Note 8)16,328Dilution gain in associated companies (Note 8)16,328Dilution gain in associated companies (Note 8)16,328Dilution gain in associated companies (Note 9)-Impairment of royalty interest (Note 10)-Writedown of goodwill (Note 12)(1,518,328)Gain (loss) on derecognition and sale of property and equipment (Note 6)10,723Loss before income taxes(4,122,814)Deferred income tax recovery (Note 14)1,439,332 <td></td> <td></td>		
Net royalty (loss) income (47,265) EXPLORATION EXPENDITURES (Note 9) 6,415,533 Less: recoveries (1,415,574) Net exploration expenditures 4,999,959 GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES 4,999,959 GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES 721,645 Depreciation (Note 6) 114,489 Investor relations and shareholder information 274,966 Professional fees 510,533 Salaries and consultants (Note 16) 894,166 Share-based payments (Note 15 and 16) 467,939 Travel 71,561 Total general and administrative expenses 3,220,339 Loss from operations (8,267,563) Change in fair value of fair value throught profit or loss investments 258,702 Permanent loss recorded in the fair value of available for sale investments (Note 3) (697,675) Gini (loss) on acquisition and sale of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9) 6,834,999 Equity loss in associated companies (Note 8) (1,295,568) Dilution gain in associated companies (Note 8) (189,862) Foreign exchange gain (loss) (159,862) Realized loss on sale of investments (287,204)	(80,478)	(110,653)
EXPLORATION EXEMPTIVES (Note 9)EXPLORATION EXPENDITURES (Note 9)6,415,533EAS: recoveries(1,415,574)Net exploration expenditures4,999,959GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES721,645Administrative and office721,645Depreciation (Note 6)114,489Investor relations and shareholder information274,966Professional fees510,533Salaries and consultants (Note 16)894,166Share-based payments (Note 15 and 16)467,939Transfer agent and filing fees165,040Travel71,561Total general and administrative expenses3,220,339Loss from operations(8,267,563)Change in fair value of fair value throught profit or loss investments258,702Permanent loss recorded in the fair value of available for sale investments (Note 3)(697,675)Gain (loss) on acquisition and sale of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 3)(12,95,568)Dilution gain in associated companies (Note 8)982,634Foreign exchange gain (loss)(159,862)Realized loss on sale of investments(287,204)Interest income and other (Note 7 and Note 18)16,328Impairment of accounts receivable-Writedown of goodwill (Note 12)(1,518,328)Gain (loss) on derecognition and sale of property and equipment (Note 6)10,723Loss before income taxes(4,122,814)Deferred income tax recovery (Note 14)1,439,332Loss for the year\$(2,683,482) \$	(1,716,848)	(1,334,845)
Less: recoveries(1,415,574)Net exploration expenditures4,999,959GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSESAdministrative and office721,645Depreciation (Note 6)114,489Investor relations and shareholder information274,966Professional fees510,533Salaries and consultants (Note 16)884,166Share-based payments (Note 15 and 16)467,939Transfer agent and filing fees71,561Total general and administrative expenses3,220,339Loss from operations(8,267,563)Change in fair value of fair value throught profit or loss investments258,702Permanent loss recorded in the fair value of available for sale investments (Note 3)(697,675)Gain (loss) on acquisition and sale of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)6,834,999Equity loss in associated companies (Note 8)(1295,568)Dilution gain in associated companies (Note 8)(158,862)Realized loss on sale of investments(287,204)Interest income and other (Note 7 and Note 18)16,328Impairment of royalty interest (Note 10)-Writedown of goodwill (Note 12)(1,518,328)Gain (loss) on derecognition and sale of property and equipment (Note 6)10,723Loss for the year\$(2,683,482) \$	(187,773)	801,836
Less: recoveries(1,415,574)Net exploration expenditures4,999,959GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES4dministrative and officeAdministrative and office721,645Depreciation (Note 6)114,489Investor relations and shareholder information274,966Professional fees510,533Salaries and consultants (Note 15)467,939Transfer agent and filing fees165,040Travel71,561Total general and administrative expenses3,220,339Loss from operations(8,267,563)Change in fair value of fair value throught profit or loss investments258,702Permanent loss recorded in the fair value of available for sale investments (Note 3)(697,675)Gain (loss) on acquisition and sale of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)6,834,999Equity loss in associated companies (Note 8)(1295,568)Dilution gain in associated companies (Note 8)(159,862)Realized loss on sale of investments(287,204)Interest income and other (Note 7 and Note 18)16,328Impairment of royalty interest (Note 10)-Writedown of goodwill (Note 12)(1,518,328)Gain (loss) on derecognition and sale of property and equipment (Note 6)10,723Loss for the year\$(2,683,482) \$	5,948,802	7,901,004
Net exploration expenditures4,999,959GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSESAdministrative and office721,645Depreciation (Note 6)114,489Investor relations and shareholder information274,966Professional fees510,533Salaries and consultants (Note 16)894,166Share-based payments (Note 15 and 16)467,939Transfer agent and filing fees165,040Travel71,561Total general and administrative expenses3,220,339Loss from operations(8,267,563)Change in fair value of fair value throught profit or loss investments258,702Permanent loss recorded in the fair value of available for sale investments (Note 3)(697,675)Gain (loss) on acquisition and sale of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)6,834,999Equity loss in associated companies (Note 8)982,634Foreign exchange gain (loss)(159,862)Realized loss on sale of investments(287,204)Interest income and other (Note 7 and Note 18)16,328Impairment of accounts receivable-Write-off of exploration and sale of property and equipment (Note 6)10,723Loss before income taxes(4,122,814)Deferred income tax recovery (Note 14)1,439,332Loss for the year\$(2,683,482) \$	(1,584,127)	
Administrative and office721,645Depreciation (Note 6)114,489Investor relations and shareholder information274,966Professional fees510,533Salaries and consultants (Note 16)894,166Share-based payments (Note 15 and 16)467,939Transfer agent and filing fees165,040Travel71,561Total general and administrative expenses3,220,339Loss from operations(8,267,563)Change in fair value of fair value throught profit or loss investments258,702Permanent loss recorded in the fair value of available for sale investments (Note 3)(697,675)Gain (loss) on acquisition and sale of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)6,834,999Equity loss in associated companies (Note 8)982,634Foreign exchange gain (loss)(159,862)Realized loss on sale of investments(287,204)Interest income and other (Note 7 and Note 18)16,328Impairment of accounts receivable-Write-off of exploration assets (Note 9)-Urgit for for yold will (Note 12)(1,518,328)Gain (loss) on derecognition and sale of property and equipment (Note 6)10,723Loss before income taxes(4,122,814)Deferred income tax recovery (Note 14)1,439,332Loss for the year\$(2,683,482) \$	4,364,675	
Administrative and office721,645Depreciation (Note 6)114,489Investor relations and shareholder information274,966Professional fees510,533Salaries and consultants (Note 16)894,166Share-based payments (Note 15 and 16)467,939Transfer agent and filing fees165,040Travel71,561Total general and administrative expenses3,220,339Loss from operations(8,267,563)Change in fair value of fair value throught profit or loss investments258,702Permanent loss recorded in the fair value of available for sale investments (Note 3)(697,675)Gain (loss) on acquisition and sale of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)6,834,999Equity loss in associated companies (Note 8)(1,295,568)Dilution gain in associated companies (Note 8)(159,862)Realized loss on sale of investments(287,204)Interest income and other (Note 7 and Note 18)16,328Impairment of accounts receivable-Write-off of exploration and sale of property and equipment (Note 6)10,723Loss before income taxes(4,122,814)Deferred income tax recovery (Note 14)1,439,332Loss for the year\$(2,683,482) \$		
Depreciation (Note 6)114,489Investor relations and shareholder information274,966Professional fees510,533Salaries and consultants (Note 16)894,166Share-based payments (Note 15 and 16)467,939Transfer agent and filing fees165,040Travel71,561Total general and administrative expenses3,220,339Loss from operations(8,267,563)Change in fair value of fair value throught profit or loss investments258,702Permanent loss recorded in the fair value of available for sale investments (Note 3)(697,675)Gain (loss) on acquisition and sale of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)6,834,999Equival loss in associated companies (Note 8)982,634Dilution gain in associated companies (Note 8)982,634Investments(1287,204)Interest income and other (Note 7 and Note 18)16,328Impairment of royalty interest (Note 10)-Write-off of exploration and sale of property and equipment (Note 6)-Impairment of accounts receivable-Writedown of goodwill (Note 12)(1,518,328)Gain (loss) on derecognition and sale of property and equipment (Note 6)10,723Loss for the year\$(2,683,482) \$	900,453	926,095
Investor relations and shareholder information274,966Professional fees510,533Salaries and consultants (Note 16)894,166Share-based payments (Note 15 and 16)467,939Transfer agent and filing fees165,040Travel71,561Total general and administrative expenses3,220,339Loss from operations(8,267,563)Change in fair value of fair value throught profit or loss investments258,702Permanent loss recorded in the fair value of available for sale investments (Note 3)(697,675)Gain (loss) on acquisition and sale of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)(8,834,999Equity loss in associated companies (Note 8)982,634Foreign exchange gain (loss)(159,862)Realized loss on sale of investments(287,204)Interest income and other (Note 7 and Note 18)16,328Impairment of acounts receivable-Write-off of exploration and sale of property and equipment (Note 6)10,723Loss before income taxes(4,122,814)Deferred income taxes(4,122,814)Loss for the year\$Ca,633,482) \$	116,119	
Professional fees510,533Salaries and consultants (Note 16)894,166Share-based payments (Note 15 and 16)467,939Transfer agent and filing fees165,040Travel71,561Total general and administrative expenses3,220,339Loss from operations(8,267,563)Change in fair value of fair value throught profit or loss investments258,702Permanent loss recorded in the fair value of available for sale investments (Note 3)(697,675)Gain (loss) on acquisition and sale of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)6,834,999Equity loss in associated companies (Note 8)(1,295,568)Dilution gain in associated companies (Note 8)(159,862)Realized loss on sale of investments(287,204)Interest income and other (Note 7 and Note 18)16,328Impairment of accounts receivable-Writedown of goodwill (Note 12)(1,518,328)Gain (Loss) on derecognition and sale of property and equipment (Note 6)10,723Loss before income taxes(4,122,814)Deferred income tax recovery (Note 14)1,439,332Loss for the year\$(2,683,482) \$	218,731	,
Salaries and consultants (Note 16)894,166Share-based payments (Note 15 and 16)467,939Transfer agent and filing fees165,040Travel71,561Total general and administrative expenses3,220,339Loss from operations(8,267,563)Change in fair value of fair value throught profit or loss investments258,702Permanent loss recorded in the fair value of available for sale investments (Note 3)(697,675)Gain (loss) on acquisition and sale of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)6,834,999Equity loss in associated companies (Note 8)(1,295,568)Dilution gain in associated companies (Note 8)(159,862)Realized loss on sale of investments(287,204)Interest income and other (Note 7 and Note 18)16,328Impairment of accounts receivable-Writeodow of goodwill (Note 12)(1,518,328)Gain (loss) on derecognition and sale of property and equipment (Note 6)10,723Loss for the year\$ (2,683,482)	574,067	,
Share-based payments (Note 15 and 16)467,939Transfer agent and filing fees165,040Travel71,561Total general and administrative expenses3,220,339Loss from operations(8,267,563)Change in fair value of fair value throught profit or loss investments258,702Permanent loss recorded in the fair value of available for sale investments (Note 3)(697,675)Gain (loss) on acquisition and sale of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)6,834,999Equity loss in associated companies (Note 8)(1,295,568)Dilution gain in associated companies (Note 8)(159,862)Realized loss on sale of investments(287,204)Interest income and other (Note 7 and Note 18)16,328Impairment of royalty interest (Note 10)-Write-off of exploration and sale of property and equipment (Note 6)10,723Loss before income taxes(4,122,814)Deferred income tax recovery (Note 14)1,439,332Loss for the year\$(2,683,482) \$	961,108	,
Transfer agent and filling fees165,040Travel71,561Total general and administrative expenses3,220,339Loss from operations(8,267,563)Change in fair value of fair value throught profit or loss investments258,702Permanent loss recorded in the fair value of available for sale investments (Note 3)(697,675)Gain (Loss) on acquisition and sale of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)6,834,999Equity loss in associated companies (Note 8)(1,295,568)Dilution gain in associated companies (Note 8)(159,862)Realized loss on sale of investments(287,204)Interest income and other (Note 7 and Note 18)16,328Impairment of royalty interest (Note 10)-Write-off of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)-Uritedown of goodwill (Note 12)(1,518,328)Gain (loss) on derecognition and sale of property and equipment (Note 6)10,723Loss before income taxes(4,122,814)Deferred income tax recovery (Note 14)1,439,332Loss for the year\$(2,683,482) \$	470,116	
Travel71,561Total general and administrative expenses3,220,339Loss from operations(8,267,563)Change in fair value of fair value throught profit or loss investments258,702Permanent loss recorded in the fair value of available for sale investments (Note 3)(697,675)Gain (loss) on acquisition and sale of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)6,834,999Equity loss in associated companies (Note 8)(1,295,568)Dilution gain in associated companies (Note 8)982,634Foreign exchange gain (loss)(159,862)Realized loss on sale of investments(287,204)Interest income and other (Note 7 and Note 18)16,328Impairment of royalty interest (Note 10)-Write-off of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)-United own of goodwill (Note 12)(1,518,328)Gain (loss) on derecognition and sale of property and equipment (Note 6)10,723Loss before income taxes(4,122,814)Deferred income tax recovery (Note 14)1,439,332Loss for the year\$(2,683,482) \$	107,566	
Total general and administrative expenses3,220,339Loss from operations(8,267,563)Change in fair value of fair value throught profit or loss investments258,702Permanent loss recorded in the fair value of available for sale investments (Note 3)(697,675)Gain (loss) on acquisition and sale of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)6,834,999Equity loss in associated companies (Note 8)(1,295,568)Dilution gain in associated companies (Note 8)982,634Foreign exchange gain (loss)(159,862)Realized loss on sale of investments(287,204)Interest income and other (Note 7 and Note 18)16,328Impairment of royalty interest (Note 10)-Write-off of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)-Unitedown of goodwill (Note 12)(1,518,328)Gain (loss) on derecognition and sale of property and equipment (Note 6)10,723Loss before income taxes(4,122,814)Deferred income tax recovery (Note 14)1,439,332Loss for the year\$(2,683,482) \$	187,374	
Loss from operations(8,267,563)Change in fair value of fair value throught profit or loss investments258,702Permanent loss recorded in the fair value of available for sale investments (Note 3)(697,675)Gain (loss) on acquisition and sale of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)6,834,999Equity loss in associated companies (Note 8)(1,295,568)Dilution gain in associated companies (Note 8)982,634Foreign exchange gain (loss)(159,862)Realized loss on sale of investments(287,204)Interest income and other (Note 7 and Note 18)16,328Impairment of royalty interest (Note 10)-Write-off of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)-Unitedown of goodwill (Note 12)(1,518,328)Gain (loss) on derecognition and sale of property and equipment (Note 6)10,723Loss before income taxes(4,122,814)Deferred income tax recovery (Note 14)1,439,332Loss for the year\$(2,683,482) \$	3,535,534	· · ·
Change in fair value of fair value throught profit or loss investments258,702Permanent loss recorded in the fair value of available for sale investments (Note 3)(697,675)Gain (loss) on acquisition and sale of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)6,834,999Equity loss in associated companies (Note 8)(1,295,568)Dilution gain in associated companies (Note 8)982,634Foreign exchange gain (loss)(159,862)Realized loss on sale of investments(287,204)Interest income and other (Note 7 and Note 18)16,328Impairment of royalty interest (Note 10)-Write-off of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)-Impairment of accounts receivable-Writedown of goodwill (Note 12)(1,518,328)Gain (loss) on derecognition and sale of property and equipment (Note 6)10,723Loss before income taxes(4,122,814)Deferred income tax recovery (Note 14)1,439,332	, ,	,
Permanent loss recorded in the fair value of available for sale investments (Note 3)(697,675)Gain (loss) on acquisition and sale of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)6,834,999Equity loss in associated companies (Note 8)(1,295,568)Dilution gain in associated companies (Note 8)982,634Foreign exchange gain (loss)(159,862)Realized loss on sale of investments(287,204)Interest income and other (Note 7 and Note 18)16,328Impairment of royalty interest (Note 10)-Write-off of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)-Impairment of accounts receivable-Writedown of goodwill (Note 12)(1,518,328)Gain (loss) on derecognition and sale of property and equipment (Note 6)10,723Loss before income taxes(4,122,814)Deferred income tax recovery (Note 14)1,439,332	(8,087,982)	(8,681,619)
Gain (loss) on acquisition and sale of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)6,834,999Equity loss in associated companies (Note 8)(1,295,568)Dilution gain in associated companies (Note 8)982,634Foreign exchange gain (loss)(159,862)Realized loss on sale of investments(287,204)Interest income and other (Note 7 and Note 18)16,328Impairment of royalty interest (Note 10)-Write-off of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)-Impairment of accounts receivable-Writedown of goodwill (Note 12)(1,518,328)Gain (loss) on derecognition and sale of property and equipment (Note 6)10,723Loss before income taxes(4,122,814)Deferred income tax recovery (Note 14)1,439,332Loss for the year\$ (2,683,482) \$	(427,022)	(254,637)
Equity loss in associated companies (Note 8)(1,295,568)Dilution gain in associated companies (Note 8)982,634Foreign exchange gain (loss)(159,862)Realized loss on sale of investments(287,204)Interest income and other (Note 7 and Note 18)16,328Impairment of royalty interest (Note 10)-Write-off of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)-Impairment of goodwill (Note 12)(1,518,328)Gain (loss) on derecognition and sale of property and equipment (Note 6)10,723Loss before income taxes(4,122,814)Deferred income tax recovery (Note 14)1,439,332Loss for the year\$(2,683,482) \$	-	
Dilution gain in associated companies (Note 8)982,634Foreign exchange gain (loss)(159,862)Realized loss on sale of investments(287,204)Interest income and other (Note 7 and Note 18)16,328Impairment of royalty interest (Note 10)-Write-off of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)-Impairment of accounts receivable-Writedown of goodwill (Note 12)(1,518,328)Gain (loss) on derecognition and sale of property and equipment (Note 6)10,723Loss before income taxes(4,122,814)Deferred income tax recovery (Note 14)1,439,332Loss for the year\$ (2,683,482) \$	5,393,305	(154,533)
Foreign exchange gain (loss)(159,862)Realized loss on sale of investments(287,204)Interest income and other (Note 7 and Note 18)16,328Impairment of royalty interest (Note 10)-Write-off of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)-Impairment of accounts receivable-Writedown of goodwill (Note 12)(1,518,328)Gain (loss) on derecognition and sale of property and equipment (Note 6)10,723Loss before income taxes(4,122,814)Deferred income tax recovery (Note 14)1,439,332Loss for the year\$ (2,683,482) \$	(1,062,146)	(1,086,649)
Realized loss on sale of investments(287,204)Interest income and other (Note 7 and Note 18)16,328Impairment of royalty interest (Note 10)-Write-off of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9)-Impairment of accounts receivable-Writedown of goodwill (Note 12)(1,518,328)Gain (Ioss) on derecognition and sale of property and equipment (Note 6)10,723Loss before income taxes(4,122,814)Deferred income tax recovery (Note 14)1,439,332Loss for the year\$ (2,683,482) \$	-	
Interest income and other (Note 7 and Note 18) 16,328 Impairment of royalty interest (Note 10) - Write-off of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9) - Impairment of accounts receivable - Writedown of goodwill (Note 12) (1,518,328) Gain (loss) on derecognition and sale of property and equipment (Note 6) 10,723 Loss before income taxes (4,122,814) Deferred income tax recovery (Note 14) 1,439,332 Loss for the year \$ (2,683,482) \$	1,220,085	(335,208)
Impairment of royalty interest (Note 10) - Write-off of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9) - Impairment of accounts receivable - Writedown of goodwill (Note 12) (1,518,328) Gain (loss) on derecognition and sale of property and equipment (Note 6) 10,723 Loss before income taxes (4,122,814) Deferred income tax recovery (Note 14) 1,439,332 Loss for the year \$ (2,683,482) \$	(58,360)	(19,049)
Write-off of exploration and evaluation assets (Note 9) - Impairment of accounts receivable - Writedown of goodwill (Note 12) (1,518,328) Gain (loss) on derecognition and sale of property and equipment (Note 6) 10,723 Loss before income taxes (4,122,814) Deferred income tax recovery (Note 14) 1,439,332 Loss for the year \$ (2,683,482) \$	(172,168)	83,829
Impairment of accounts receivable - Writedown of goodwill (Note 12) (1,518,328) Gain (loss) on derecognition and sale of property and equipment (Note 6) 10,723 Loss before income taxes (4,122,814) Deferred income tax recovery (Note 14) 1,439,332 Loss for the year \$ (2,683,482) \$	(3,973,699)	(7,371,765)
Writedown of goodwill (Note 12) (1,518,328) Gain (loss) on derecognition and sale of property and equipment (Note 6) 10,723 Loss before income taxes (4,122,814) Deferred income tax recovery (Note 14) 1,439,332 Loss for the year \$ (2,683,482) \$	(56,085)	(707,567)
Gain (loss) on derecognition and sale of property and equipment (Note 6) 10,723 Loss before income taxes (4,122,814) Deferred income tax recovery (Note 14) 1,439,332 Loss for the year \$ (2,683,482) \$	(51,302)	-
Loss before income taxes(4,122,814)Deferred income tax recovery (Note 14)1,439,332Loss for the year\$ (2,683,482) \$	(3,047,605)	(2,248,057)
Deferred income tax recovery (Note 14) 1,439,332 Loss for the year \$ (2,683,482) \$	15,892	(29,257)
Deferred income tax recovery (Note 14) 1,439,332 Loss for the year \$ (2,683,482) \$	(10,307,087)	(20,804,512)
	3,431,230	
Basic and diluted loss per share \$ (0.04) \$	\$ (6,875,857)	\$ (17,448,041)
······································	\$ (0.09)	\$ (0.24)
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding 73,874,415	73,480,833	73,154,139

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE LOSS (Expressed in Canadian Dollars)

	Yea	ar ended December	Year ended December	Year ended December
		31, 2016	31, 2015	31, 2014
Loss for the year	\$	(2,683,482)	\$ (6,875,857) \$	(17,448,041)
Other comprehensive income (loss)				
Change in fair value of available-for-sale investments		88,515	(105,714)	(400,476)
Permanent loss on financial instruments		697,675	-	-
Currency translation adjustment		(862,335)	4,350,667	3,585,937
Comprehensive loss for the year	\$	(2,759,627)	\$ (2,630,904) \$	(14,262,580)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Expressed in Canadian Dollars)

		Year ended	Year ended	Year ended
	Dece	mber 31, 2016	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Cash flows from operating activities				
Loss for the year	\$	(2,683,482)	\$ (6,875,857)	\$ (17,448,041)
Items not affecting operating activities:				
Interest income received		(5,590)	(22,270)	(83,829)
Unrealized foreign exchange effect on cash and cash equivalents		(71,562)	290,504	159,158
Items not affecting cash:				
Change in fair value of fair value throught profit or loss investments		(258,702)	427,022	254,637
Commitment to issue shares		27,462	66,089	376,549
Permanent loss on financial instruments		697,675	-	-
Interest on convertible loan		(58,342)	(53,222)	-
Accretion interest on long term receivable		(72,806)	-	-
Derivative loss on accounts receivable		120,900	-	-
Share - based payments		943,334	476,424	857,936
Deferred income tax recovery		(1,439,332)	(3,431,230)	(3,356,471)
Depreciation		136,200	150,782	187,714
Depletion		2,163,221	1,716,848	1,334,845
Impairment of royalty interest		-	3,973,699	7,371,765
Writedown of goodwill		1,518,328	3,047,605	2,248,057
Impairment of receivables		-	51,302	-
Realized loss on sale of investments		287,204	58,360	19,049
Gain on acquistion and sale of exploration and evalution assets		(6,834,999)	(5,393,305)	-
(Gain) loss on derecognition and sale of property and equipment		(10,723)	(15,892)	167,008
Write-off of exploration and evaluation assets		-	56,086	707,567
Equity loss in associated companies		1,295,568	1,062,146	1,086,649
Dilution gain in associated companies		(982,634)	-	-
Unrealized foreign exchange (gain) loss		(67,249)	(466,587)	641,110
Shares received from joint venture partners included in exploration recoveries		(134,738)	(115,000)	(33,000)
Changes in non-cash working capital items:				
Receivables		(6,343)	101,200	737,698
Prepaid expenses		3,848	21,366	61,047
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		(86,317)	83,056	(90,794)
Advances from joint venture partners		203,536	(291,350)	19,402
Total cash used in operating activities		(5,315,543)	(5,082,224)	(4,781,944)
		(3,313,343)	(3,002,224)	(4,701,344)
Cash flows from investing activities				
Acquisition and sale of exploration and evaluation assets, net option payments				
received		3,005,280	5,297,357	(56 <i>,</i> 085)
Interest received on cash and cash equivalents		5,590	22,270	83,829
Convertible note receivable		(542,622)	(973,236)	-
Proceeds from sale of fair value through profit and loss investments, net		130,737	136,263	242,252
Proceeds (purchase) of available-for-sale financial instruments		17,375	-	(500,000)
Purchase of investments in associated companies		-	-	(1,063,036)
Restricted cash		(89,402)	-	(25 <i>,</i> 529)
Purchase and sale of property and equipment, net		(16,999)	2,403	79,463
Reclamation bonds		171,307	71,964	(52,553)
Total cash provided by (used in) investing activities		2,681,266	4,557,021	(1,291,659)
Cash flows from financing activities				
Proceeds from exercise of options		127,800	-	-
Total cash provided by financing activities		127,800	-	-
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents		71,562	(290,504)	(159,158)
Change in cash and cash equivalents		(2,434,915)	(815,707)	(6,232,761)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning		5,634,601	6,450,308	12,683,069
Cash and cash equivalents, ending	\$	3,199,686	\$ 5,634,601	\$ 6,450,308

Supplemental disclosure with respect to cash flows (Note 19)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (Expressed in Canadian Dollars)

						R	eserves			
	Number of		Constant at a de		ommitment	Share-based	Accumulated other comprehensive gain		D-fi-it	Tetel
	common shares		Capital stock	το		payments	(loss)		Deficit	Total
Balance as at December 31, 2015	73,534,710	Ş	117,000,052	Ş	139,138	\$10,362,229	\$ 10,125,295	Ş	(94,305,878) \$	43,320,836
Shares issued as incentive stock grants	140,000		166,600		(166,600)	-	-		-	-
Shares issued for exercise of options	165,000		127,800		-	-	-		-	127,800
Shares issued for property	250,000		145,000		-	-	-		-	145,000
Commitment to issue shares	-		-		27,462	-	-		-	27,462
Equity investment share-based payments	-		-		-	366,800	-		-	366,800
Share - based payments	-		-		-	943,334	-		-	943,334
Reclass of reserves on exercise of options	-		65,133		-	(65,133)	-		-	-
Foreign currency translation adjustment	-		-		-	-	(862,335)		-	(862,335)
Change in fair value of financial instruments	-		-		-	-	88,515		-	88,515
Permanent loss on financial instruments	-		-		-	-	697,675		-	697 <i>,</i> 675
Loss for the year	-		-		-	-	-		(2,683,482)	(2,683,482)
Balance as at December 31, 2016	74,089,710	\$	117,504,585	\$	-	\$11,607,230	\$ 10,049,150	\$	(96,989,360) \$	42,171,605

Reserves Accumulated other Number of Commitment Share-based comprehensive gain common shares Capital stock to issue shares payments (loss) Deficit Total Balance as at December 31, 2014 73,371,710 \$ 116,766,102 \$ 306,999 \$ 9,562,905 \$ 5,880,342 \$ (87,430,021) \$ 45,086,327 163,000 Shares issued as incentive stock grants 233,950 (233,950) -66,089 66,089 Commitment to issue shares _ _ ---322,900 Equity investment share-based payments 322,900 Share - based payments 476,424 476,424 -Foreign currency translation adjustment 4,350,667 4,350,667 Change in fair value of financial instruments (105,714) (105,714)-_ Loss for the year (6,875,857) (6,875,857) Balance as at December 31, 2015 73,534,710 \$ 117,000,052 \$ 139,138 \$10,362,229 \$ 10,125,295 \$ (94,305,878) \$ 43,320,836

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (Expressed in Canadian Dollars)

					R	Rese	rves		
				-		Α	ccumulated other		
	Number of		Co	ommitment	Share-based	со	mprehensive gain		
	common shares	Capital stock	to	issue shares	payments		(loss)	Deficit	Total
Balance as at December 31, 2013	72,980,209	\$ 116,151,675	\$	544,877	\$ 8,569,269	\$	2,694,881	\$ (69,981,980)	\$ 57,978,722
Shares issued as incentive stock grants	391,501	614,427		(614,427)	-		-	-	-
Commitment to issue shares	-	-		376,549	-		-	-	376,549
Equity investment share-based payments	-	-		-	135,700		-	-	135,700
Share - based payments	-	-		-	857,936		-	-	857,936
Foreign currency translation adjustment	-	-		-	-		3,585,937	-	3,585,937
Change in fair value of financial instruments	-	-		-	-		(400,476)	-	(400,476)
Loss for the year	-	-		-	-		-	(17,448,041)	(17,448,041)
Balance as at December 31, 2014	73,371,710	\$ 116,766,102	\$	306,999	\$ 9,562,905	\$	5,880,342	\$ (87,430,021)	\$ 45,086,327

1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND GOING CONCERN

Eurasian Minerals Inc. (the "Company" or "Eurasian" or "EMX") and its subsidiaries operates as a royalty and prospect generator engaged in the exploring for, and generating royalties from, metals and minerals properties. The Company's royalty and exploration portfolio mainly consists of properties in North America, Turkey, Europe, Australia, and New Zealand. The Company's common shares are listed on the TSX Venture Exchange ("TSX-V") and on the NYSE MKT under the symbol of "EMX". The Company's head office is located at 501 - 543 Granville Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6C 1X8.

These consolidated financial statements have been prepared using International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") applicable to a going concern, which assumes that the Company will be able to realize its assets, discharge its liabilities and continue in operation for the following twelve months.

Management estimates it has sufficient funding for operations for the ensuing year, which results in the going concern assumption being an appropriate underlying concept for the preparation of these consolidated financial statements.

Some of the Company's activities for exploration and evaluation assets are located in emerging nations and, consequently, may be subject to a higher level of risk compared to other developed countries. Operations, the status of mineral property rights and the recoverability of investments in emerging nations can be affected by changing economic, legal, regulatory and political situations.

At the date of these consolidated financial statements, the Company has not identified a known body of commercial grade mineral on any of its exploration and evaluation assets. The ability of the Company to realize the costs it has incurred to date on these exploration and evaluation assets is dependent upon the Company identifying a commercial mineral body, to finance its development costs and to resolve any environmental, regulatory or other constraints which may hinder the successful development of the exploration and evaluation assets.

These consolidated financial statements of the Company are presented in Canadian dollars unless otherwise noted, which is the functional currency of the parent company and its subsidiaries except as to Bullion Monarch Mining, Inc., the holder of a royalty income stream whose functional currency is the United States ("US") dollar.

2. STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Statement of Compliance

These consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with IFRS as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB") and interpretations of the International Financial Reporting Interpretations Committee ("IFRIC").

These consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a historical cost basis, except for financial instruments classified as fair value through profit or loss or available for sale, which are stated at their fair value. In addition, these consolidated financial statements have been prepared using the accrual basis of accounting except for cash flow information.

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements comprise the accounts of Eurasian, the parent company, and its controlled subsidiaries, after the elimination of all significant intercompany balances and transactions.

Basis of Consolidation (Continued)

Subsidiaries

Subsidiaries are all entities over which the Company has exposure to variable returns from its involvement and has the ability to use power over the investee to affect its returns. The existence and effect of potential voting rights that are currently exercisable or convertible are considered when assessing whether the Company controls another entity. Subsidiaries are fully consolidated from the date on which control is transferred to the Company until the date on which control ceases.

The accounts of subsidiaries are prepared for the same reporting period as the parent company, using consistent accounting policies. Inter-company transactions, balances and unrealized gains or losses on transactions are eliminated. The Company's principal operating subsidiaries are as follows:

Name	Place of Incorporation	Ownership Percentage
Bullion Monarch Mining, Inc	Utah, USA	100%
EMX (USA) Services Corp.	Nevada, USA	100%
Bronco Creek Exploration Inc.	Arizona, USA	100%
Eurasia Madencilik Ltd. Sirketi	Turkey	100%
Azur Madencilik Ltd. Sirketi	Turkey	100%
Eurasian Minerals Cooperatief U.A.	Netherlands	100%
Eurasian Minerals Sweden AB	Sweden	100%
EMX Exploration Scandinavia AB	Sweden	100%
Viad Royalties AB	Sweden	100%
Iekevare Minerals AB	Sweden	100%
Waikato Gold Limited	New Zealand	100%
EMX Australia Pty Ltd.	Australia	100%

Functional and Reporting Currency

The functional currency is the currency of the primary economic environment in which the entity operates. The functional currency for the Company and its subsidiaries is the Canadian dollar except the functional currency of the operations of Bullion Monarch which is the US dollar. The functional currency determinations were conducted through an analysis of the consideration factors identified in IAS 21, The Effects of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates.

Translation of transactions and balances

Foreign currency transactions are translated into the functional currency using the exchange rates prevailing at the dates of the transactions or valuation where items are re-measured. Monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are re-measured at the rate of exchange at each financial position date. Foreign exchange gains and losses resulting from the settlement of such transactions and from the translation at period end exchange rates of monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are recognized in profit or loss.

On translation of the entities whose functional currency is other than the Canadian dollar, revenues and expenses are translated at the exchange rates approximating those in effect on the date of the transactions. Assets and liabilities are translated at the rate of exchange at the reporting date. Exchange gains and losses, including results of re-translation, are recorded in the foreign currency translation reserve.

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Financial Instruments

All financial instruments are classified into one of the following four categories:

a) Financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss ("FVTPL")

Financial assets and financial liabilities classified as FVTPL are acquired or incurred principally for the purpose of selling or repurchasing them in the near term. They are recognized at fair value based on market prices, with any resulting gains and losses reflected in profit or loss for the period in which they arise.

b) Held-to-maturity financial assets

Held-to-maturity financial assets are non-derivative financial assets with fixed or determinable payments and fixed maturity that an entity has the positive intention and ability to hold to maturity. They are measured at amortized cost using the effective interest rate method less any impairment loss. A gain or loss is recognized in profit or loss when the financial asset is derecognized or impaired, and through the amortization process.

c) Available for sale financial assets

Available for sale ("AFS") financial assets are non-derivative financial assets that are designated as available for sale, or that are not classified as loans and receivables, held-to-maturity investments, or FVTPL. They are measured at fair value. Fair value is determined based on market prices. Equity instruments that do not have a quoted market price in an active market are measured at cost. Gains and losses are recognized directly in other comprehensive income (loss) until the financial asset is derecognized, at which time the cumulative gain or loss previously recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) is recognized in profit or loss for the period.

d) Loans and receivables and other financial liabilities

Loans and receivables and other financial liabilities are measured at amortized cost, using the effective interest rate method less any impairment loss.

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, investments, receivables, restricted cash, reclamation bonds, convertible notes receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, and advances from joint venture partners. Unless otherwise noted the fair value of these financial instruments approximates their carrying values.

Cash and cash equivalents are classified as loans and receivables. Cash equivalents are held for the purpose of meeting short-term cash commitments rather than for investment or other purposes.

Warrants held through investments are classified as derivative financial assets at FVTPL and are accounted for at fair value. For warrants that are not traded on an exchange, no market value is readily available. When there are sufficient and reliable observable market inputs, a valuation technique is used; if no such market inputs are available, the warrants are valued at intrinsic value, which is equal to the higher of the market value of the underlying security less the exercise price of the warrant, or zero.

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Investments (Marketable securities) are classified as FVTPL and are measured at fair market value. Marketable securities transferred to the Company as part of an acquisition are classified as AFS and are carried at fair market value. Changes in fair value of FVTPL assets are reflected in profit or loss in the period in which they occur. Changes in fair value of AFS assets are reflected in accumulated other comprehensive income on the statement of financial position until sold or if there is an other than temporary impairment in value.

Reclamation bonds are classified as financial assets held-to-maturity.

The Company classifies its restricted cash and certain receivables as loans and receivables and its accounts payable and accrued liabilities and advances from joint venture partners as other financial liabilities.

Certain receivables related to the sale of Akarca (Note 4) are considered to be derivative financial assets as they are subject to variations in gold price per ounce on record date and final price received and are accordingly classified as FVTPL. The derivative receivable is recorded at fair value each period until final settlement occurs, with changes in fair value reflected in profit or loss for the period in which they arise.

Impairment of Financial Assets

Financial assets are assessed for indicators of impairment at the end of each reporting period. Financial assets are impaired when there is objective evidence that, as a result of one or more events that occurred after the initial recognition of the financial assets, the estimated future cash flows of the financial assets have been impacted.

For all financial assets, objective evidence of impairment could include:

- Significant financial difficulty of the issuer or counterparty;
- Default or delinquency in interest or principal payments; or,
- It becoming probable that the borrower will enter bankruptcy or financial re-organization.

For certain categories of financial assets that are assessed not to be impaired individually, they are subsequently assessed for impairment on a collective basis. The carrying amount of financial assets is reduced by the impairment loss directly for all financial assets with the exception of receivables, where the carrying amount is reduced through the use of an allowance account. When a receivable is considered uncollectible, it is written off against the allowance account. Subsequent recoveries of amounts previously written off are credited against the allowance account. Changes in the carrying amount of the allowance account are recognized in profit or loss.

With the exception of FVTPL marketable securities, if in a subsequent period, the amount of the impairment loss decreases and the decrease can be related objectively to an event occurring after the impairment was recognized, the previously recognized impairment loss is reversed through profit or loss to the extent that the carrying amount of the investment at the date the impairment is reversed does not exceed what the amortized cost would have been had the impairment not been recognized. In respect of AFS marketable securities, impairment losses previously recognized through profit or loss are not reversed through profit or loss. Any increase in fair value subsequent to an impairment loss is recognized directly in equity.

Convertible Notes Receivable

The notes receivable are hybrid financial assets that consist of a note receivable component and a separate equity conversion component. The notes receivable are measured at fair value on initial recognition by discounting the stream of future interest and principal payments at the rate of interest prevailing at the date of the issue for instruments of similar

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

term and risk. Interest income based on the rate of the note and the accretion of the additional interest to the amount that will be receivable on maturity are recognized through profit and loss as interest income. The equity conversion option is an embedded derivative that has been separated from the notes receivable and is valued based on residual value.

The embedded derivative is not revalued subsequent to initial measurement unless terms of the original loan are changed.

Investments in Associated Companies

The Company accounts for its long-term investments in affiliated companies over which it has significant influence on the equity basis of accounting, whereby the investment is initially recorded at cost, adjusted to recognize the Company's share of earnings or losses and reduced by dividends received.

The Company assesses its equity investments for impairment if there is objective evidence of impairment as a result of one or more events that occurred after the initial recognition of the equity investment and that the event or events has an impact on the estimated future cash flow of the investment that can be reliably estimated. Objective evidence of impairment of equity investments includes:

- Significant financial difficulty of the associated companies;
- Becoming probable that the associated companies will enter bankruptcy or other financial reorganization; or,
- National or local economic conditions that correlate with defaults of the associated companies.

Exploration and evaluation assets and exploration expenditures

Acquisition costs for exploration and evaluation assets, net of recoveries, are capitalized on a property-by-property basis. Acquisition costs include cash consideration and the value of common shares, based on recent issue prices, issued for exploration and evaluation assets pursuant to the terms of the agreement. Exploration expenditures, net of recoveries, are charged to operations as incurred. After a property is determined by management to be commercially feasible, an impairment test is conducted and subsequent development expenditures on the property will be capitalized.

When there is little prospect of further work on a property being carried out by the Company or its partners, when a property is abandoned, or when the capitalized costs are no longer considered recoverable, the related property costs are written down to management's estimate of their net recoverable amount. The costs related to a property from which there is production, together with the costs of production equipment, will be depleted and amortized using the unit-of-production method.

An exploration and evaluation asset acquired under an option agreement, where payments are made at the sole discretion of the Company, is capitalized at the time of payment. Option payments received are treated as a reduction of the carrying value of the related acquisition cost for the mineral property until the payments are in excess of acquisition costs, at which time they are then credited to profit or loss. Option payments are at the discretion of the optionee and, accordingly, are accounted for when receipt is reasonably assured.

Revenue recognition

The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with *IAS 18 Revenue* and based upon amounts contractually due pursuant to the underlying royalty agreements. Specifically, royalty revenue is recognized in accordance with the terms of the underlying royalty agreements subject to (i) when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (ii) the risks and rewards having been transferred; (iii) the royalty or stream being fixed or determinable; and (iv) the collectability of the royalty being reasonably assured. In some instances, the Company will not have access to sufficient information to make a

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

reasonable estimate of revenue and, accordingly, revenue recognition is deferred until management can make a reasonable estimate. Royalty revenue may be subject to adjustment upon final settlement of estimated metal prices, weights, and assays. Adjustments to revenue from metal prices are recorded monthly and other adjustments are recorded on final settlement and are offset against revenue when incurred.

Royalty interests

Royalty interests in mineral properties include acquired royalty interests in production stage and exploration stage properties. In accordance with *IAS 38 Intangible Assets*, the cost of acquired royalty interests in mineral properties is capitalized as intangible assets.

Acquisition costs of production stage royalty interests are depleted using the units of production method over the life of the related mineral property, which is calculated using estimated reserves. Acquisition costs of royalty interests on exploration stage mineral properties, where there are no estimated reserves, are not amortized. At such time as the associated exploration stage mineral interests are converted to estimated reserves, the cost basis is amortized over the remaining life of the mineral property, using the estimated reserves. The carrying values of exploration stage mineral interests are evaluated for impairment at such time as information becomes available indicating that production will not occur in the future.

Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of the price paid for the acquisition of a consolidated entity over the fair value of the net identifiable tangible and intangible assets and liabilities acquired in a business combination. Goodwill is allocated to the cash generating unit to which it relates.

Goodwill is evaluated for impairment annually or more often if events or circumstances indicate there may be impairment. Impairment is determined by assessing if the carrying value of a cash generating unit, including the allocated goodwill, exceeds its recoverable amount.

Property and equipment

Property and equipment is recorded at cost. Building is depreciated using a 5 year straightline method. Equipment is depreciated over its estimated useful life using the declining balance method at a rate of 20% per annum. Depreciation on equipment used directly on exploration projects is included in exploration expenditures for that mineral property.

Decommissioning liabilities

Decommissioning liabilities are recognized for the expected obligations related to the retirement of long-lived tangible assets that arise from the acquisition, construction, development or normal operation of such assets. A decommissioning liability is recognized in the period in which it is incurred and when a reasonable estimate of the fair value of the liability can be made with a corresponding decommissioning cost recognized by increasing the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset. The decommissioning cost is subsequently allocated in a rational and systematic method over the underlying asset's useful life. The initial fair value of the liability is accreted, by charges to profit or loss, to its estimated future value.

Environmental disturbance restoration

During the operating life of an asset, events such as infractions of environmental laws or regulations may occur. These events are not related to the normal operation of the asset and are referred to as environmental disturbance restoration

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

provisions. The costs associated with these provisions are accrued and charged to profit or loss in the period in which the event giving rise to the liability occurs. Any subsequent adjustments to these provisions due to changes in estimates are also charged to profit or loss in the period of adjustment. These costs are not capitalized as part of the long-lived assets' carrying value.

Impairment of assets

Events or changes in circumstances can give rise to significant impairment charges or reversals of impairment in a particular year. The Company assesses its cash generating units annually to determine whether any indication of impairment exists. Where an indicator of impairment exists, an estimate of the recoverable amount is made, which is the higher of the fair value less costs to sell and value in use. The determination of the recoverable amount for value in use requires the use of estimates and assumptions such as long-term commodity prices, discount rates, future capital requirements, exploration potential and future operating performance. Fair value is determined as the amount that would be obtained from the sale of the asset in an arm's length transaction between knowledgeable and willing parties.

Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, bank deposits and short-term, highly liquid investments that are readily convertible to known amounts of cash.

Share-based payments

Share-based payments include option and stock grants granted to directors, employees and non-employees. The Company accounts for share-based compensation using a fair value based method with respect to all share-based payments measured and recognized, to directors, employees and non-employees. For directors and employees, the fair value of the options and stock grants is measured at the date of grant. For non-employees, the fair value of the options and stock grants is measured on the earlier of the date at which the counterparty performance is complete, or the date the performance commitment is reached, or the date at which the equity instruments are granted if they are fully vested and non-forfeitable. For directors, employees and non-employees, the fair value of the options, and stock grants is accrued and charged to operations, with the offsetting credit to share based payment reserve for options, and commitment to issue shares for stock grants over the vesting period. If and when the stock options are exercised, the applicable amounts are transferred from share-based payment reserve to share capital. Option based compensation awards are calculated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model while stock grants are valued at the fair value on the date of grant.

Income taxes

Income tax expense consists of current and deferred tax. Income tax expense is recognized in profit or loss except to the extent that it relates to items recognized directly in equity. Current tax is the expected tax payable on the taxable income for the year, using tax rates enacted or substantively enacted at the reporting date, and any adjustment to tax payable in respect of previous years. Deferred tax is calculated providing for temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for taxation purposes.

Deferred tax is not recognized on the initial recognition of assets or liabilities in a transaction that is not a business combination and that affects neither accounting nor taxable income nor loss. In addition, deferred tax is not recognized for taxable temporary differences arising on the initial recognition of goodwill. Deferred tax is measured at the tax rates that are expected to be applied to temporary differences when they reverse, based on the laws that have been enacted or substantively enacted at the reporting date.

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are offset if there is a legally enforceable right to offset, and they relate to income taxes levied by the same tax authority on the same taxable entity, or on different tax entities, but they intend to settle current tax liabilities and assets on a net basis or their tax assets and liabilities will be realized simultaneously.

A deferred tax asset is recognized to the extent that it is probable that future taxable income will be available against which the temporary difference can be utilized. Deferred tax assets are reviewed at each reporting date and are reduced to the extent that it is no longer probable that the related tax benefit will be realized.

Income (loss) per share

Basic income or loss per share is calculated by dividing the net income or loss for the year by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the year. Diluted income or loss per share is calculated whereby the weighted average number of shares outstanding used in the calculation of diluted income or loss per share assumes that the deemed proceeds received from the exercise of stock options, share purchase warrants and their equivalents would be used to repurchase common shares of the Company at the average market price during the year, if they are determined to have a dilutive effect.

Existing stock options and share purchase warrants have not been included in the current year computation of diluted loss per share as to do so would be anti-dilutive. For the years presented the basic and diluted losses per share are the same.

Valuation of equity units issued in private placements

The Company has adopted a residual value method with respect to the measurement of shares and warrants issued as private placement units. The residual value method first allocates value to the more easily measurable component based on fair value and then the residual value, if any, to the less easily measurable component.

The fair value of the common shares issued in the private placements was determined to be the more easily measurable component and were valued at their fair value, as determined by the closing quoted bid price on the day prior to the issuance date. The balance, if any, was allocated to the attached warrants. Any fair value attributed to the warrants is recorded in reserves.

Segment reporting

Operating segments are reported in a manner consistent with the internal reporting provided to the chief operating decision-maker. The chief operating decision-maker, who is responsible for allocating resources and assessing performance of the operating segment, has been identified as the Chief Executive Officer.

Classification of investments as subsidiaries, joint ventures, associated company and portfolio investments

Classification of investments requires judgement as to whether the Company controls, has joint control of or significant influence over the strategic financial and operating decisions relating to the activity of the investee. In assessing the level of control or influence that the Company has over an investment, management considers ownership percentages, board representation as well as other relevant provisions in shareholder agreements. If an investor holds 20% or more of the voting power of the investee, it is presumed that the investor has significant influence, unless it can be clearly demonstrated that this is not the case. Conversely, if the investor holds less than 20% of the voting power of the investee, it is presumed that influence, unless used influence can be clearly demonstrated.

Accounting pronouncements not yet effective

The following standards and pronouncements have been issued by the IASB and have not yet been adopted by the Company. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the new and amended standards are expected to have on its consolidated financial statements.

IFRS 9 requires financial assets to be classified into three measurement categories on initial recognition: those measured at fair value through profit and loss, those measured at fair value through other comprehensive income and those measured at amortized cost. Measurement and classification of financial assets is dependent on the entity's business model for managing the financial assets and the contractual cash flow characteristics of the financial asset. For financial liabilities, the standard retains most of the IAS 39 requirements.

In May 2014, the IASB issued IFRS 15 Revenue from Contracts with Customers ("IFRS 15"), which supersedes IAS 11 Construction Contracts, IAS 18 Revenue, IFRIC 13 Customer Loyalty Programmes, IFRIC 15 Agreements for the Construction of Real Estate, IFRIC 18 Transfers of Assets from Customers, and SIC 31 Revenue - Barter Transactions involving Advertising Services. IFRS 15 establishes a single five-step model framework for determining the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from a contract with a customer. The standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2018, with early adoption permitted.

IFRS 16 Leases was issued in January 2016 (effective January 1, 2019) and provides a single lessee accounting model, requiring lessees to recognize assets and liabilities for all leases unless the lease term is 12 months or less or the underlying asset has a low value.

Critical Accounting Judgments and Significant Estimates and Uncertainties

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements requires management to make judgments and estimates and form assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported revenue and expenses during the periods presented therein. On an ongoing basis, management evaluates its judgments and estimates in relation to assets, liabilities, royalty revenues and expenses. Management bases its judgments and estimates on historical experience and on other various factors it believes to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions and conditions.

The Company has identified the following critical accounting policies in which significant judgments, estimates and assumptions are made and where actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions and conditions and may materially affect financial results or the financial position reported in future periods. Further details of the nature of these assumptions and conditions may be found in the relevant notes to the consolidated financial statements.

a) Royalty interest and related depletion

In accordance with the Company's accounting policy, royalty interests are evaluated on a periodic basis to determine whether there are any indications of impairment. If any such indication exists, a formal estimate of recoverable amount is performed and an impairment loss recognized to the extent that carrying amount exceeds recoverable amount. The recoverable amount of a royalty asset is measured at the higher of fair value less costs to sell and value in use. The determination of fair value and value in use requires management to make estimates and assumptions about expected production and sales volumes, the proportion of areas subject to royalty rights, commodity prices (considering current and historical prices, price trends and related factors), and reserves. These estimates and assumptions are subject to risk and uncertainty; hence there is a possibility that changes in circumstances will alter these projections, which may impact the recoverable amount of the assets. In such circumstances, some or all of the carrying value of the assets may be further impaired or the impairment charge reduced with the impact recorded in profit or loss.

Critical Accounting Judgments and Significant Estimates and Uncertainties (Continued)

b) Goodwill

Goodwill is evaluated for impairment annually or more often if events or circumstances indicate there may be impairment. Impairment is determined by assessing if the carrying value of a cash generating unit, including the allocated goodwill, exceeds its recoverable amount. The assessment of the recoverable amount used in the goodwill impairment analysis is subject to similar judgments and estimates as described above for property and equipment and royalty interests.

c) Exploration and Evaluation Assets

Recorded costs of exploration and evaluation assets are not intended to reflect present or future values of exploration and evaluation assets. The recorded costs are subject to measurement uncertainty and it is reasonably possible, based on existing knowledge, that a change in future conditions could require a material change in the recognized amount.

d) Taxation

The Company's accounting policy for taxation requires management's judgment as to the types of arrangements considered to be a tax on income in contrast to an operating cost. Judgment is also required in assessing whether deferred tax assets and certain deferred tax liabilities are recognized on the statement of financial position.

Deferred tax assets, including those arising from unused tax losses, capital losses and temporary differences, are recognized only where it is considered probable that they will be recovered, which is dependent on the generation of sufficient future taxable profits. Deferred tax liabilities arising from temporary differences caused principally by the expected royalty revenues generated by the royalty property are recognized unless expected offsetting tax losses are sufficient to offset the taxable income and therefore, taxable income is not expected to occur in the foreseeable future. Assumptions about the generation of future taxable profits depend on management's estimates of future cash flows. These depend on estimates of future production and sales volumes, commodity prices, and reserves. Judgments are also required about the application of income tax legislation in foreign jurisdictions. These judgments and assumptions are subject to risk and uncertainty, hence there is a possibility that changes in circumstances will alter expectations, which may impact the amount of deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities recognized. In such circumstances, some or the entire carrying amount of recognized deferred tax assets and liabilities may require adjustment, resulting in a corresponding credit or charge to profit or loss.

Information about critical judgments in applying accounting policies that have the most significant effect on the amounts recognized in the consolidated financial statements include, but are not limited to, the following:

a) Functional Currencies

The functional currency of each of the Company's subsidiaries is the currency of the primary economic environment in which the entity operates. Determination of the functional currency may involve certain judgments to determine the primary economic environment and the Company reconsiders the functional currency of its entities if there is a change in events and conditions, which determined the primary economic environment.

3. INVESTMENTS

The Company had the following investments:

		Accumulated	
December 31, 2016	Cost	unrealized loss	Fair value
Fair value through profit or loss			
Marketable securities	\$ 1,641,751	\$ (1,378,995) \$	262,756
Total Fair value through profit or loss	1,641,751	(1,378,995)	262,756
Available-for-sale			
Marketable securities	910,473	(697,675)	212,798
Total investments	\$ 2,552,224	\$ (2,076,670) \$	475,554
		Accumulated	
December 31, 2015	Cost	unrealized loss	Fair value
Fair value through profit or loss			
Marketable securities	\$ 1,872,802	\$ (1,637,696) \$	235,106
Available-for-sale			
Marketable securities	980,000	(786,190)	193,810
Total investments	\$ 2,852,802	\$ (2,423,886) \$	428,916
		Accumulated	
December 31, 2014	Cost	unrealized loss	Fair value
Fair value through profit or loss			
Marketable securities	\$ 1,952,424	\$ (1,208,638) \$	743,786
Available-for-sale			
Marketable securities	980,000	(680,476)	299,524
Total investments	\$ 2,932,424	\$ (1,889,114) \$	1,043,310

During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company recorded a loss of \$697,675 related to the permanent impairment of certain available-for-sale marketable securities. The Company had sustained significant unrealized losses for which there was no expectation of reversal in the forseable future.

4. RECEIVABLES

The Company's receivables are related to the sale of foreign subsidiaries, royalty receivable, goods and services tax and harmonized sales taxes receivable from government taxation authorities, and recovery of exploration expenditures from joint venture partners. As at December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014, the current receivables were as follows:

Category	December 31, 2016	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Sale of Akarca (Note 9)	\$ 4,145,898	\$-	\$-
Royalty income receivable	306,513	154,343	142,864
Refundable taxes	142,857	153,067	243,503
Recoverable exploration expenditures and advances	79,090	248,628	274,085
Other	168,375	130,427	178,385
As at December 31, 2016	4,842,733	686,465	838,837
Less: Long term portion	(1,412,727)	-	-
	\$ 3,430,006	\$ 686,465	\$ 838,837

4. RECEIVABLES (Continued)

The carrying amounts of the Company's current and non – current receivables are denominated in the following currencies:

Currency	December 31, 2016	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Canadian Dollars	\$ 48,448	\$ 52,395	\$ 109,952
US Dollars	4,744,825	575,986	588,829
Turkish Lira	41,785	46,401	133,440
Swedish Krona	6,824	3,754	12,574
Other	851	7,929	1,042
Total	\$ 4,842,733	\$ 686,465	\$ 845,837

5. RESTRICTED CASH

At December 31, 2016, the Company classified \$359,172 (2015 - \$269,770; 2014 - \$230,144) as restricted cash. This amount is comprised of \$189,233 (2015 - \$199,915; 2014 - \$148,334) held as collateral for its corporate credit cards, \$65,706 (2015 - \$69,415; 2014 - 50,960) held as a security deposit for the Company's Haiti exploration program, and \$104,233 (2015 - \$440; 2014 - 30,850) cash held by wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company whose full amount is for use and credit to the Company's exploration venture partners in USA.

6. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

During the year ended	December 31, 2016	, 2015 and 2014,	depreciation of \$21,711 (2015 -	\$34,663; 2014 - \$47,908) has
been	included	in	exploration	expenditures.

	C	omputer		Field		Office		Vehicles		Building		Land	Total
Cost													
As at December 31, 2013	\$	91,713	\$	146,041	\$	6,635	\$	421,437	\$	572,443	\$	552,277	\$1,790,546
Additions		-		-		-		-		-		-	-
Disposals and derecognition		-		-		-		(224,237)		-		(137,751)	(361,988
As at December 31, 2014	\$	91,713	\$	146,041	\$	6,635	\$	197,200	\$	572,443	\$	414,526	\$1,428,558
Additions		7,981		10,224		1,170		16,105		6,065		-	41,545
Disposals and derecognition		-		(2,152)		(3 <i>,</i> 059)		(165,888)		-		-	(171,099
As at December 31, 2015	\$	99,694	\$	154,113	\$	4,746	\$	47,417	\$	578,508	\$	414,526	\$1,299,004
Additions		10,549		6,450		-		-		-		-	16,999
Disposals and derecognition		-		(79,630)		(2,365)		(47,417)		-		-	(129,412
As at December 31, 2016	\$	110,243	\$	80,933	\$	2,381	\$	-	\$	578,508	\$	414,526	\$ 1,186,591
Accumulated depreciation	Ś	72 987	Ś	80,835	Ś		Ś	248 647	Ś	202 663	Ś		\$ 605 132
As at December 31, 2013	Ş	72,987	Ş	,	Ş	-	Ş	248,647	Ş	202,663	Ş	-	\$ 605,132
Additions		18,726		26,015		3,958		24,495		114,520		-	187,714
Disposals and derecognition		-		-		-		(115,517)	_	-		-	(115,517
As at December 31, 2014	\$	91,713	\$	106,850	\$	3,958	\$	157,625	\$	317,183	\$	-	\$ 677,329
Additions		7,981		8,161		1,832		15,595		117,213		-	150,782
Disposals and derecognition		-	-	(1,680)	-	(1,656)	_	(140,231)	-	-		-	(143,567
As at December 31, 2015	\$	99,694	\$	113,331	\$	4,134	\$	32,989	Ş	434,396	\$	-	\$ 684,544
Additions		7,438		12,601		-		671		115,490		-	136,200
Disposals and derecognition	-	-	-	(70,444)	-	(1,753)	-	(33,660)	-	-		-	(105,857
As at December 31, 2016	\$	107,132	\$	55,488	\$	2,381	\$	-	\$	549,886	\$	-	\$ 714,887
Net book value													
As at December 31, 2014	\$	-	\$	39,191	\$	2,677	\$	39,575	\$	255,260	\$	414,526	751,229
As at December 31, 2015	\$	-	\$	40,782	\$	612	\$	14,428	\$	144,112	\$	414,526	614,460
As at December 31, 2016	\$	3,111	\$	25,445	Ś	-	\$	-	Ś	28,622	Ś	414,526	471,704

6. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT (Continued)

During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company sold certain foreign operations for a gain of \$6,834,999. Included in this gain was property and equipment with a net book value of \$23,555.

During the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company acquired and sold certain exploration and evaluation assets for a net gain of \$5,393,305. Included in this gain was the acquisition of property and equipment with a net book value of \$7,013. Also, during the year ended December 31, 2015 the Company sold property and equipment with a net book value of \$21,041 for total proceeds of \$36,933 for a net gain of \$15,892, and included in exploration and evaluation expenditures is a loss disposal of property and equipment with a net book value of \$6,490.

7. CONVERTIBLE NOTES RECEIVABLE

On February 5, 2015, the Company entered into a convertible loan agreement with IG Copper, LLC ("IGC"), an associated company of EMX (Note 8) allowing IGC to borrow up to a maximum of US\$500,000 ("IGC Loan"). The loan carries an interest rate of 8% per annum and the full amount of the principal and interest was due January 3, 2017. The full US\$500,000 has been drawn and during the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company entered into an amended and restated loan agreement with IGC such that the IGC Loan shall include any further sums that may be advanced by the Company to, or paid by the Company on behalf of IGC from time to time prior to January 3, 2017. As such, US\$198,953 of expenses paid by the Company on behalf of IGC were added to the IGC Loan.

At any time prior to the maturity date, the Company had the right to convert all or any part of the outstanding amount of the loan into membership units at US\$6.00 per unit. If IGC completed a financing at less than US\$6.00 per unit, the conversion price will be adjusted to the price used in the financing. Each membership unit represents a single membership interest in IGC. Additionally, if subsequent to the date of the Amended Agreement, IGC completes a financing and, as part of that financing, issues warrants to purchase Units or other securities of IGC, then the Company shall be entitled, upon conversion of the IGC Loan and accrued and unpaid interest, to also receive warrants to purchase Units or other securities of IGC on the same terms as the warrants issued in such financing.

During fiscal 2016, the Company advanced an additional US \$400,000. On August 15, 2016, the Company converted the full amount of the outstanding loan, US\$1,184,511 inclusive of accrued interest of US \$85,558, at US\$5.00 per unit, which was the unit price of the most recently completed financing, into 236,902 membership units and 236,902 warrants of IGC.

8. INVESTMENTS IN ASSOCIATED COMPANIES

The Company has a 39% (2015 - 42%; 2014 - 42%) equity investment in IGC. At December 31, 2016, the Company, including conversion of a note receivable (Note 7), has invested an aggregate of US\$8,967,010 towards its investment (December 31, 2015 - US\$7,782,500; 2014 - US\$7,782,500). At December 31, 2016, the Company's investment including dilution gain less its share of accumulated equity losses was \$4,992,823 (2015 - \$3,333,491; 2014 - 4,072,737). The Company's share of the net loss for the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$1,295,568 (2015 - \$1,062,146; 2014 - \$1,086,649).

The Company has a minority position on the Board of IGC, and does not control operational decisions. The Company's judgment is that it has significant influence, but not control and accordingly equity accounting is appropriate.

At December 31, 2014, the Company had a 49% equity investment in a private Turkish company ("Turkish Co") with Chesser Resources Ltd; an Australian Stock Exchange listed Exploration Company. During the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company purchased the remaining 51% interest in the Turkish company (Note 9). As such, the books and records of the Turkish company are consolidated as a 100% owned subsidiary of the Company. The carrying value of the investment prior to the purchase and as at December 31, 2014 was \$Nil and the Company's share of the net loss of the former joint venture for the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$Nil (2015 - \$Nil; 2014 - \$Nil).

8. INVESTMENTS IN ASSOCIATED COMPANIES (Continued)

As at December 31, 2016, associated companies' aggregate assets, aggregate liabilities and net loss for the year are as follows:

December 31, 2016	IGC
Aggregate assets	\$ 6,884,378
Aggregate liabilities	(1,471,260)
Loss for the year	3,216,120
The Company's ownership %	39%
The Company's share of loss for the year	(1,295,568)

During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company recognized a dilution gain of \$982,634 related to the Company's change in ownership percentage as a result of IGC's share issuance for cash proceeds and loan conversions.

As at December 31, 2015, associated companies' aggregate assets, aggregate liabilities and net loss for the year are as follows:

December 31, 2015	IGC
Aggregate assets	\$ 6,980,045
Aggregate liabilities	(2,917,038)
Loss for the year	(2,515,741)
The Company's ownership %	42%
The Company's share of loss for the year	(1,062,146)

As at December 31, 2014, associated companies' aggregate assets, aggregate liabilities and net loss for the year are as follows:

December 31, 2014	Turkish Co	IGC
Aggregate assets	\$ 101,315 \$	4,841,462
Aggregate liabilities	(271,424.00)	(809,260)
Loss for the year	(154,215.00)	(2,606,384)
The Company's ownership %	49.00%	42%
The Company's share of loss for the year	-	(1,086,649)

9. EXPLORATION AND EVALUATION ASSETS

Acquisition Costs

At December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014, the Company has capitalized the following acquisition costs on its exploration and evaluation assets:

Region	Properties	C	December 31, 2016	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Asia Pacific	Various	\$	81,124	\$ 81,124	\$ 81,124
Haiti	Various		-	-	56,085
Sweden	Various		16,671	16,671	16,671
	Viad royalties		421,084	421,084	421,084
Turkey	Alankoy		153,960	153,960	153,960
	Sisorta		-	131,440	-
	Trab		78,587	78,587	78,587
United States	Superior West, Arizona		1,000,479	1,105,579	1,179,280
of America	Yerington, Nevada		393,095	393,095	393,095
Total		\$	2,145,000	\$ 2,381,540	\$ 2,379,886

During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company received a \$129,820 (US\$100,000) annual option payment related to an exploration and option to purchase agreement for the Superior West project with Kennecott Exploration Company ("Kennecott"). Pursuant to Company policy, \$105,100 has been applied against the Superior West capitalized costs, and \$24,720 has been included in exploration income as option payments. Also during the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company sold its Sisorta project in Turkey and all capitalized costs were recovered.

During the year ended December 31, 2015 the Company wrote-off \$56,085 of capitalized exploration costs related to the termination of a 1% net smelter returns royalty ("NSR") agreement on one of its interests in Haiti.

During the year ended December 31, 2014 the Company wrote-off previously capitalized acquisition costs of \$707,567 which related to the Jasper Canyon and Silver Bell projects in the US. All claims for the Jasper Canyon and Silver Bell are in good standing and held by the Company, but Management has determined that there was little prospect of significant work on these claims being carried out by the Company or its partners in the foreseeable future.

Asia Pacific (Australia) exploration licenses

The Company's Australian properties are comprised of contiguous exploration licenses along the Koonenberry gold belt in New South Wales, Australia. The Australian properties are acquired either directly through staking or through agreements with license holders.

Koonenberry - Perry & Armstrong

In 2013, the Company earned its 100% ownership of a single exploration license and the vendor's interest reverted to a 2% NSR. The Company has the right to buy the 2% NSR (after bankable feasibility study) for consideration equivalent to 10% of the "Proved Ore Reserves", as defined in the Code for Reporting of Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (the "JORC Code") set by the Australasian Joint Ore Reserves Committee, of gold contained within the tenement at a price of US\$30 per ounce of gold.

Koonenberry - Arastra

In 2013, the Company by mutual agreement terminated an option agreement with Arastra Exploration Pty Ltd ("Arastra) after earning a 50% interest in four exploration licenses. As part of the termination the 50% interest earned by the Company was exchanged for a 100% ownership in one of the licenses (subject to a 2% NSR in favor of Arastra), and a 1%

NSR against the other three licenses. Arastra relinquished their 3 tenements in 2015, accordingly EMX no longer holds the 1% NSR.

Koonenberry - Rockwell

In 2013 an agreement with Rockwell Resources Pty Ltd was terminated and the Company was granted a NSR of 0.5% in and over the tenement held by Rockwell.

Koonenberry - Bates

The Company holds 100% in two exploration licenses and the vendor's interest has reverted to a 2% NSR. The Company has the right to buy the 2% NSR (after bankable feasibility study) for consideration equivalent to 10% of the "Proved Ore Reserves", as defined in the "JORC Code", of gold contained within the tenement at a price of US\$30 per ounce of gold.

In 2015 the Company relinquished one of the two tenements and further reduced the area of the remaining tenement. The Company signed a letter of agreement with Bates, should the Company re-apply for the areas within 12 months EMX would honor a similar NSR.

In February 2014, the Company signed an exploration and option agreement with North Queensland Mining Pty Ltd. ("NQM"), a privately-held Australian company, giving NQM the right to acquire the Company's Koonenberry exploration licenses in New South Wales, Australia. NQM will bear responsibility of satisfying all existing work commitments and honoring all underlying property agreements during the term of the Agreement. NQM has the option to earn a 100% interest in the EMX subsidiary that holds the licenses, with EMX retaining a 3% production royalty.

Asia Pacific (New Zealand) exploration licenses

In September 2014, and amended in December 2015 the Company signed an option agreement with Land & Mineral Limited ("L&M"), a privately-held Australian company, giving L&M the right to acquire Hauraki Gold Ltd. ("Hauraki"), the wholly-owned EMX subsidiary that controls the Neavesville gold-silver property located in the Hauraki goldfield of New Zealand's North Island. The purchase and sale agreement included an execution payment of \$100,000 (\$50,000 received on signing in 2015, and \$50,000 received in May 2016, being the balance of the execution payment) and a series of anniversary and milestone payments equal to a certain amount of troy ounces of gold. Pursuant to the agreement, In September 2016, the Company received a \$129,562 payment equivalent to a required payment of 75 troy ounces of gold.

Haiti exploration permits

Eurasian and joint venture partner Newmont Ventures Limited ("Newmont"), a wholly owned subsidiary of Newmont Mining Corporation (collectively, the "JV"), had the right to establish specific exploration areas along the trend of Haiti's Massif du Nord mineral belt. Newmont was funding and managing six joint venture Designated Projects ("DP's") across the exploration areas. The Company's work on the 100% controlled Grand Bois gold-copper project is outside of the JV with Newmont.

On November 2, 2015, the Company terminated the EMX –Newmont JV that covered the six designated exploration areas and sold its interest in Haiti to Newmont for a \$5,277,542 (US\$4,000,000) cash payment and a retained 0.5% NSR royalty interest.

Sweden and Norway licenses

The Company holds certain exploration permits. There are no specific spending commitments on the Swedish licenses and permits.

On February 17, 2011, the Company entered into a Strategic Alliance and Earn-In Agreement (the "Strategic Alliance") with Antofagasta Minerals S.A., ("Antofagasta"). On February 17, 2013, the Strategic Alliance reached the end of its two year tenure. On March 3, 2014 Antofagasta advised the Company that they would be discontinuing further funding of the DPs.

The Company has no commitments or obligations pursuant to the Strategic Alliance.

Turkey exploration licenses

The Company has acquired numerous exploration licenses in Turkey for which there are no specific spending commitments.

Sisorta Joint Venture

On April 2, 2012, the Company and Chesser Resources Ltd ("Chesser") executed an agreement to sell the Sisorta property to Çolakoglu Ticari Yatrim A.S. ("Çolakoglu") for a combination of option payments and expenditure requirements. Çolakoglu terminated the option effective March 21, 2013, leaving Chesser and the Company with a 51% and 49% interest in the Sisorta project, respectively. Until March 2015, the Company accounted for its 49% interest as an Investment in Associated Company (Note 8) and had written down the value of the investment to \$Nil due to the pick-up of its share of net losses in the associated company. On March 20, 2015, Chesser and the Company signed definitive agreements pursuant to which the Company acquired all of Chesser's interest in the Sisorta project for a total purchase price of \$156,800 (AUD\$162,092). As a result of the purchase, the Company recorded a gain on acquisition of \$26,407, and \$131,440 of the purchase price was allocated to exploration and evaluation assets.

Effective July 1, 2016, the Company entered into a share purchase agreement for the sale of EBX Madencilik A.S. ("EBX"), a wholly-owned EMX subsidiary that controlled the Sisorta gold property in Turkey, to Bahar Madencilik Sinayi ve Ticaret Ltd Sti ("Bahar"), a privately owned Turkish company.

The agreement provides for Bahar's staged payments to EMX as summarized below:

- US \$250,000 cash payment (\$332,969) to EMX upon closing of the sale (received).
- Annual cash payments of US \$125,000 ("Advance Cash Payments") beginning on July 1, 2017 until commencement of commercial production from the Property.
- 3.5% of production returns after certain deductions ("NSR Payment") for ore mined from the Property that is processed on-site (increased to 5% if the ore is processed off-site).
- The Advance Cash Payments will be credited at a rate of 80% against the NSR Payment payable after commercial production commences.
- The NSR Payment is uncapped and cannot be bought out or reduced.

Pursuant to the sale of Sisorta, the Company paid a finders fee of US\$ 48,740 (\$63,549) and recorded a gain on the sale of EBX of \$86,041 which is included in the gain (loss) on acquisition and sale of exploration and evaluation assets. The future annual cash payments are not accrued as there is no guarantee of payment, and the shares of EBX could be returned if the payments are not made.

Akarca Joint Venture

On June 20, 2013, the Company entered into an option agreement to sell its 100% interest in AES Madencilik A.S. ("AES Turkey"), a Turkish corporation that controls the Akarca property, for a combination of cash payments, gold bullion, work commitments, and a royalty interest to Çolakoglu, a privately owned Turkish company.

Colakoglu paid \$350,000 and completed drilling requirements on the project and was required to pay additional amounts to earn its interest. In October, 2015, Çolakoglu advised EMX that it decided to forego exercising the option and the Company has regained 100% control of the Akarca project.

Effective July 29, 2016, the Company entered into a share purchase agreement for the sale of AES Madencilik A.S. ("AES"), the wholly-owned EMX subsidiary that controls the Akarca gold-silver project in western Turkey, to Çiftay İnşaat Taahhüt ve Ticaret A.Ş. ("Çiftay"), a privately owned Turkish company.

The terms of the sale provide payments to EMX as summarized below (gold payments can be made as gold bullion or the cash equivalent):

- US \$2,000,000 cash payment (\$2,630,760) to EMX upon closing of the sale (received);
- 500 ounces of gold every six months commencing February 1, 2017 up to a cumulative total of 7,000 ounces of gold (received US \$601,825, the cash equivalent of 500 troy ounces subsequent to December 31, 2016);
- 7,000 ounces of gold within 30 days after the commencement of commercial production from the Property provided that prior gold payments will be credited against this payment;
- 250 ounces of gold upon production of 100,000 ounces of gold from the Property;
- 250 ounces of gold upon production of an aggregate of 500,000 ounces of gold from the Property;
- A sliding-scale royalty in the amount of the following percentages of production returns after certain deductions ("Royalty") for ore mined from the Property:
 - For gold production: 1.0% on the first 100,000 ounces of gold; 2.0% on the next 400,000 ounces of gold; 3.0% on all gold production in excess of 500,000 ounces produced from the Property, and;
 - For all production other than gold production: 3.0%.
- The Royalty is uncapped and cannot be bought out or reduced.

In addition, Çiftay must conduct a drilling program of at least 3,000 meters on the Property during each 12-month period commencing on August 5, 2016 until commencement of commercial production.

Pursuant to the agreement, Çiftay has guaranteed the future payments of 2,500 ounces of gold, or cash equivalent. As at December 31, 2016, the Company has recorded a receivable of \$4,145,898 (including \$72,806 of accreted interest income) related to the guaranteed payments which was estimated using a valuation model that requires significant judgments and assumptions, including to future metal prices and discount rates.

The sale of AES resulted in a gain of \$6,683,560, resulting from proceeds of \$6,737,452, less the net assets of AES of \$53,892 which is included in the gain (loss) on acquisition and sale of exploration and evaluation assets.

Ferrite Agreement - Alankoy

On December 20, 2013, the Company signed an Exploration and Option Agreement (the "Alankoy Agreement") with Ferrite Resources Ltd. ("Ferrite"), a privately-held Australian company, whereby Ferrite had the option to acquire the Company's subsidiaries that hold the Alankoy project, with the Company retaining a 3% NSR. To do so, Ferrite paid US\$35,000 upon signing and must expend at least US\$200,000 on exploration activities each year for the three years after June 3, 2014 (the Effective Date). In addition, Ferrite is required to make annual deliveries of gold bullion to the Company as Advanced Annual Royalties (AARs) on each anniversary of the Effective Date.

In October 2015, Ferrite informed the Company they would not continue with the option agreement and paid to EMX US\$25,000 (\$33,205) related to reimbursement of expenditures owed by Ferrite.

Black Sea Copper & Gold Agreement - Alankoy

On November 23, 2015, the Company signed an Exploration and Option Agreement with Black Sea Copper & Gold Corp. ("Black Sea"), a privately-held British Columbia corporation, for the Alankoy copper-gold property in northwestern Turkey, whereby Black Sea has the option to acquire the Company's subsidiaries that hold the Alankoy project, with the Company retaining a 3% production royalty. To do so, Black Sea paid US\$25,000 (received \$35,408 in January 2016) upon signing and must incur certain exploration expenditure milestones.

In February 2017, the Company received notification that 0955767 B.C Ltd (Formerly Black Sea) was terminating the Alankoy agreement and paid \$43,550 (US\$32,864) related to expenditures incurred by the Company.

Golcuk Transfer and Royalty Agreement

On July 17, 2012, amended on January 29, 2013, and amended again by a second amending agreement dated as of November 8, 2016, the Company entered into an agreement with Pasinex Resources Limited ("PRL") to transfer 100% interest in the Golcuk property in exchange for PRL issuing shares to the Company as follows,

- i) 500,000 PRL shares on the initial issuance date (received during the year ended December 31, 2013 and valued at \$27,500 or \$0.055 per share);
- ii) An additional 500,000 PRL shares on or before the first anniversary of the initial issuance date (received during the year ended December 31, 2014 and valued at \$25,000 or \$0.05 per share);
- iii) An additional 1,000,000 PRL shares on or before the second anniversary of the initial issuance date (received in February 2015 and valued at \$115,000 or \$0.115 per share); and,
- iv) An additional 1,000,000 PRL Shares on or before the third anniversary of the initial issuance date (received in February 2016 and valued at \$55,000 or \$0.055 per share).

In addition to the transfer of shares, Pasinex will then pay the Company a 2.9% NSR royalty from production. Pasinex may pay the first minimum royalty payment by delivering 664,483 common shares in the capital of PRL to the Royalty Holder on or before November 30, 2016 (received valued at \$79,738). Pasinex has the option of purchasing 0.9% of the royalty for \$1,000,000 USD prior to the 6th anniversary of the effective date of the agreement.

Tumad Agreement - Trab-23

The Trab-23 property is located in northeast Turkey. In February 2013 Tumad Madencilik San.Ve TIC, A.S. ("Tumad"), executed an option agreement (the "Trab-23 Agreement") to acquire Trab-23 from the Company. The Trab-23 Agreement provides an upfront transfer of the two licenses to Tumad, in-ground spending requirements, a revenue stream of annual earn-in and pre-production payments, and a revenue stream based upon production. The Trab-23 Agreement is contingent upon approval by Turkey's General Directorate of Mining Affairs ("MIGEM") to combine the two licenses into a single exploitation license. This license combination and transfer occurred on September 11, 2014 (the "Transfer Date"). Provided that Tumad has made the payments and performed the work described in the Trab-23 Agreement, on or before September 11, 2017 Tumad may exercise its option to retain the property, and after such election, shall pay annual minimum royalties of US\$100,000 commencing upon the first anniversary of such exercise. Upon production from the Trab-23 licenses, Tumad will pay the Company a 3% NSR royalty from production. The annual minimum royalties will be credited to 80% of the NSR royalty then payable.

Tumad's drill requirements have not been met and the Company is currently negotiating a revision to the agreement that will include a shift of Tumad's drill commitments to 2017.

United States exploration licenses

Aguila de Cobre Property, Arizona

On July 30, 2015, the Company, through its wholly-owned subsidiary Bronco Creek Exploration Inc. ("BCE"), entered into an option agreement to sell the Aguila de Cobre property for a combination of cash payments and work commitments. The agreement grants Kennecott Exploration Company ("KEX"), part of the Rio Tinto Group, the option to acquire a 100% interest in the property.

During April 2016, KEX terminated its option to aquire the interest in the property.

Copper Springs, Copper King, and Red Top Properties, Arizona

In September 2013, the Company, through its wholly owned subsidiary BCE, entered into option agreements to sell the Copper Springs, Copper King, and Red Top projects for a combination of cash payments, work commitments, and common shares. The agreements grant Desert Star Resources Ltd. ("Desert Star"), a TSX-V listed company, the option to acquire a 100% interest in each of the projects.

Desert Star delivered 1,050,000 common shares of Desert Star and is required to incur a minimum of US\$5,000,000 in exploration expenditures by the seventh anniversary of the signing date, and making additional milestone payments to the Company.

On September 1, 2014, the Copper King and Red Top agreements were amended and during the remainder of 2014, the Company received payments totaling US\$62,974. In January, 2015, Desert Star terminated its interest in the Copper Springs project and the Company regained 100% control of the project.

On July 21, 2015, the Copper King and Red Top agreements were amended, extending the 2nd anniversary payments and work commitments into 2016. On March 1, 2016, Desert Star terminated its option on the Copper King project, and subsequently terminated the Red Top project in January 2017.

Copper King

In October 2016, the Company, through its wholly-owned subsidiary BCE, entered into an option agreement to sell the Copper King property for a combination of cash payments and work commitments. The agreement grants Kennecott the option to acquire a 100% interest in the property.

Pursuant to the Agreement, Kennecott can earn a 100% interest in the Project by (a) reimbursing the 2016 holding costs and making option payments, together totaling US \$504,314 (US \$29,314 related to holding costs received), and (b) completing US \$4,000,000 in exploration expenditures before the fifth anniversary of the Agreement Upon exercise of the option EMX will retain a 2% NSR royalty on the project which is not capped or purchasable.

After exercise of the option, annual advance minimum royalty ("AMR") payments are due starting at \$100,000 and commencing on the first anniversary of the exercise of the option. The AMR payments will increase to \$150,000 upon completion of an Order of Magnitude Study ("OMS") or Preliminary Economic Assessment ("PEA"). Kennecott may make a one-time payment of \$3,500,000 to extinguish the obligation to make AMR payments. In addition, if not previously extinguished, total AMR payments after the OMS or PEA milestone payment are capped at \$3,500,000, and all AMR payments cease upon commencement of production from the project.

In addition, Kennecott will make milestone payments consisting of:

- \$500,000 upon completion of an OMS or PEA;
- \$1,000,000 upon completion of a Prefeasibility Study; and
- \$2,000,000 upon completion of a Feasibility Study. The Feasibility Study payment will be credited against future royalty payments.

Buckhorn Creek, Frazier Creek, and Jasper Canyon Properties, Arizona and Nevada

In October 2013, the Company, through its wholly owned subsidiary BCE, entered into option agreements to sell the Frazier Canyon, Buckhorn Creek, and Jasper Canyon projects for a combination of cash payments, work commitments, and common shares. The agreements granted Savant Explorations Ltd. ("Savant"), a TSX-V listed company, the option to acquire a 100% interest in each of the projects. Upon execution of the agreement and TSX-V approval, the Company received US\$37,500 (US\$12,500 per project) and 450,000 common shares at a value of US\$19,440 (150,000 common shares per project) of Savant as execution payments, and payments totaling US\$59,325 as reimbursement of amounts paid by BCE to keep the respective claims in force for the 2013 assessment year.

During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company received US\$140,000 (US\$70,000 per project), and 200,000 common shares at a value of \$8,000 (100,000 common shares per project) as the work commitment and common share requirements related to the Buckhorn Creek and Frazier Creek projects. On July 25, 2014 Savant terminated its option to acquire the Jasper Canyon project and the Company wrote-off \$235,856 in capitalized exploration and evaluation costs. The Company retains a 100% interest in the claims. On April 27, 2015, Savant terminated its option to acquire the Frazier Creek property. The Company subsequently relinquished all mineral rights on the Frazier Creek property.

On September 24, 2015, Savant terminated its interest in the Buckhorn Creek property with the Company retaining 100% ownership of the property.

Cathedral Well Property, Nevada

In June 2014, the Company signed an exploration and option agreement through its wholly-owned subsidiary BCE, with Ely Gold and Minerals Inc. ("Ely Gold") (TSX Venture: ELY) to earn a 100% interest in the Cathedral Well project by paying EMX a total of US\$100,000 over the next three years after which the Company will retain a 2.5% NSR royalty, inclusive of an underlying 0.5% NSR royalty. During the year ended December 31, 2016, Ely Gold exercised its option and acquired 100% interest in the project.

Copper Basin Property, Arizona

The Company holds a 100% interest in the Copper Basin property comprised of certain unpatented federal mining claims and one State of Arizona exploration permit subject to the terms of an earn-in agreement dated September 27, 2011 with Vale Exploration ("Vale"). Vale may earn an initial 60% interest in the project for consideration of cash payments and US\$4,500,000 in exploration expenditures within four years.

On July 19, 2014, Vale terminated its interest in the agreement with the Company regaining 100% control of the project.

Hardshell Skarn Property, Arizona

The Company holds a 100% interest in the Hardshell Skarn property comprised of certain unpatented federal lode mining claims.

In October 2015, the Company signed an exploration and option agreement through its wholly-owned subsidiary FOBC LLC, with Arizona Minerals Inc, to earn a 100% interest in the project by paying the Company a total of US\$85,000 as follows: US\$25,000 (received) upon execution of the agreement and US\$60,000 over the next three years (US\$ 20,000 received as the 1st anniversary payment in September 2016), after which the company will retain a 2% NSR. After exercise of the option, annual advanced royalty payments of US\$5,000 commence on the first anniversary of the exercise of the option. After commencement of commercial production, the Company is due payments of US\$5,000 or the royalty coming due that year, whichever is greater.

Silver Bell West, Silver Bell District, Arizona

The Company holds a 100% interest in mineral rights comprised of certain federal unpatented mining claims subject to a letter of agreement dated August 26, 2009 whereby, the Company granted Geonovus Minerals Corp ("GEO") a 100% interest in the Silver Bell West property, for consideration of advance royalty payments, common shares of GEO, and warrants to purchase GEO common shares, and minimum exploration expenditures. The agreement was terminated in September 2014 with the Company regaining 100% control of the project. As a result of the termination of the agreement, the Company wrote-off \$471,711 of exploration and evaluation costs related to the project.

Superior West Project, Arizona

The Company holds a 100% interest in the mineral rights comprised of certain federal unpatented mining claims, located on Tonto National Forest lands and unpatented federal mining claims under option. The Company also may earn a 100% interest in additional adjacent claims under option from a third party for cash payments totaling US\$1,000,000 on or before January 2017 and subject to a 2% NSR Royalty, 1% of which may be purchased for US\$2,000,000 in 0.5% increments. The Company exercised the option in December 2016, and retains 100% interest in the project.

By Earn-In Agreement dated July 31, 2009, the Company granted Freeport-McMoran Mineral Properties, a wholly owned subsidiary of Freeport-McMoran Exploration Corporation ("FMEC") two separate rights to acquire a 51% and a subsequent 19% interest. The initial interest in the Superior West property may be acquired for cash consideration, making all property and option payments on behalf of the Company to the original owners of the property and minimum exploration expenditures. FMEC may acquire the additional 19% interest by solely funding and delivering a feasibility study.

On February 14, 2014 FMEC terminated its interest in the Superior West property with the Company regaining 100% control of the project.

On May 4, 2015, the Company entered into an exploration and option to purchase agreement, through its wholly owned subsidiary BCE, for the Superior West project with Kennecott. Pursuant to the agreement, Kennecott can earn a 100% interest in the project by making cash payment upon execution of the agreement of US\$149,187 (received), and thereafter completing US\$5,500,000 in exploration expenditures and paying annual option payments totaling US\$1,000,000 (US \$100,000 received in March 2016) before the fifth anniversary of the agreement. For the execution payment, US\$50,000 (\$52,500) was applied against the Superior West capitalized costs, and the balance of US\$99,187 was a direct reimbursement to the Company for holding costs to maintain the property in good standing. Upon exercise of the option EMX will retain a 2% NSR royalty on the properties. Kennecott has the right to buy down 1% of the NSR royalty from underlying claim holders by payment of US\$4,000,000 to EMX.

Kennecott has maintained or exceeded any minimum requirements for expenditures on the project and the agreement remains in good standing.

Yerington West Property, Nevada

The Yerington West property is comprised of certain unpatented federal mining claims located on lands administered by the BLM. By Option Agreement, dated September 24, 2009, the Company granted Entrée Gold Inc. ("ETG") the right to acquire an 80% interest in the property, for consideration of US\$140,000 in cash payments (received), common shares of ETG valued at \$85,000 (received), minimum exploration expenditures of \$1,900,000 (incurred), and delivery of a bankable feasibility study and advanced production payments of \$375,000 by the 10th anniversary (2019).

In each of the years 2016, 2015, and 2014, the Company received a US\$50,000 option payment and verified that all exploration expenditures due on the property had been met and that the agreement is in good standing.

Mineral Hill Property, Wyoming

In October 2016, the Company, through its wholly-owned subsidiary BCE, entered into an option agreement with Coeur Explorations, Inc., a subsidiary of Coeur Mining, Inc. (NYSE: CDE) ("Coeur") to acquire a 100% interest in the property. The Company's Mineral Hill project is held under a pooling agreement with a private group, Mineral Hill L.P. ("MHL"), with all proceeds split 50:50, except for the sale of surface rights associated with several patented mining claims.

Pursuant to the Agreement, Coeur may acquire a 100% interest in the Property by a) making yearly option payments, beginning upon execution of the Agreement, totaling US \$435,000 (US\$10,000 received upon execution), b) making exploration expenditures totaling US \$1,550,000 on or before the fifth anniversary of the agreement, and c) paying US \$250,000 upon exercise of the option.

Upon exercise of the option, EMX and MHL will retain a 4% NSR royalty, of which Coeur may purchase up to 1.5% of the NSR royalty if, within sixty days after the completion of a PEA, Coeur purchases the first 0.5% for US \$1,000,000. Coeur may purchase an additional 0.5% or 1% of the NSR royalty at any time thereafter for US \$2,000,000 per 0.5% interest (maximum total buy down of 1.5%), with EMX and MHL retaining a 2.5% interest.

After the option exercise, EMX and MHL will receive annual advance minimum royalties of US \$150,000 and, upon completion of a feasibility study, a milestone payment of US \$1,000,000.

Lomitas Negras Property, Arizona

The Company holds a 100% interest in the Lomitas Negras property comprised of certain State of Arizona exploration permits. The Company relinquished their federal mining claims during 2015, retaining only certain State of Arizona exploration permits.

In May 2014, the Company signed an exploration and option to purchase agreement, through its wholly owned subsidiary BCE, for the Lomitas Negras porphyry copper project with Kennecott and received US\$25,000. Kennecott relinquished its interest in the project during September 2014, with the Company regaining 100% control.

Ophir Property, Utah

In October 2016, the Company completed the sale of five patented mining claims comprising its Ophir property in Utah, through its wholly owned subsidiary Bullion Monarch Mining Inc., to Kennecott. The terms of the sale include a cash payment of US\$75,000 (received) to EMX at closing, with the Company retaining a 2% NSR royalty on the property.

<u>Various</u>

The Company holds interests acquired by staking in several jurisdictions including Utah, Nevada, Arizona, Colorado and Wyoming.

Exploration Expenditures

During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company incurred the following exploration expenditures by projects, which were expensed as incurred:

			US	5A			Turkey			Asia Pacific			
	Scandinavia	Kennecott Exploration	Desert Star Resources	Other USA	Total	Akarca	Other	Total	New Zealand	Other	Total	Other	Total
Administration Cost	\$ 37,498	\$ 109	\$ 25	\$ 157,106	\$ 157,240	\$ 27,055	\$ 92,397	\$ 119,452	\$ 2,220	\$ 9,520	\$ 11,740	\$ 24,650	\$ 350,580
As s a ys	8,596	845	-	6,635	7,480	676	-	676	-	-	-	-	16,752
Drilling / Trenching	76,687	314,972	-	91	315,063	44,283	14,679	58,962	-	-	-	-	450,712
Land and Legal	48,632	-	-	182,160	182,160	39,603	160,831	200,434	-	23,778	23,778	40,384	495,388
Logistics	14,535	57,164	1,822	70,590	129,576	13,810	5,708	19,518	-	9,155	9,155	5,282	178,066
Personnel	195,223	118,679	12,676	1,420,907	1,552,262	297,586	264,527	562,113	-	99,751	99,751	171,881	2,581,230
Property Cost	165,640	2,677	39,460	485,365	527,502	154,526	32,426	186,952	37,230	47,219	84,449	-	964,543
Professional Services	135,527	-	-	13,664	13,664	61,577	22,029	83,606	496	1,772	2,268	17,625	252,690
Share Based Payments	40,285	-	-	295,008	295,008	32,805	69,020	101,825	-	17,673	17,673	48,066	502,857
Technical Studies	106,093	42,666	-	16,107	58,773	38,383	6,544	44,927	-	11,397	11,397	163,444	384,634
Travel	63,571	-	-	103,478	103,478	16,310	31,479	47,789	-	6,861	6,861	16,382	238,081
Total Expenditures	892,287	537,112	53,983	2,751,111	3,342,206	726,614	699,640	1,426,254	39,946	227,126	267,072	487,714	6,415,533
Recoveries	-	(555,217)	(51,833)	(21,938)	(628,988)	(43,550)	-	(43,550)	-	(48,781)	(48,781)	-	(721,319)
Operator fees	-	(56,271)	(1,263)	-	(57,534)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(57,534)
Option Payments *	-	(24,720)	-	(125,890)	(150,610)	-	(170,146)	(170,146)	(180,476)	-	(180,476)	-	(501,232)
Other Property Income	-	(9,720)	(265)	(39,755)	(49,740)	-	(56,466)	(56,466)	(27,243)	-	(27,243)	(2,040)	(135,489)
Total Recoveries	-	(645,928)	(53,361)	(187,583)	(886,872)	(43,550)	(226,612)	(270,162)	(207,719)	(48,781)	(256,500)	(2,040)	(1,415,574)
Net Expenditures	\$ 892,287	\$ (108,816)	\$ 622	\$ 2,563,528	\$ 2,455,334	\$ 683,064	\$ 473,028	\$ 1,156,092	\$ (167,773)	\$ 178,345	\$ 10,572	\$ 485,674	\$ 4,999,959

* The Company received a \$129,820 (US\$100,000) annual option payment related to an exploration and option to purchase agreement for the Superior West project with Kennecott applied as to \$105,100 to the Superior West capitalized costs, and \$24,720 to exploration recoveries.

Significant components of "Other" total exploration expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2016 were Haiti - \$148,455; Austria - \$48,767; and other general exploration costs in Europe totalling - \$146,159.

Exploration Expenditures (continued)

During the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company incurred the following exploration expenditures by projects, which were expensed as incurred:

			US	\$A			Turkey			Asia Pacific			
	Scandinavia	Kennecott Exploration	Desert Star Resources	Other USA	Total	Akarca	Other	Total	New Zealand	Other	Total	Other *	Total
Administration Cost	\$ 61,523	\$ 676	\$ 1,271	\$ 127,873	\$ 129,820	\$ 16,296	\$ 43,532	\$ 59,828	\$ 4,767	\$ 2,932	\$ 7,699	\$ 44,763	\$ 303,633
As s a ys	5,307	1,825	142	22,472	24,439	-	5,509	5,509	-	-	-	1,480	36,735
Drilling / Trenching	11,874	-	-	7,111	7,111	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18,985
Land and Legal	39,518	-	-	132,178	132,178	23,208	45,957	69,165	4,914	10,136	15,050	31,480	287,391
Logistics	26,978	32,211	2,646	98,391	133,248	12,014	40,408	52,422	499	4,475	4,974	48,472	266,094
Personnel	423,697	154,004	24,500	1,261,865	1,440,369	205,665	561,082	766,747	45,557	101,586	147,143	201,162	2,979,118
Property Cost	60,369	87,771	75,530	415,594	578,895	176,773	116,132	292,905	8,921	44,322	53,243	43,094	1,028,506
Professional Services	86,874	-	-	13,813	13,813	42,381	117,062	159,443	28,938	10,410	39,348	161,232	460,710
Share Based Payments	7,103	-	-	75,468	75,468	-	12,430	12,430	-	(1,793)	(1,793)	(20,811)	72,397
Technical Studies	28,083	77,485	5,151	68,265	150,901	-	17,183	17,183	3,508	25,407	28,915	112,739	337,821
Travel	59,934	128	-	27,107	27,235	-	28,263	28,263	3,781	10,609	14,390	27,590	157,412
Total Expenditures	811,260	354,100	109,240	2,250,137	2,713,477	476,337	987,558	1,463,895	100,885	208,084	308,969	651,201	5,948,802
Recoveries	-	(426,190)	(118,065)	(93,549)	(637,804)	(295,024)	(33,305)	(328,329)	-	-	-	(96,675)	(1,062,808)
Operator fees	-	(44,067)	(4,258)	(9,457)	(57,782)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(57,782)
Option Payments	-	(31,955)	-	(127,820)	(159,775)	-	(242,820)	(242,820)	-	-	-	-	(402,595)
Other Property Income	-	(13,102)	-	(32,922)	(46,024)	-	-	-	(14,918)	-	(14,918)	-	(60,942)
Total Recoveries	-	(515,314)	(122,323)	(263,748)	(901,385)	(295,024)	(276,125)	(571,149)	(14,918)	-	(14,918)	(96,675)	(1,584,127)
Net Expenditures	\$ 811,260	\$ (161,214)	\$ (13,083)	\$ 1,986,389	\$ 1,812,092	\$ 181,313	\$ 711,433	\$ 892,746	\$ 85,967	\$ 208,084	\$ 294,051	\$ 554,526	\$ 4,364,675

* Significant components of "Other" total exploration expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2015 were Haiti - \$359,827; Germany - \$107,899; Austria - \$69,667; and Russia - \$32,137.

Exploration Expenditures (continued)

During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company incurred the following exploration expenditures by projects, which were expensed as incurred:

				US	5A			Turkey				Asia Pacific			
	Sweden		Vale	Desert Star Resources	Other USA	Total	Akarca	Other		Total	Neavesville	Other	Total	Other *	Total
Administration Cost	\$ 98,16	5 Ş	441	\$ 532	\$ 127,290	\$ 128,263	\$ 3,205	\$ 44,006	5 \$	47,211	\$ 7,464	\$ 5,677	\$ 13,141	\$ 160,164	\$ 446,939
Assays	-		1,150	6,762	2,561	10,473	92	1,201	L	1,293	1,476	-	1,476	-	13,242
Drilling / Trenching	43,50	4	412,048	-	325,803	737,851	-	6,284	1	6,284	78,729	48	78,777	435	866,851
Land & Legal	48,80	6	-	-	96,203	96,203	19,033	33,047	7	52,079	20,137	9,930	30,067	29,548	256,703
Logistics	44,36	b	348,415	15,010	91,307	454,732	15,663	79,200)	94,863	18,124	18,100	36,224	31,678	661,857
Personnel	652,12	7	82,529	85,494	1,115,704	1,283,727	270,702	444,744	1	715,445	211,381	135,304	346,684	176,774	3,174,758
Property Cost	110,00	в	166	128,424	549,580	678,170	160,045	17,395	5	177,440	35,593	18,209	53,802	1,877	1,021,297
Professional Services	104,43	2	-	495	19,088	19,583	26,148	90,656	5	116,804	108,600	37,225	145,825	90,827	477,471
Share Based Payments	33,10	6	-	-	110,759	110,759	-	6,973	3	6,973	-	15,956	15,956	37,280	204,074
Technical Studies	55,77	э	8,660	30,436	48,092	87,188	391	130,860)	131,251	16,907	6,600	23,507	212,330	510,055
Travel	98,65	b	-	-	50,969	50,969	7,547	18,587	7	26,134	33,972	15,709	49,681	42,323	267,757
Total Expenditures	1,288,93	1	853,409	267,153	2,537,356	3,657,918	502,826	872,952	2	1,375,778	532,382	262,758	795,140	783,236	7,901,004
Recoveries	-		(920,238)	(297,845)	(584,356)	(1,802,439)	(444,044)	-		(444,044)	-	-	-	-	(2,246,483)
Operator fees	-		(72,725)	(29,938)	(54,853)	(157,516)	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	(157,516)
Option Payments	-		-	(139,056)	(110,410)	(249,466)	-	(110,410	D)	(110,410)	-	-	-	-	(359,876)
Other Property Income	(7,12	1)	(9,233)	(1,292)	(1,716)	(12,241)	-	(49,579))	(49,579)	(45,530)	-	(45,530)	-	(114,471)
Total Recoveries	(7,12	1)	(1,002,196)	(468,131)	(751,335)	(2,221,662)	(444,044)	(159,989))	(604,033)	(45,530)	-	(45,530)	-	(2,878,346)
Net Expenditures	\$ 1,281,81) \$	(148,787)	\$ (200,978)	\$ 1,786,021	\$ 1,436,256	\$ 58,782	\$ 712,963	3 \$	771,745	\$ 486,852	\$ 262,758	\$ 749,610	\$ 783,236	\$ 5,022,658

* Significant components of "Other" total exploration expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2014 were Austria - \$308,213; Haiti - \$209,576 and Georgia -160,287.

10. ROYALTY INTEREST

Changes in royalty interest for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014:

Balance, December 31, 2013	\$ 35,063,725
Adjusted for:	
Depletion	(1,334,845)
Impairment charge	(7,371,765)
Cumulative translation adjustments	2,970,845
Balance, December 31, 2014	29,327,960
Adjusted for:	
Depletion	(1,716,848)
Impairment charge	(3,973,699)
Cumulative translation adjustments	5,161,567
Balance, December 31, 2015	28,798,980
Adjusted for:	
Acquisition	145,000
Depletion	(2,163,221)
Cumulative translation adjustments	(949,607)
Balance, December 31, 2016	\$ 25,831,152

During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company acquired a 2% NSR royalty on all precious metals and a 1% NSR royalty on all other minerals for the Maggie Creek property (non-producing) in Nevada, and a 1% NSR royalty on all minerals for the Afgan property (non-producing) in Nevada from Golden Predator US Holdings Corp, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Till Capital Ltd. ("TCL"). In consideration of the acquisition, the Company issued 250,000 of its common shares to TCL valued at \$145,000.

Carlin Trend Royalty Claim Block

The Company holds an interest in the Carlin Trend Royalty Claim Block in Nevada which includes the following Royalty Properties:

Leeville Mine: Located in Eureka County, Nevada, the Company is receiving a continuing 1% gross smelter return royalty ("GSRR").

East Ore Body Mine: Located in Eureka County, Nevada, the property is currently being mined and the Company is receiving a continuing 1% GSRR.

North Pipeline: Located in Lander County, Nevada. Should the property become producing, the Company will receive a production royalty of US\$0.50 per yard of ore processed or 4% of net profit, whichever is greater.

During the year ended December 31, 2016 \$2,227,322 (2015 - \$1,609,553; 2014 - 2,247,334) in royalty income was included in operations offset by a 5% direct gold tax and depletion.

Impairment of Non-Current Assets

The Company's policy for accounting for impairment of non-current assets is to use the higher of the estimates of fair value less cost of disposal of these assets or value in use. The Company uses valuation techniques that require significant judgments and assumptions, including those with respect to future production levels, future metal prices and discount rates.

Non-current assets are tested for impairment when events or changes in circumstances suggest that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. The Company continuously reviews the production of gold from the Carlin Trend Royalty Claim

10. ROYALTY INTEREST (Continued)

Block and expected long term gold prices to be realized. As a result, periodically the Company revises its estimated annual gold production over the expected mine life and adjusts it's long term gold price. As a result of these adjustments, the Company recorded \$Nil (2015 - \$3,973,699; 2014 - \$7,371,765) in impairment charges for the year ended December 31, 2016 related to the Carlin Trend Royalty Claim Block and related assets that make up the same cash-generating unit ("CGU").

11. RECLAMATION BONDS

Reclamation bonds are held as security towards future exploration work and the related future potential cost of reclamation of the Company's land and unproven mineral interests. Once reclamation of the properties is complete, the bonds will be returned to the Company.

	December 31, 2016	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Australia - various properties	\$ 67,694 \$	\$ 80,976	\$ 75,864
Sweden - various properties	8,043	7,939	7,984
Turkey - various properties	26,362	273,898	273,097
U.S.A - various properties	537,328	447,921	466,502
Total	\$ 639,427 \$	\$ 810,734	\$ 823,447

12. GOODWILL

The Company's goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price paid during fiscal 2012 for the acquisition of Bullion Monarch Mining Inc. over the fair value of the net identifiable tangible and intangible assets and liabilities acquired.

Change in goodwill for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014:

Balance, December 31, 2013	\$ 9,625,795
Adjusted for:	
Impairment charge	(2,248,057)
Cumulative translation adjustment	839 <i>,</i> 804
Balance, December 31, 2014	8,217,542
Adjusted for:	
Impairment charge	(3,047,605)
Cumulative translation adjustment	1,331,949
Balance, December 31, 2015	6,501,886
Adjusted for:	
Impairment charge	(1,518,328)
Cumulative translation adjustment	(230,234)
Balance, December 31, 2016	\$ 4,753,324

The Company applies a one-step approach to determine if the Carlin Trend Royalty Claim Block and the related assets within the same CGU are impaired (Note 10). The impairment loss is the amount by which the CGU's carrying amount exceeds its recoverable amount. Goodwill has been written down in conjunction with the decline of \$1,518,328 (2015 - \$3,047,605; 2014 - \$2,248,057) of the related deferred income tax liability.

13. ADVANCES FROM JOINT VENTURE PARTNERS

Advances from joint venture partners relate to unspent funds received pursuant to approved exploration programs by the Company and its joint venture partners. The Company's advances from joint venture partners consist of the following:

	December 31, 2016	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
U.S.A.	\$ 341,361 \$	137,825 \$	429,175
Total	\$ 341,361 \$	137,825 \$	429,175

14. INCOME TAXES

Deferred Income Tax Liability

The tax effects of temporary differences between amounts recorded in the Company's accounts and the corresponding amounts as computed for income tax purposes gives rise to deferred tax liabities as follows:

	December 31, 2016	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Royalty interest	\$ (8,090,497) \$	(9,053,435) \$	(9,933,985)
Tax loss carryforwards	3,212,368	2,433,008	1,616,508
Other	124,805	118,541	99,935
	\$ (4,753,324) \$	(6,501,886) \$	(8,217,542)

As at December 31, 2016, no deferred tax assets are recognized on the following temporary differences as it is not probabe that sufficient future taxable profit will be available to realize such assets:

	Dece	ember 31, 2016	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2014	Expiry Date Range
Tax loss carry forwards	\$	39,318,000	\$ 37,728,000	\$ 36,586,000	2026-2035
Share issue costs				\$ 65,000	
Exploration and evaluation assets		2,137,000	10,022,960	9,183,007	No expiry
Other	\$	11,371,000	\$ 8,385,770	\$ 7,937,261	No expiry

Income Tax Expense

	December 31, 2016	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Current tax expense	\$ -	\$ - \$	-
Deferred tax recovery	(1,439,332)	(3,431,230)	(3,356,471)
	\$ (1,439,332)	\$ (3,431,230) \$	(3,356,471)

The provision for income taxes differs from the amount calculated using the Canadian federal and provincial statutory income tax rates of 26.00% (2015 – 26.00%) as follows:

	December 31, 2016	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Expected income tax (recovery)	\$ (886,149) \$	(2,679,842) \$	(5,409,173)
Effect of lower tax rates in foreign jurisdictions	(474,971)	(2,393,803)	(1,217,191)
Permanent differences	1,010,562	2,594,459	2,735,843
Change in unrecognized deductible temporary differences and other	(1,428,442)	(60,006)	751,860
Foreign exchange	339,668	(892,038)	(217,810)
	\$ (1,439,332) \$	(3,431,230) \$	(3,356,471)

Authorized

As at December 31, 2016, the authorized share capital of the Company was an unlimited number of common and preferred shares without par value.

Common Shares

During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company issued:

- a) 140,000 (2015 163,000; 2014 391,501) shares valued at \$166,600 (2015 \$233,950; 2014 \$614,427) pursuant to an incentive stock grant program to employees of the Company applied to commitment to issue shares.
- b) 165,000 (2015 Nil; 2014 Nil) shares valued at \$127,800 (2015 \$Nil; 2014 \$Nil) pursuant to the exercise of stock options.
- c) 250,000 (2015 Nil; 2014 Nil)) shares valued at \$145,000 (2015 \$Nil; 2014 \$Nil) pursuant to a purchase agreement for the Maggie Creek and Afgan royalties (Note 10).

Stock Options

The Company adopted a stock option plan (the "Plan") pursuant to the policies of the TSX-V. The maximum number of shares that may be reserved for issuance under the plan is limited to 10% of the issued common shares of the Company at any time. The vesting terms are determined at the time of the grant, subject to the terms of the plan.

During the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014, the change in stock options outstanding is as follows:

		Weighted Average
	Number	Exercise Price
Balance as at December 31, 2013	3,995,700	2.36
Granted	1,608,500	1.18
Cancelled and expired unexercised	(111,000)	1.62
Balance as at December 31, 2014	5,493,200	2.03
Granted	1,341,500	0.66
Cancelled and expired unexercised	(1,406,200)	2.12
Balance as at December 31, 2015	5,428,500	1.67
Granted	1,277,500	1.30
Exercised	(165,000)	0.77
Cancelled and expired unexercised	(1,729,500)	2.65
Balance as at December 31, 2016	4,811,500	1.24
Number of options exercisable as at December 31, 2015	4,792,750 \$	1.24

EURASIAN MINERALS INC.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Expressed in Canadian Dollars) For the Year Ended December 31, 2016

The following table summarizes information about the stock options which were outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2016:

Date Granted	Number of Options	Exercisable	Exercise Price \$	Expiry Date
July 5, 2012	50,000	50,000	1.96	July 5, 2017
August 22, 2012	851,500	851,500	1.94	August 22, 2017
October 16, 2012	60,000	60,000	2.44	October 16, 2017
April 25, 2014	1,312,500	1,312,500	1.20	April 25, 2019
June 26, 2014	17,500	17,500	0.88	June 26, 2019
December 22, 2014	60,000	60,000	0.87	December 22, 2019
June 8, 2015	1,182,500	1,182,500	0.66	June 8, 2020
October 18, 2016	1,277,500	1,258,750	1.30	October 18, 2021
Total	4,811,500	4,792,750		

The weighted average remaining useful life of stock options is 2.92 years.

Stock Grants

The Company has received TSX-V approval for the issuance of certain stock grants as discretionary bonuses earned by the President and CEO, Chairman, directors, officers, area managers and certain employees of the Company pursuant to an annual compensation review.

Share-based Payments

During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company recorded aggregate share-based payments of \$970,796 (2015 - 542,513; 2014 - \$1,234,485) as they relate to the fair value of stock options granted, fair value of incentive stock grants, and the accrual for the fair value of stock granted. Share-based payments are allocated to expense accounts as follows:

Year ended December 31, 2016	General and Administrative Expenses	Exploration Expenditures	Total
Commitment to issue shares	\$ 27,462	\$ -	\$ 27,462
Fair value of stock options granted	440,477	502,857	943,334
	\$ 467,939	\$ 502,857	\$ 970,796

	ļ	General and Administrative	Exploration	
Year ended December 31, 2015		Expenses	Expenditures	 Total
Commitment to issue bonus shares	\$	100,233	\$ (34,144)	\$ 66,089
Fair value of stock options granted		369,883	106,541	476,424
	\$	470,116	\$ 72,397	\$ 542,513

15. CAPITAL STOCK (Continued)

EURASIAN MINERALS INC. NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Expressed in Canadian Dollars) For the Year Ended December 31, 2016

	General and Administrative	Exploration	
Year ended December 31, 2014	Expenses	Expenditures	Total
Commitment to issue bonus shares	\$ 346,961	\$ 29,588	\$ 376,549
Fair value of stock options granted	683,450	174,486	857,936
	\$ 1,030,411	\$ 204,074	\$ 1,234,485

The weighted average fair value of the stock options granted during the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$0.74 per stock option (2015 - \$0.36; 2014 - \$0.53 per stock option). The fair value of stock options granted was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with weighted average assumptions as follows:

	Year ended	Year ended	Year ended
	December 31, 2016	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Risk free interest rate	0.73%	1.02%	1.46%
Expected life (years)	5	5	5
Expected volatility	69.80%	62.33%	51.63%
Dividend yield	-	-	-

Warrants

During the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014, the change in warrants outstanding is as follows:

		v	Veighted Average
	Number		Exercise Price
Balance as at December 31, 2013 and 2014	9,175,533	\$	4.56
Expired	(9,175,533)		4.56
Balance as at December 31, 2015 and 2016	-	\$	-

16. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The aggregate value of transactions and outstanding balances relating to key management personnel were as follows:

	Share-based							
For the year ended December 31, 2016		Salary or Fees		Payments		Total		
Management	\$	803,033	\$	215,933	\$	1,018,966		
Outside directors *		151,228		167,534		318,762		
Seabord Services Corp.		357,600		-		357,600		
Total	\$	1,311,861	\$	383,467	\$	1,695,328		

EURASIAN MINERALS INC.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Expressed in Canadian Dollars) For the Year Ended December 31, 2016

16. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS (Continued)

	Share-based							
For the year ended December 31, 2015		Salary or Fees	Payments	Total				
Management	\$	1,067,210 \$	108,637 \$	1,175,847				
Outside directors *		158,257	79,898	238,155				
Seabord Services Corp.		413,700	-	413,700				
Total	\$	1,639,167 \$	188,535 \$	1,827,702				

	Share-based							
For the year ended December 31, 2014		Salary or Fees		Payments		Total		
Management	\$	882,536	\$	303,491	\$	1,186,027		
Outside directors *		168,496		183,513		352,009		
Seabord Services Corp.		418,800		-		418,800		
Total	\$	1,469,832	\$	487,004	\$	1,956,836		

* Directors fees include \$5,000 per month paid to the Company's non-Executive Chairman, who does not receive the fees paid to the other independent director's.

Seabord Services Corp. ("Seabord") is a management services company controlled by the Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Company. Seabord provides a Chief Financial Officer, a Corporate Secretary, accounting and administration staff, and office space to the Company. The Chief Financial Officer and Corporate Secretary are employees of Seabord and are not paid directly by the Company.

Included in the table above for the year ended December 31, 2015 is \$247,660 in termination payments to a former officer of the Company. The amount has been included in Other expenses for that year.

Included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities is \$5,913 (2015 - \$3,467; 2014 - \$8,064) owed to key management personnel and \$17,559 (2015 - \$24,329; 2014 - \$29,612) to other related parties.

17. SEGMENTED INFORMATION

The Company operates within the resource industry. At December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Company had equipment and exploration and evaluation assets located geographically as follows:

EXPLORATION AND EVALUATION ASSETS	De	cember 31, 2016	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Asia Pacific	\$	81,124	\$ 81,124	\$ 81,124
Haiti		-	-	56,085
Sweden		437,755	437,755	437,755
Turkey		232,547	363,987	232,547
U.S.A		1,393,574	1,498,674	1,572,375
Total	\$	2,145,000	\$ 2,381,540	\$ 2,379,886

EURASIAN MINERALS INC. NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Expressed in Canadian Dollars) For the Year Ended December 31, 2016

17. SEGMENTED INFORMATION (Continued)

PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT	Dece	mber 31, 2016	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2
Asia Pacific	\$	8,376	\$ 10,275	\$ 12,6
Canada		-	-	1,6
Georgia		-	-	6,4
Haiti		-	23,612	9,0
Sweden		3,110	4,902	11,5
Turkey		1,091	7,032	24,7
U.S.A		459,127	568,639	685,1
Total	\$	471,704	\$ 614,460	\$ 751,2

The Company's Carlin Trend royalty interest, goodwill, deferred income tax liability and royalty income and depletion form a single cash generating unit located in the U.S.A. Not included in this CGU is a \$200,000 royalty interest held in Serbia and \$145,000 royalty interest in Nevada, USA.

18. RISK AND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT: FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Company considers items included in shareholders' equity as capital. The Company's objective when managing capital is to safeguard the Company's ability to continue as a going concern, so that it can continue to provide returns for shareholders and benefits for other stakeholders.

As at December 31, 2016, the Company had working capital of \$6,002,318 (December 31, 2015 - \$5,787,109). The Company has continuing royalty income that will vary depending on royalty ounces received, the price of gold, and foreign exchange rates on US royalty payments. The Company manages the capital structure and makes adjustments in light of changes in economic conditions and the risk characteristics of the underlying assets. The Company has sufficient working capital to undertake it's current business plan. However, should the Company undertake anything over and above these plans, management will need additional sources of working capital. In order to maintain or adjust the capital structure, the Company may issue new shares through public and/or private placements, sell assets, or return capital to shareholders. The Company is not subject to externally imposed capital requirements.

Fair Value

The Company characterizes inputs used in determining fair value using a hierarchy that prioritizes inputs depending on the degree to which they are observable. The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are as follows:

- Level 1: inputs represent quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Active markets are those in which transactions occur in sufficient frequency and volume to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis.
- Level 2: inputs other than quoted prices that are observable, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 valuations are based on inputs, including quoted forward prices for commodities, market interest rates, and volatility factors, which can be observed or corroborated in the market place.
- Level 3: inputs that are less observable, unavoidable or where the observable data does not support the majority of the instruments' fair value.

18. RISK AND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT: FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (Continued)

As at December 31, 2016, there were no changes in the levels in comparison to December 31, 2015. Financial instruments measured at fair value on the statement of financial position are summarized in levels of the fair value hierarchy as follows:

Assets	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,199,686	\$ - \$	- \$	3,199,686
Restricted cash	359,172	-	-	359,172
Fair value through profit or loss investments	262,756	-	-	262,756
Strategic investments	212,798	-	-	212,798
Accounts receivable	-	2,721,202	-	2,721,202
Non-current accounts receivable	-	1,412,727	-	1,412,727
Total	\$ 4,034,412	\$ 4,133,929 \$	- \$	8,168,341

The carrying value of receivables (excluding the receivable related to the sale of AES, Note 9), accounts payable and accrued liabilities, and advances from joint venture partners approximate their fair value because of the short-term nature of these instruments.

Accounts receivable, including both long and current portions related to the sale of a AES (Note 9) were valued using a pricing model which require a variety of inputs, such as expected gold prices and foreign exchange rates. These receivables are valued using observable market commodity prices and thereby classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company updated the model for changes in expected long term gold prices. As such, the Company recorded a loss on the change in assumptions of \$120,900 (2015 - \$Nil) which has been included in Interest income and other items in the statement of comprehensive loss.

The Company's financial instruments are exposed to certain financial risks, including credit risk, interest rate risk, market risk, liquidity risk and currency risk.

Credit Risk

The Company is exposed to credit risk by holding cash and cash equivalents and receivables. This risk is minimized by holding a significant portion of the funds in Canadian banks. The Company's exposure with respect to its receivables is primarily related to royalty streams, recovery of exploration evaluation costs, and the sale of AES (Note 9).

Interest Rate Risk

The Company is exposed to interest rate risk because of fluctuating interest rates. Management believes the interest rate risk is low given interest rates on promissory notes is fixed and the current low global interest rate environment. Fluctuations in market rates is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's operations due to the short term to maturity and no penalty cashable feature of its cash equivalents.

Market Risk

The Company is exposed to market risk because of the fluctuating values of its publicly traded marketable securities and other company investments. The Company has no control over these fluctuations and does not hedge its investments. Based on the December 31, 2016 portfolio values, a 10% increase or decrease in effective market values would increase or decrease net shareholders' equity by approximately \$48,000.

18. RISK AND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT: FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (Continued)

Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company is unable to meet its financial obligations as they come due. The Company manages this risk by careful management of its working capital to ensure the Company's expenditures will not exceed available resources.

Commodity Risk

The Company's royalty revenues are derived from a royalty interest and are based on the extraction and sale of precious and base minerals and metals. Factors beyond the control of the Company may affect the marketability of metals discovered. Metal prices have historically fluctuated widely. Consequently, the economic viability of the Company's royalty interests cannot be accurately predicted and may be adversely affected by fluctuations in mineral prices.

Currency Risk

Foreign exchange risk arises when future commercial transactions and recognized assets and liabilities are denominated in a currency that is not the entity's functional currency. The Company operates in Canada, Turkey, Sweden, Australia and the U.S.A. The Company funds cash calls to its subsidiary companies outside of Canada in US dollars and a portion of its expenditures are also incurred in local currencies.

The exposure of the Company's cash and cash equivalents, receivables, and accounts payable and accrued liabilities to foreign exchange risk as at December 31, 2016 is as follows:

Accounts	US dollars
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,387,727
Receivables	3,529,070
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(264,196)
Advances from joint venture partners	(254,051)
Net exposure	5,398,550
Canadian dollar equivalent	\$ 7,253,870

The balances noted above reflect the US dollar balances held within the parent company and any wholly owned subsidiaries. Balances denominated in another currency other than the functional currency held in foreign operations are considered immaterial.

Based on the above net exposure as at December 31, 2016, and assuming that all other variables remain constant, a 10% depreciation or appreciation of the Canadian dollar against the US dollar would result in an increase/decrease of approximately \$725,000 in the Company's pre-tax profit or loss.

19. SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE WITH RESPECT TO CASH FLOWS

	December 31, 2016	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Cash	\$ 3,132,480	\$ 5,365,271	\$ 3,311,196
Short-term deposits	67,206	269,330	3,139,112
Total	\$ 3,199,686	\$ 5,634,601	\$ 6,450,308

19. SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE WITH RESPECT TO CASH FLOWS (Continued)

The significant non-cash investing and financing transactions during the year period ended December 31, 2016 included:

- a. Recorded a gain through accumulated other comprehensive income of \$88,515 related to the fair value adjustments on AFS financial instruments;
- b. Issuance of 140,000 incentive stock grants valued at \$166,600 applied to commitment to issue shares;
- c. Adjusted reserves and investment in associated companies for \$366,800 related to share-based payments made by an associated company;
- d. Adjusted non-current assets and liabilities for \$862,335 related to cumulative translation adjustments ("CTA"), of which \$949,607 relates to CTA loss on royalty interest, \$230,234 relates to CTA loss on goodwill, \$309,230 relates to a CTA gain on deferred tax liability and \$8,276 relates to CTA gain in the net assets of a subsidiary with a functional currency different from the presentation currency; and
- e. Recorded the movement of \$1,605,466 from a convertible loan to an investment in associated company upon conversion of the loan (Note 8).

The significant non-cash investing and financing transactions during the year ended December 31, 2015 included:

- a. Recorded a loss through accumulated other comprehensive income of \$105,714 related to the fair value adjustments on available-for-sale ("AFS") financial instruments;
- b. Issuance of 163,000 bonus shares valued at \$233,950 applied to commitment to issue shares;
- c. Adjusted reserves and investment in associated companies for \$322,900 related to share-based payments made by an associated company; and
- d. Adjusted non-current assets and liabilities for \$4,350,667 related to cumulative translation adjustments ("CTA"), of which \$5,161,567, relates to CTA gain on royalty interest, \$1,331,949 relates to CTA gain on goodwill, \$1,715,574 relates to a CTA loss on deferred tax liability and \$427,275 relates to a CTA loss in the net liabilities of a subsidiary with a functional currency different from the presentation currency.

The significant non-cash investing and financing transactions during the year period ended December 31, 2014 included:

- f. Recorded a loss through accumulated other comprehensive income of \$400,476 related to the fair value adjustments on AFS financial instruments;
- g. Issuance of 391,501 incentive stock grants valued at \$614,427 applied to commitment to issue shares;
- h. Reclassification of \$324,330 of restricted cash to cash and cash equivalents for joint venture partner advances expensed in the year;
- i. Adjusted reserves and investment in associated companies for \$135,700 related to share-based payments made by an associated company; and
- j. Adjusted non-current assets and liabilities for \$3,585,937 related to cumulative translation adjustments ("CTA"), of which \$2,970,845 relates to CTA gain on royalty interest, \$839,804 relates to CTA gain on goodwill, \$504,327 relates to a CTA loss on deferred tax liability and \$279,615 relates to CTA gain in the net assets of a subsidiary with a functional currency different from the presentation currency.

20. EVENT AFTER THE REPORTING DATE

Subsequent to the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company:

- a) announced it intends to complete a non-brokered private placement for gross proceeds of up to \$7,000,000 through the sale of 5,000,000 units at a price of \$1.40 per Unit. Each Unit will consist of one common share and one-half of one non-transferable share purchase warrant. Each whole warrant will entitle the holder to purchase one additional Share at a price of \$2.00 for a period of two years.
- b) entered into an exploration and option agreement through its wholly owned subsidiary BCE, with Anglo American Exploration (USA), Inc. ("Anglo") for the Copper Springs gold-copper property in Arizona. Pursuant to the Agreement, Anglo may acquire a 100% interest in the Property by (a) reimbursing BCE's 2016 holding and permitting costs and making annual option payments, together totaling US \$447,000, and (b) completing US \$5,000,000 in exploration expenditures before the fifth anniversary of the Agreement. Upon exercise of the option, Anglo American will pay EMX an additional US \$110,000 and EMX will retain a 2% NSR royalty on the Project. The royalty is not capped or purchasable, except over two parcels of Arizona State Land where Anglo American can buy a 0.5% NSR royalty from EMX for US \$2,000,000.

Certain annual advance minimum royalty payments and certain milestone payments are required after exercise of the option.

c) entered into an agreement with Boreal Metals Corp. ("BMC"), a British Columbia corporation, pursuant to which BMC will acquire two wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company that control the Gumsberg and Adak exploration assets in Sweden and the Tynset and Burfjord assets in Norway. In exchange for the transfer of its wholly-owned subsidiary lekelvare AB, which owns or will own that portion of the Properties located in Sweden, and its entire interest in its wholly-owned subsidiary EMX Exploration Scandinavia AB, which owns that portion of the Properties located in Norway, BMC issued to the Company 1,713,390 shares of BMC that represents a 19.9% equity ownership in BMC. BMC will have the continuing obligation to issue additional shares of BMC to Eurasian to maintain its 19.9% interest in BMC, at no additional cost to Eurasian, until BMC has raised \$5,000,000; thereafter Eurasian will have the right to participate pro-rata in future financings at its own cost to maintain its 19.9% interest. EMX also received an uncapped 3% NSR royalty on each of the Properties and has the right to nominate one seat on the board of directors of BMC.

Within five years of the closing date, BMC has the right to buy down up to 1% of the royalty owed to EMX on any given project by paying US \$2,500,000 in cash and shares of BMC. Such buy down is project specific. Additionally, EMX will receive annual advance royalty ("AAR") payments of US \$20,000 for each of the Properties commencing on the second anniversary of the closing, with each AAR payment increasing by US \$5,000 per year until reaching US \$60,000 per year, except that BMC may forgo AAR payments on two of the four Properties in years two and three. Eurasian will receive a 0.5% NSR royalty on any new mineral exploration projects generated by BMC in Sweden or Norway, excluding projects acquired from a third party containing a mineral resource or reserve or an existing mining operation. These royalties are not capped and not subject to a buy down.

SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION

I, David M. Cole, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 20-F of Eurasian Minerals Inc. (the "company");

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the company as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The company's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) tor the company and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the company's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by the annual report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the company's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The company's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the company's auditors and the audit committee of the company's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the company's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the company's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 31, 2017

/s/ David M. Cole

David M. Cole President and Chief Executive Officer

SECTION 906 CERTIFICATION

In connection with the annual report of Eurasian Minerals Inc. (the "Company") on Form 20-F for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2016 (the "Report") I, David M. Cole, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of2002, that to the best of my knowledge:

- 1. the Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- 2. the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operation of the Company.

Date: March 31, 2017

/s/ David M. Cole David M. Cole President and Chief Executive Officer

SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION

I, Christina Cepeliauskas, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 20-F of Eurasian Minerals Inc. (the "company");

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the company as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The company's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) tor the company and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the company's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by the annual report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the company's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The company's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the company's auditors and the audit committee of the company's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the company's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the company's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 31, 2017

/s/ Christina Cepeliauskas

Christina Cepeliauskas Chief Financial Officer

SECTION 906 CERTIFICATION

In connection with the annual report of Eurasian Minerals Inc. (the "Company") on Form 20-F for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2016 (the "Report") I, Christina Cepeliauskas, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to the best of my knowledge:

- 1. the Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- 2. the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operation of the Company.

Date: March 31, 2017

/s/ Christina Cepeliauskas Christina Cepeliauskas

Chief Financial Officer

CONSENT OF ERIC JENSEN

In connection with the filing of the annual report on Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2016 (the "Annual Report") of Eurasian Minerals Inc. (the "Company") with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, I hereby consent to the references to my name in the Annual Report.

Dated: March 31, 2017.

/s/ Eric Jensen

Eric Jensen

CONSENT OF DEAN TURNER

In connection with the filing of the annual report on Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2016 (the "Annual Report") of Eurasian Minerals Inc. (the "Company") with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, I hereby consent to the references to my name in the Annual Report.

Dated: March 31, 2017.

/s/ Dean D. Turner

Dean D. Turner

CONSENT OF PHIL NEWALL

In connection with the filing of the annual report on Form 20-F (the "Annual Report") of Eurasian Minerals Inc. (the "Company") with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, I hereby consent to the references to my name and to the use of the technical report entitled "Technical Report on the Initial Mineral Resource Estimate for the Malmyzh Copper-Gold Project, Khabarovsk Krai, Russian Federation," dated July 10, 2015, and the information derived from such report, included in the Annual Information Form of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2016, which is filed as an exhibit to, and incorporated by reference into, the Annual Report.

Dated: March 31, 2017

/s/ Phil Newall

Phil Newall

DAVIDSON & COMPANY LLP _____ Chartered Professional Accountants ____

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We hereby consent to the inclusion in Eurasian Minerals Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2016 of our report dated March 29, 2017, relating to the consolidated financial statements, which appear in the Annual Report.

"DAVIDSON & COMPANY LLP"

Vancouver, Canada

Chartered Professional Accountants

March 31, 2017



1200 - 609 Granville Street, P.O. Box 10372, Pacific Centre, Vancouver, B.C., Canada V7Y 1G6 Telephone (604) 687-0947 Davidson-co.com